Anomalous Casimir Effect and Related Subjects

AND ALLER ALLER ALLER ALLER ALLER AND AND ALLER AL

Kenji Fukushima The University of Tokyo

1

ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER, ALPARTER,

Vacuum full of quantum fluctuations

Zero-point oscillation energy of photon



Dirichlet boundary condition

$$E = \hbar c L_x L_y \int \frac{dk_x dk_y}{(2\pi)^2} \left(\frac{1}{2}\omega_0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \omega_n\right)$$
$$\omega_n = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + (n\pi/L_z)^2}$$

Casimir force

$$F_z = -\frac{\partial E}{\partial L_z}$$
 Negative — Attractive Force
Positive — Repulsive Force

Casimir force vs. Casimir energy ? The energy is not well-defined (divergent).

Even the force is subtle...



If the "energy density" is constant (which could be divergent), then the energy is proportional to L_z .

This implies that the nonzero force always exists!? How to treat L_z independent energy density?

Alexander and the antipant devint of the Alexander and the antipant devint devint devint devint devint devint d

Casimir force vs. Casimir energy ?



(Infinitely large) force or pressure remains, but the plates do not feel this force. We can drop it!

Physically meaningful force is the one depending on the plate separation.

Conventional calculation

Computational procedures are similar to finite-*T* QFT. Abel-Plana formula:



Fukushima-Ohta (2000)

November 17, 2023 @ RIKEN (online)

Conventional calculation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial L_z} \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \ln(1 - e^{-2L_z\omega_k}) = 2 \int \frac{d^3 k}{(2\pi)^3} \omega_k \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-2nL_z\omega_k}$$
$$= \frac{\Gamma(4)\zeta(4)}{\pi^2(2L_z)^4} = \frac{\pi^2}{240L_z^4} \longrightarrow F_z/A = -\frac{\pi^2}{240L_z^4}$$
$$\sim 0.016 \, \mathrm{dyn} \, \frac{(\mu \mathrm{m})^4}{L_z^4}/\mathrm{cm}^2$$

Attractive force per unit surface area A between two plates with separation L_z .

Experimental signature

VOLUME 78, NUMBER 1 PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

6 JANUARY 1997

Demonstration of the Casimir Force in the 0.6 to 6 μ m Range

S.K. Lamoreaux*

Physics Department, University of Washington, Box 35160, Seattle, Washington 98195-1560 (Received 28 August 1996)

The vacuum stress between closely spaced conducting surfaces, due to the modification of the zeropoint fluctuations of the electromagnetic field, has been conclusively demonstrated. The measurement employed an electromechanical system based on a torsion pendulum. Agreement with theory at the level of 5% is obtained. [S0031-9007(96)02025-X]

For our measurement of the Casimir force, the conductors were in the form of a flat plate and a sphere. Our first attempts at measurements using parallel plates were unsuccessful; this is because it is very difficult to maintain parallelism at the requisite accuracy $(10^{-5} \text{ rad for } 1 \text{ cm}$ diameter plates). There is no issue of parallelism when $F = -2\pi R \cdot f$ $f = \frac{1}{3} \cdot \frac{\pi^2}{240L_z^3}$

ERALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ERALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA ALARA

Attractive ? Repulsive ?

Casimir force depends on:

[Geometry]



[Dispersion]

$$\omega_n = \sqrt{k_x^2 + k_y^2 + (n\pi/L_z)^2}$$

Free energy dispersion

Medium effect? Interaction? Phase transition? Flachi, Nitta, Takada, Yoshii (2017-2019)

No-go Theorem?

PRL 97, 160401 (2006)

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS

week ending 20 OCTOBER 2006

Opposites Attract: A Theorem about the Casimir Force

Oded Kenneth¹ and Israel Klich^{2,*}

¹Department of Physics, Technion, Haifa 32000 Israel ²Department of Physics, California Institute of Technology, MC 114-36 Pasadena, California 91125, USA (Received 10 January 2006; published 18 October 2006)

We consider the Casimir interaction between (nonmagnetic) dielectric bodies or conductors. Our main result is a proof that the Casimir force between two bodies related by reflection is always attractive, independent of the exact form of the bodies or dielectric properties. Apart from being a fundamental property of fields, the theorem and its corollaries also rule out a class of suggestions to obtain repulsive forces, such as the two hemisphere repulsion suggestion and its relatives.



Axial Casimir Force

Qing-Dong Jiang and Frank Wilczek (2018)

I attended a workshop at NORDITA in September 2018 and heard a talk by Frank Wilczek and also met Qing-Dong Jiang who was a student there at that time...



Axial Casimir Force Qing-Dong Jiang and Frank Wilczek (2018)

One can use the Casimir force to diagnose matter!

 $\mathbf{D} = \epsilon \mathbf{E} + (\chi - i\kappa) \sqrt{\epsilon_0 \mu_0} \mathbf{H}$ [magnetoelectric effect]



Chiral Casimir Forces 8 - 567 to 21 - 567 to 21 - 567 to 267 to 27 **Qing-Dong Jiang and Frank Wilczek (2018)** chiral material С **k**_{**R**} **Polarized photons** right-circular --> k, photon A B left-circular kt photon k. (a) 1.0 0.5 z l 0 0.0 Fc/F_0 Fc/F_0 0 -0.5**Tunable by the strength** B=4T-2of the magnetic field. -1.0B=10T -3-1.52 8 10 12 4 6 0 0 *l* (μm) November 17, 2023 @ RIKEN (online) 13

Chiral Casimir Forces

I found these works extremely interesting, but...

Calculations are intriguing but complicated:

They develop the scattering formalism. See also Jaffe (2005) (no need of "vacuum")

Experimental realization is quite challenging:

[ACF] "Note that the very recent experiments have already achieved a superfast rotation of nanoparticles, making the ACF within the experimental reach".

[CCF] "Faraday materials" and "Octically active materials"

Anomalous Casimir Force

ŎĔĿĸĿĿĔĬĔĿĸĿĿĔĬĔĿĸĿĔĬĬĔĿĸĿĔĬĬĔĿĸĿĔĬĬĔĿĔĬĔĿĸĿĿĔĬĬĿĸĿĿĔĬĬĿĸĿĿĔĬĬĿĸĿĔĬĬĿĸĿĔĬĬĿĿĿĔĬĬĿĿ

Another ACF proposed by Fukushima-Imaki-Qiu (2019)



No need to complexify the theta angle. Spatially changing theta is common in topological matter.

Anomalous Casimir Force

ĦĨŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬĬŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿŔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊĿĸĊĸĸĔĬŊŊ

Another ACF proposed by Fukushima-Imaki-Qiu (2019)

$$\mathcal{L}_{axion} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{4} \theta F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu}$$
$$b_0(x) \equiv \partial_t \theta(x), \qquad \mathbf{b}(x) \equiv -\mathbf{\nabla} \theta(x)$$

Constant theta would not change the EoM.

Inhomogeneous theta generates new terms.

$$oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{
abla} oldsymbol{E} = -oldsymbol{b} \cdot oldsymbol{B},$$
 $oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{B} = b_0 oldsymbol{B} + oldsymbol{b} imes oldsymbol{E},$
 $oldsymbol{
abla} \cdot oldsymbol{B} = 0,$
 $oldsymbol{
abla} imes oldsymbol{E} + rac{\partial oldsymbol{B}}{\partial t} = 0.$

Anomalous Casimir Force Another ACF proposed by Fukushima-Imaki-Qiu (2019) Background *E-B* are unnecessary!



Dispersion relations are modified as: [Scalar / Longitudinal] $\omega_1 = k$, $\omega_2 = k$ Cancelled by ghosts [Transverse]

$$\omega_{+} = \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2} + (\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + b^{2}/4} + b/2)^{2}} \qquad \omega_{-} = \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2} + (\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + b^{2}/4} - b/2)^{2}}$$

Anomalous Casimir Force

ARDAL ARDA

Another ACF proposed by Fukushima-Imaki-Qiu (2019)

$$\omega_{+} = \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2} + (\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + b^{2}/4} + b/2)^{2}} \qquad \omega_{-} = \sqrt{k_{\perp}^{2} + (\sqrt{k_{z}^{2} + b^{2}/4} - b/2)^{2}} \frac{\pi \mu_{+}/L_{z}}{\pi \mu_{-}/L_{z}}$$

$$=\frac{\pi\mu_{\pm}}{L_z}\sqrt{\tilde{k}_{\perp}^2+1}$$

Recaled expression for the energy

$$\mathscr{E} = \frac{\pi^3}{L_z^3} \sum_{\pm,n} \mu_{\pm}^3(n) \int^{\tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm}} \frac{d^2 \tilde{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{k}_{\perp}^2 + 1}$$

Anomalous Casimir Force Another ACF proposed by Fukushima-Imaki-Qiu (2019) After some tedious calculations, we find: $\mathscr{E} = \frac{\pi^3}{L_z^3} \sum_{\pm,n} \mu_{\pm}^3(n) \int^{\tilde{\Lambda}_{\pm}} \frac{d^2 \tilde{k}_{\perp}}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\tilde{k}_{\perp}^2 + 1}$

$$= \mathscr{C}_{\infty} + \frac{b^4 L_z}{16\pi^2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left[\frac{K_1(mbL_z)}{mbL_z} - \frac{K_2(mbL_z)}{(mbL_z)^2} \right]$$

divergent $\mathscr{C}_{\infty} = -\frac{5b^4 L_z}{512\pi^3} \Gamma(0)$

This "constant" force, which is there, can be dropped!

Anomalous Casimir Force

ĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦŨŊĸĿĿĦĬŊŊĸĿĿĦĬŊŊĸĿĿĦĬŊŊ

Trivial check:

$$\lim_{b \to 0} F(b)/A = -\frac{\pi^2}{240L_z^4}$$

0

Repulsive component:



Good... but the experimental realization is also challenging... (Separation should be filled in with chiral matter.)

November 17, 2023 @ RIKEN (online)

Comments

Lattice simulation helps ?

How to treat divergnet energy / force is very subtle...

Casimir effect with machine learning 1911.07571

M. N. Chernodub,^{1, 2} Harold Erbin,³ I. V. Grishmanovskii,² V. A. Goy,² and A. V. Molochkov²



$$\mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{S}}(x) = \left\langle T_E^{00}(x) \right\rangle_{\mathcal{S}} - \left\langle T_E^{00}(x) \right\rangle_0$$

Maybe, still, a divergent force is not yet subtracted which can be finite in finite-size systems...

Comments

Casimir effect helps our understanding ?

Casimir effect in Yang-Mills theory 1805.11887

M. N. Chernodub, $^{1,\,2}$ V. A. Goy, 2 A. V. Molochkov, 2 and Ha Huu Nguyen $^{1,\,3}$



They found exponential suppression (Casimir mass) and it is different from the glueball mass... new scale?

$$M_{\text{Cas}} = 1.38(3)\sqrt{\sigma}$$
$$M_{0^{++}} = 4.7\sqrt{\sigma}$$

Ghost? Origin of confinement? Something else?

Conclusions

Casimir effect has some subtleties in the treatment of the divergent energy / force.

No-go theorem (attraction with reflection symmetry) is violated for chiral matter that breaks parity and time-reversal symmetries.

Casimir effect is useful to diagnose the nature of matter and could be a probe to the origin of confinement.