

---

# **GENESIS User Guide**

***2.1.1***

**RIKEN**

**Sep 30, 2023**

# GENESIS 2.1.1

Project Leader: Yuji Sugita (RIKEN)

Current main developers: Jaewoon Jung (RIKEN), Shingo Ito (RIKEN), Chigusa Kobayashi (RIKEN), Takaharu Mori (RIKEN), Hiraku Oshima (RIKEN), Cheng Tan (RIKEN), Diego Ugarte (RIKEN), Kiyoshi Yagi (RIKEN)

Other developers/contributors for older versions: Motoshi Kamiya (RIKEN/IMS), Kento Kasahara (RIKEN/Osaka Univ.), Yasuhiro Matsunaga (RIKEN/Saitama Univ.), Daisuke Matsuoka (RIKEN/RIST), Osamu Miyashita (RIKEN), Suyong Re (RIKEN/NIBIOHN), Ai Shinobu (RIKEN), Yosuke Sumiya (RIKEN), Florence Tama (RIKEN/Nagoya Univ.), Shoji Takada (Kyoto Univ.), Isseki Yu (RIKEN/Maebashi Institute of Technology), Tadashi Ando (RIKEN), Michael Feig (Michigan State University), Raimondas Galvelis (RIKEN), Ryuhei Harada (RIKEN), Takashi Imai (RIKEN), Yasuaki Komuro (RIKEN), Yasuhito Karino (RIKEN), Naoyuki Miyashita (RIKEN), Wataru Nishima (RIKEN), Donatas Surblys (RIKEN), Koichi Tamura (RIKEN), Kenta Yamada (RIKEN), Takao Yoda (Nagahama Institute of Bio-Science and Technology)

Acknowledgments: Norio Takase (Isogo Soft), Yasumasa Joti (RIKEN SPring8), Akira Naruse (NVIDIA), Yukihiko Hirano (NVIDIA Japan), Hikaru Inoue (Fujitsu Ltd.), Tomoyuki Noda (Fujitsu Ltd.), Kiyotaka Sakamoto (Fujitsu Ltd.), Yoshinobu Akinaga (VINAS), Yoshitake Sakae (RIST), Nobuhiko Kato (ASTOM R&D), Toru Shiozaki (QSimulate), Klaas Gunst (QSimulate), Hideyo Yoshida (JSOL Corporation), Kenta Chaki (JSOL Corporation)

Copyright ©2014-2023 RIKEN. All Rights Reserved

## GENESIS website

<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/>

## Citation Information

- C. Kobayashi, J. Jung, Y. Matsunaga, T. Mori, T. Ando, K. Tamura, M. Kamiya, and Y. Sugita, "GENESIS 1.1: A hybrid-parallel molecular dynamics simulator with enhanced sampling algorithms on multiple computational platforms", J. Comput. Chem. 38, 2193-2206 (2017).
- J. Jung, T. Mori, C. Kobayashi, Y. Matsunaga, T. Yoda, M. Feig, and Y. Sugita, "GENESIS: A hybrid-parallel and multi-scale molecular dynamics simulator with enhanced sampling algorithms for biomolecular and cellular simulations", WIREs Computational Molecular Science 5, 310-323 (2015).

## Copyright Notices

Copyright ©2014-2023 RIKEN.

GENESIS is free software; you can redistribute it and/or modify it provided that the following conditions are met:

1. All publications and commercial products using this software should cite the above references, Jung et al (2015) and Kobayashi et al (2017).

2. In addition, proper citations should be given for each specific method used. Visit the website,

<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/citations/>

to see how to cite the original papers.

3. We ask the users to make their best effort to cite the papers in the **main text**. Although it is permitted to cite some of the papers in the supporting information / supplementary materials due to the limitation of article length, the name of the software, GENESIS, and at least one of the papers should appear in the main text.

GENESIS is released under the terms of the GNU Lesser General Public License as published by the Free Software Foundation; either version 3 of the License, or (at your option) any later version.

GENESIS is distributed in the hope that it will be useful, but WITHOUT ANY WARRANTY; without even the implied warranty of MERCHANTABILITY or FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE. See the GNU Lesser General Public License for more details.

You should have received a copy of the GNU Lesser General Public License along with GENESIS – see the file COPYING and COPYING.LESSER. If not, see <https://www.gnu.org/licenses/>.

It should be mentioned this package contains the following softwares for convenience. Please note that these are not covered by the license under which a copy of GENESIS is licensed to you, while neither composition nor distribution of any derivative work of GENESIS with these software violates the terms of each license, provided that it meets every condition of the respective licenses.

### SIMD-oriented Fast Mersenne Twister (SFMT)

SFMT is a new variant of Mersenne Twister (MT) introduced by Mutsuo Saito and Makoto Matsumoto in 2006. The algorithm was reported at MCQMC 2006. The routine is distributed under the New BSD License.

Copyright ©2006,2007 Mutsuo Saito, Makoto Matsumoto and Hiroshima University.  
Copyright ©2012 Mutsuo Saito, Makoto Matsumoto, Hiroshima University and The University of Tokyo. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, are permitted provided that the following conditions are met:

- \* Redistributions of source code must retain the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer.

- \* Redistributions in binary form must reproduce the above copyright notice, this list of conditions and the following disclaimer in the documentation and/or other materials provided with the distribution.

- \* Neither the names of Hiroshima University, The University of Tokyo nor the names of its contributors may be used to endorse or promote products derived from this software without specific prior written permission.

THIS SOFTWARE IS PROVIDED BY THE COPYRIGHT HOLDERS AND CONTRIBUTORS "AS IS" AND ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, THE IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED. IN NO EVENT

SHALL THE COPYRIGHT OWNER OR CONTRIBUTORS BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, PROCUREMENT OF SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF THE USE OF THIS SOFTWARE, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE.

#### FFTE: A Fast Fourier Transform Package

FFTE (<http://www.ffte.jp/>) is written by Daisuke Takahashi (Tsukuba University).

Copyright ©2000-2004, 2008-2011 Daisuke Takahashi (Tsukuba University).

You may use, copy, modify this code for any purpose (include commercial use) and without fee. You may distribute this ORIGINAL package.

#### Complementary error function: erfc04

A Complementary error function routine (erfc04) is written by SunSoft, a Sun Microsystems, Inc. business.

Copyright ©1993 Sun Microsystems, Inc.

Developed at SunSoft, a Sun Microsystems, Inc. business. Permission to use, copy, modify, and distribute this software is freely granted, provided that this notice is preserved (see `math_libs.fpp`).

#### L-BFGS-B (version 3.0)

L-BFGS-B (<http://users.iems.northwestern.edu/~nocedal/lbfgsb.html>) is written by C. Zhu, R. Byrd, J. Nocedal and J. L. Morales.

This software is freely available, but we expect that all publications describing work using this software, or all commercial products using it, quote at least one of the references given below. This software is released under the "New BSD License" (aka "Modified BSD License" or "3-clause license").

R. H. Byrd, P. Lu and J. Nocedal. A Limited Memory Algorithm for Bound Constrained Optimization, (1995), SIAM Journal on Scientific and Statistical Computing, 16, 5, pp. 1190-1208.

C. Zhu, R. H. Byrd and J. Nocedal. L-BFGS-B: Algorithm 778: L-BFGS-B, FORTRAN routines for large scale bound constrained optimization (1997), ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 23, Num. 4, pp. 550-560.

J.L. Morales and J. Nocedal. L-BFGS-B: Remark on Algorithm 778: L-BFGS-B, FORTRAN routines for large scale bound constrained optimization (2011), ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software, Vol 38, Num. 1, Article No. 7.

#### JSON-Fortran (version 8.2.5)

JSON-Fortran (<https://github.com/jacobwilliams/json-fortran>) developed by J. Williams, is a user-friendly, thread-safe, and object-oriented API for reading and writing JSON files, written in modern Fortran

The JSON-Fortran source code and related files and documentation are distributed under a permissive free software license (BSD-style). See the LICENSE file (src/lib/json-fortran/LICENSE) for more details.

# CONTENTS

|          |  |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
| <b>1</b> | <b>Introduction</b>  | <b>8</b>  |
| <b>2</b> | <b>Getting Started</b>   | <b>10</b> |
| 2.1      | Installation of GENESIS . . . . .                                | 10        |
| 2.2      | Basic usage of GENESIS . . . . .                                 | 24        |
| 2.3      | Control file . . . . .   | 26        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>Available Programs</b>  | <b>28</b> |
| 3.1      | Simulators . . . . .   | 28        |
| 3.2      | Analysis tools . . . . .   | 32        |
| 3.3      | Parallel I/O tools . . . . .                                     | 36        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>Input section</b>   | <b>37</b> |
| 4.1      | How to prepare input files . . . . .                             | 37        |
| 4.2      | General input files . . . . .                                    | 38        |
| 4.3      | Input files for implicit solvent models . . . . .                | 39        |
| 4.4      | Input files for restraint . . . . .                              | 40        |
| 4.5      | Input files for REMD and RPATH simulations . . . . .             | 41        |
| 4.6      | Examples . . . . .   | 41        |
| <b>5</b> | <b>Output section</b>  | <b>44</b> |
| 5.1      | General output files . . . . .                                   | 44        |
| 5.2      | Output files in REMD and RPATH simulations . . . . .             | 45        |
| 5.3      | Output file in GaMD simulations . . . . .                        | 45        |
| 5.4      | Output file in Vibrational analysis . . . . .                    | 45        |
| 5.5      | Output file in FEP simulations . . . . .                         | 46        |
| 5.6      | Examples . . . . .   | 46        |
| <b>6</b> | <b>Energy section</b>  | <b>47</b> |
| 6.1      | Force fields . . . . .   | 47        |
| 6.2      | Non-bonded interactions . . . . .                                | 48        |
| 6.3      | Particle mesh Ewald method . . . . .                             | 51        |
| 6.4      | External electric field . . . . .                                | 53        |
| 6.5      | Lookup table . . . . .   | 54        |
| 6.6      | Generalized Born/Solvent-Accessible Surface-Area model . . . . . | 55        |
| 6.7      | EEF1, IMM1, and IMIC implicit solvent models . . . . .           | 57        |
| 6.8      | Residue-level coarse-grained models . . . . .                    | 60        |
| 6.9      | Examples . . . . .   | 65        |

|           |   |            |
|-----------|---|------------|
| <b>7</b>  | <b>Dynamics section</b>   | <b>67</b>  |
| 7.1       | Molecular dynamics simulations . . . . .                        | 67         |
| 7.2       | Hydrogen mass repartitioning (HMR) . . . . .                    | 70         |
| 7.3       | Simulated annealing and heating . . . . .                       | 70         |
| 7.4       | Targeted MD and Steered MD simulations . . . . .                | 71         |
| 7.5       | Examples . . . . .  | 72         |
| <b>8</b>  | <b>Minimize section</b>   | <b>74</b>  |
| 8.1       | Energy minimization . . . . .                                   | 74         |
| 8.2       | Steepest descent method . . . . .                               | 75         |
| 8.3       | LBFGS method . . . . .  | 76         |
| 8.4       | Macro/micro-iteration scheme in QM/MM . . . . .                 | 76         |
| 8.5       | Fixing ring penetrations and chirality errors . . . . .         | 77         |
| 8.6       | Examples . . . . .  | 79         |
| <b>9</b>  | <b>Constraints section</b>                                      | <b>80</b>  |
| 9.1       | SHAKE/RATTLE algorithms . . . . .                               | 80         |
| 9.2       | SETTLE algorithm . . . . .                                      | 81         |
| 9.3       | LINCS algorithm . . . . .                                       | 82         |
| 9.4       | Examples . . . . .  | 82         |
| <b>10</b> | <b>Ensemble section</b>   | <b>83</b>  |
| 10.1      | Thermostat and barostat . . . . .                               | 83         |
| 10.2      | Examples . . . . .  | 87         |
| <b>11</b> | <b>Boundary section</b>   | <b>88</b>  |
| 11.1      | Boundary condition . . . . .                                    | 88         |
| 11.2      | Domain decomposition . . . . .                                  | 89         |
| 11.3      | Spherical potential . . . . .                                   | 90         |
| 11.4      | Examples . . . . .  | 92         |
| <b>12</b> | <b>Selection section</b>  | <b>94</b>  |
| 12.1      | Atom selection . . . . .  | 94         |
| 12.2      | Examples . . . . .  | 95         |
| <b>13</b> | <b>Restraints section</b>                                       | <b>97</b>  |
| 13.1      | Restraint potential . . . . .                                   | 97         |
| 13.2      | Examples . . . . .  | 101        |
| <b>14</b> | <b>Fitting section</b>  | <b>102</b> |
| 14.1      | Structure fitting . . . . .                                     | 102        |
| 14.2      | Examples . . . . .  | 103        |
| <b>15</b> | <b>REMD section</b>   | <b>104</b> |
| 15.1      | Replica-exchange molecular-dynamics simulation (REMD) . . . . . | 104        |
| 15.2      | Replica-exchange umbrella-sampling (REUS) . . . . .             | 106        |
| 15.3      | Replica-exchange with solute-tempering (gREST) . . . . .        | 107        |
| 15.4      | Examples . . . . .  | 108        |
| <b>16</b> | <b>RPATH section</b>  | <b>111</b> |
| 16.1      | Reaction Path Search . . . . .                                  | 111        |
| 16.2      | Minimum Free-Energy Path (MFEP) Search . . . . .                | 111        |
| 16.3      | Minimum Energy Path (MEP) Search . . . . .                      | 113        |

|   |            |
|---|------------|
| 16.4 Examples . . . . .   | 115        |
| <b>17 GAMD section</b>  | <b>118</b> |
| 17.1 Gaussian accelerated Molecular Dynamics . . . . .              | 118        |
| 17.2 Examples . . . . .   | 121        |
| <b>18 QMMM section</b>  | <b>124</b> |
| 18.1 Quantum mechanics/Molecular mechanics method (QM/MM) . . . . . | 124        |
| 18.2 Examples . . . . .   | 126        |
| <b>19 Vibration section</b>   | <b>128</b> |
| 19.1 Normal mode analysis . . . . .                                 | 128        |
| 19.2 Anharmonic vibrational calculations . . . . .                  | 130        |
| 19.3 Examples . . . . .   | 130        |
| <b>20 Experiments section</b>                                       | <b>132</b> |
| 20.1 Cryo-EM flexible fitting . . . . .                             | 132        |
| 20.2 Examples . . . . .   | 135        |
| <b>21 Alchemy section</b>   | <b>138</b> |
| 21.1 Free energy perturbation (FEP) . . . . .                       | 138        |
| 21.2 Parameters for alchemy section . . . . .                       | 146        |
| 21.3 Examples . . . . .   | 149        |
| <b>22 Trouble Shooting</b>  | <b>152</b> |
| <b>23 Appendix</b>  | <b>154</b> |
| 23.1 Install the requirements in Linux . . . . .                    | 154        |
| 23.2 Install the requirements in Mac . . . . .                      | 157        |
| <b>Bibliography</b>   | <b>160</b> |



## INTRODUCTION

**GENESIS** (*Generalized-Ensemble Simulation System*) is a suite of computer programs for carrying out molecular dynamics (MD) simulations of biomolecular systems. MD simulations of biomolecules such as proteins, nucleic acids, lipid bilayers, N-glycans, are used as important research tools in structural and molecular biology. Many useful MD simulation packages [1] [2] [3] [4] [5] are now available together with accurate molecular force field parameter sets [6] [7] [8] [9] [10]. Most of the MD software have been optimized and parallelized for distributed-memory parallel supercomputers or PC-clusters. Therefore hundreds of CPUs or CPU cores can be used efficiently for a single MD simulation of a relatively large biomolecular system, typically composed of several hundred thousands of atoms. In recent years, the number of available CPUs or CPU cores is rapidly increasing. The implementation of highly efficient parallel schemes is therefore required in modern MD simulation programs. Accelerators such as GPGPU (General-Purpose computing on Graphics Processing Units) also become popular, and thus their utilization is also desired. Actually, many MD program packages support various accelerators.

Our major motivation is to develop MD simulation software with a scalable performance on such modern supercomputers. For this purpose, we have developed the software from scratch, introducing the hybrid (MPI + OpenMP) parallelism, several new parallel algorithms [11] [12], and GPGPU calculation. Another motivation is to develop a simple MD program, which can be easily understood and modified for methodological developments. These two policies (high parallel performance and simplicity) usually conflict each other in computer software. To avoid the conflict, we have developed two MD programs in **GENESIS**, namely **SPDYN** (*Spatial decomposition dynamics*) and **ATDYN** (*Atomic decomposition dynamics*).

**SPDYN** and **ATDYN** share almost the same data structures, subroutines, and modules, but differ in their parallelization schemes. In **SPDYN**, the spatial decomposition scheme is implemented with new parallel algorithms [11] [12] and GPGPU calculation. In **ATDYN**, the atomic decomposition scheme is introduced for simplicity. The performance of **ATDYN** is not comparable to **SPDYN** due to the simple parallelization scheme. However, **ATDYN** is easier to modify for development of new algorithms or novel molecular models. We hope that users develop new methodologies in **ATDYN** at first and, eventually, port them to **SPDYN** for the better performance. As we maintain consistency between the source codes of **ATDYN** and **SPDYN**, switching from **ATDYN** to **SPDYN** is not quite hard.

Other features in **GENESIS** are listed below:

- Not only atomistic molecular force field (CHARMM, AMBER) but also some coarse-grained models are available in **ATDYN**.
- For extremely large biomolecular systems (more than 10 million atoms), parallel input/output (I/O) scheme is implemented.
- **GENESIS** is optimized for K and Fugaku computer (developed by RIKEN and Fujitsu company), but it is also available on Intel-based supercomputers and PC-clusters.

- **GENESIS** is written in modern Fortran language (90/95/2003) using modules and dynamic memory allocation. No common blocks are used.
- **GENESIS** is free software under the GNU Lesser General Public License (LGPL) version 3 or later. We allow users to use/modify **GENESIS** and redistribute the modified version under the same license.

This user manual mainly provides detailed description of keywords used in the control file. Tutorials for standard MD simulations, REMD simulations, and some analyses are [available online](https://www.rccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/) (<https://www.rccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/>). We recommend new users of **GENESIS** to start from the next chapter to learn a basic idea, installation, and work flow of the program.

Comparing to other MD software, e.g. AMBER, CHARMM, or NAMD, **GENESIS** is a very young MD simulation program. Before releasing the program, the developers and contributors in **GENESIS** development team worked hard to fix all bugs in the program, and performed a bunch of test simulations. Still, there might be defects or bugs in **GENESIS**. Since we cannot bear any responsibility for the simulation results produced by **GENESIS**, we strongly recommend the users to check the results carefully.

The **GENESIS** development team has a rich plan for future development of methodology and molecular models. We would like to make **GENESIS** one of the most powerful and feasible MD software packages, contributing to computational chemistry and biophysics. Computational studies in life science is still at a very early stage (like ‘GENESIS’) compared to established experimental researches. We hope that **GENESIS** pushes forward the computational science and contribute to bio-tech and medical applications in the future.

## GETTING STARTED

### 2.1 Installation of GENESIS

#### 2.1.1 Requirements

##### Compilers

**GENESIS** works on various systems: laptop PCs, workstations, cluster machines, and supercomputers. Since the source code of **GENESIS** is mainly written in Fortran language, Fortran compiler is the first requirement for installation. In addition, “preprocessor” is required, because the source code is “processed” according to the user’s computer environment before the compilation. One of the commonly used Fortran compilers is `gfortran`, which is freely available as part of the GNU Compiler Collection (GCC). In this case, `cpp` is selected as a preprocessor, which is also available freely. Another recommended Fortran compiler is `ifort` provided by Intel Corporation which enables us to run the program much faster on Intel CPU. In the Intel compiler package, `fpp` is provided as a preprocessor. Fujitsu compiler `frtpx`, which also functions as a preprocessor, is suitable for Fujitsu machines like FX100.

##### MPI and OpenMP

Both **ATDYN** and **SPDYN** work on multiple CPU cores using MPI (Message Passing Interface) and OpenMP protocols (hybrid MPI+OpenMP). MPI and OpenMP are commonly used for parallel computing. In general, MPI is employed for communication between different machines, nodes, or processors, where the memory is not shared among them (distributed-memory). On the other hand, OpenMP is employed in a single processor, and thus, memory is shared in the parallel computation.

OpenMP is natively supported in most modern Fortran compilers. As for MPI, however, the users may have to install MPI libraries by themselves, especially, in the case of laptop PCs and workstations. One of the commonly used MPI software is OpenMPI (<https://www.open-mpi.org/>). When the users install the OpenMPI libraries in the computer, the users must specify Fortran and C compilers (e.g., `gfortran` and `gcc`) to be used with MPI. After installing the libraries, the users can use `mpif90`, `mpicc`, and `mpirun`, which are necessary to compile and run the program that is parallelized with MPI. OpenMPI is available freely, and the example installation scheme is shown in [Appendix](#). Intel and Fujitsu Corporations are also providing their own MPI libraries for parallel computation.

## Mathematical libraries

**GENESIS** utilizes mathematical libraries such as LAPACK and BLAS (<http://www.netlib.org/lapack/>). These libraries enable us to efficiently solve complicated mathematical equations such as eigenvalue problems and singular value decomposition. The users have to install these libraries by themselves, if they are not installed in the computer (see [Appendix](#)). In the case of the Intel and Fujitsu compilers, Intel MKL and Fujitsu SSL II are automatically selected, respectively.

## GPGPU

**SPDYN** works not only with CPU but also with CPU+GPU. Some of the source code in **SPDYN** are written in CUDA, which enables us to effectively run the program on NVIDIA GPU cards. If the users want to run **SPDYN** with GPGPU calculations, the CUDA toolkit (<https://developer.nvidia.com/cuda-toolkit>) must be also installed in the computer. Note that OpenACC is not employed in **GENESIS** currently.

---

The recommended compilers, preprocessors, and libraries for **GENESIS** are listed below. Please make sure that at least one of them in each section is installed on your system (GPU is optional). If the users do not use the Intel or Fujitsu compilers, the combination of GCC compiler, GCC preprocessor, and OpenMPI is recommended.

- Operating systems (see [Appendix](#))
  - Linux
  - macOS
  - Windows 10/11
- Fortran and C compilers
  - GCC compiler `gfortran`, `gcc` (version 4.4.7 or higher is required)
  - Intel compiler `ifort`, `icc`
  - Fujitsu compiler `frtpx`, `fccpx`
- Preprocessors
  - GCC preprocessor `cpp`
  - Intel preprocessor `fpp`
  - Fujitsu compiler `frtpx`
- MPI libraries for parallel computing
  - OpenMPI `mpirun`, `mpif90`, `mpicc`
  - Intel MPI
  - Fujitsu MPI
- Numerical libraries for mathematical algorithms
  - LAPACK/BLAS
  - Intel Math Kernel Library (MKL)
  - Fujitsu Scientific Subroutine Library (SSL II)

- GPU (Optional)
  - NVIDIA GPU cards which support Compute Capability (CC) 3.5 or higher
  - The following GPU cards and CUDA versions have been tested by the GENESIS developers
    - \* NVIDIA K20, K40, P100, TITAN V, GTX 1080, GTX 1080Ti, RTX 2080, RTX 2080Ti, RTX 3090
    - \* CUDA ver. 8.0, 9.0, 9.1, 9.2, 10.0, 11.4, 11.5

---

**Note:** If you are using a supercomputer at a university or research institute, the above requirements are likely already provided, so you do not need to install them yourself. Please refer to the users' guide of the supercomputer, or consult the system administrator.

In general, the latest version of CUDA does not support the latest version of GCC compiler. If you cannot compile GENESIS with new CUDA and new GCC compiler, please first make an attempt to install the new CUDA using an older GCC compiler, and then install GENESIS with those CUDA and GCC compilers.

---

## 2.1.2 General scheme for installation

### Step1. Download the source code

The source code of **GENESIS** is available in the GENESIS website (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/download/>). The users have to first uncompress the download file in an appropriate directory. Here, we assume that the users install **GENESIS** in “\$HOME/genesis”. The “src” directory contains the source code, and “COPYING” is the software license.

```
$ mkdir $HOME/genesis
$ cd $HOME/genesis
$ mv ~/Downloads/genesis-2.0.0.tar.bz2 ./
$ tar xvfj genesis-2.0.0.tar.bz2
$ cd genesis-2.0.0
$ ls
AUTHORS          Makefile          bootstrap          depcomp
COPYING           Makefile.am       cleanup            fortdep.py
COPYING.LESSER   Makefile.in       compile           install-sh
ChangeLog         NEWS              config.log         missing
HOW_TO           README            config.status      src
INSTALL           aclocal.m4        configure          version_scripts
LICENSE           autom4te.cache    configure.ac
```

### Step2. Configure

In order to compile the source code, the users execute the “configure” script in the directory. This script automatically detects appropriate compilers, preprocessors, and libraries in the users’ computer, and create “Makefile”.

```
$ ./configure
```

If you encountered a failure in the configure command, please check the error message carefully. You may have to add appropriate options in this command according to your computer environment (see [Advanced installation](#)). The followings are possible suggestions to solve frequent problems. Other solutions might be found in the online page (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/installation/>).

- First of all, please check whether the Fortran and C compilers are installed in your computer. If you are going to run GENESIS with multiple CPUs, you should additionally install MPI libraries such as OpenMPI before compiling GENESIS (see [Appendix](#)).
- If you see the error message “configure: error: lapack is not correct”, make sure that the BLAS or LAPACK libraries are installed in the computer (see also [Appendix](#)). The users may also have to set the path to the libraries in the “configure” command with the “LAPACK\_LIBS” or “LAPACK\_PATH” option (see [Advanced installation](#)).
- If you see the error message “configure: error: Fortran compiler cannot create executables”, it may imply that the path to the installed compilers or MPI libraries might not be correctly set in “~/.bashrc” or “~/.bash\_profile” (see [Appendix](#)). This configure script automatically detects “mpiifort”, “mpif90”, “mpifrtpx”, or “mpifrt” for Fortran compiler, and “mpicc”, “mpifccpx”, or “mpifrt” for C compiler. The error message may indicate that the detection was failed due to some reasons. For example, if you installed OpenMPI in your computer, both “mpif90” and “mpicc” should be detected. Please check the path to these executables by typing the “which” command (e.g., which mpif90) in the terminal window. If you cannot see any paths, setting of the path

in “~/bashrc” or “~/bash\_profile” might have a mistake (see [Appendix](#)). You should also check typing mistakes of the path.

- If the recommended software are not used in compilation, warning messages might be displayed in the terminal when the configure command is executed. Those messages are just a warning (not an error), and you may continue the compilation. However, we strongly recommended you to verify the installation in such cases (see [Verify the installation](#)).
- In some supercomputer systems, “module load [module]” command is required to use compilers, and need to be set before the configure. See the user guide of the system.
- Try “autoreconf” or “./bootstrap” before the configure command, if your computer environment is significantly different from what we assume and/or if you modify “configure.ac” or “Makefile.am” by yourself.

### Step3. Make install

After the “configure” command is successful, type the following command to compile and install **GENESIS**. All programs in **GENESIS** are compiled and installed into the “./bin” directory by default.

```
$ make install
```

If you encountered a failure, please check the error message carefully. In many cases, errors are caused by invalid path of compilers and libraries. The followings are possible suggestions to solve frequent problems. Other solutions might be found in the online page (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/installation/>).

- If the error message is like “/usr/bin/ld: cannot find -lblas” or “/usr/bin/ld: cannot find -llapack”, make sure that the BLAS or LAPACK libraries are installed in the computer (see also [Appendix](#)). The users may also have to set the path to the libraries in the “configure” command with the “LAPACK\_LIBS” or “LAPACK\_PATH” option (see [Advanced installation](#)).
- If you have installed additional software or libraries to solve a make error, please execute “make clean”, and try Step2 and “make install” again.

### Step4. Confirmation

After the installation is successfully finished, the following binary files are found in the “bin” directory. There are 44 programs in total. Brief description of each program is shown in [Available Programs](#).

```
$ ls ./bin
atdyn                hb_analysis          qval_residcg_analysis
avecrd_analysis      hbond_analysis       rdf_analysis
cg_convert           kmeans_clustering   remd_convert
comcrd_analysis      lipidthick_analysis  rg_analysis
contact_analysis     mbar_analysis        ring_analysis
crd_convert          meanforce_analysis   rmsd_analysis
density_analysis     morph_generator       rpath_generator
diffusion_analysis   msd_analysis         rst_convert
distmat_analysis     pathcv_analysis      rst_upgrade
drms_analysis        pcavec_drawer        sasa_analysis
dssp_interface       pcrd_convert         spdyn
eigmat_analysis      pmf_analysis         tilt_analysis
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

|                 |                 |               |
|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| emmap_generator | prjcrd_analysis | trj_analysis  |
| flccrd_analysis | qmmm_generator  | wham_analysis |
| fret_analysis   | qval_analysis   |               |



### 2.1.3 Advanced installation

In the above scheme, **GENESIS** is installed with default options, and all installed programs run on CPU with double precision calculation. The users can specify additional options in the configure command according to the users' computer environment or desired conditions. The full lists of the available options are obtained by “./configure --help”. The representative options are as follows.

--enable-single

Turn on single precision calculation. In this case, only **SPDYN** is installed.

--enable-mixed

Turn on mixed precision calculation. In this case, only **SPDYN** is installed.

--enable-double (default)

Turn on double precision calculation. In this case, all binaries are installed.

--enable-gpu

Turn on GPGPU calculation. In this case, only **SPDYN** is installed.

--with-cuda=PATH

Define path to the CUDA libraries manually.

--disable-mpi

Turn off MPI parallelization. In this case, **SPDYN** is not installed.

--disable-parallel\_IO (default)

Do not install the parallel I/O tool (**prst\_setup**)

--enable-debug

Turn on program debugging (see below)

--prefix=PREFIX

Install the programs in the directory designated by PREFIX

--with-msmpi

Turn on use of MSMPI. Compilation and execution must be done on Windows10/11.

---

### Configuration with specified compilers

The users can explicitly specify the compiler in the configure command. Fortran compiler is specified with FC and F77, and C compiler with CC. For example, in the case of “mpiifort” and “mpiicc”, the following options are added:

```
$ ./configure CC=mpiicc FC=mpiifort F77=mpiifort
```

## Configuration with an explicit path to LAPACK/BLAS libraries

The following is an example command to set the path to LAPACK and BLAS libraries that are installed in `$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1/` (see also [Appendix](#)). Please be careful about the filename of the installed libraries. If the BLAS libraries are installed as “librefblas.a”, the option “-lrefblas” must be used. If “librefblas.a” is renamed to “libblas.a”, the following command can be used. Linking with the reverse order of “-llapack” and “-lblas” might also cause a failure of installation of **GENESIS**.

```
$ ./configure LAPACK_LIBS="-L$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1 -llapack -lblas"
```

or use the “LAPACK\_PATH” option:

```
$ ./configure LAPACK_PATH=$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1
```

## Configuration for single-precision calculation on CPU

The following command is used to turn on single-precision calculation in **SPDYN**. In this case, force calculations are carried out with single precision, while integration of the equations of motion as well as accumulation of the force and energy are still done with double-precision.

```
$ ./configure --enable-single
```

The mixed precision calculation is also prepared.

```
$ ./configure --enable-mixed
```

Only **SPDYN** that works on CPU will be installed with these options. If the user additionally needs analysis tools as well as **ATDYN**, one must prepare another GENESIS directory, and install without “--enable-single” or “--enable-mixed” option.

## Configuration for GPGPU calculation

In the following command, the users install **SPDYN** that works on CPU+GPU with single-precision calculation. If “--enable-single” or “--enable-mixed” is omitted in the command, **SPDYN** works on CPU+GPU with double-precision calculation.

```
$ ./configure --enable-single --enable-gpu
```

Here, if the users encountered an error message like “nvcc: command not found”, make sure that the CUDA Toolkit is installed in the computer. In typical Linux workstations or cluster machines, CUDA is installed in “/usr/local/cuda-x.x/” or “/usr/lib/x86\_64-linux-gnu/”, and “nvcc” should be in a “bin” directory of the install directory. The path to “nvcc” and CUDA libraries should be set in a startup file such as “~/.bashrc”. For example, add the following information to “~/.bashrc” in the case of CUDA 11.4,

```
CUDAROOT=/usr/local/cuda-11.4
export PATH=$CUDAROOT/bin:$PATH
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$CUDAROOT/lib64:/lib:$LD_LIBRARY_PATH
```

then reload “~/.bashrc” and try the configure command again. If there still remain some troubles, explicitly specify a path to CUDA libraries in the configure command by:

```
$ ./configure --enable-single --enable-gpu --with-cuda=/usr/local/cuda-11.4
```

## Configuration for supercomputer systems

The configuration for supercomputer systems may require non-standard setups. In the online usage page, we describe recommended configure options for some supercomputers (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/usage/>).

For example, the following commands are used to compile **GENESIS** on Fugaku in RIKEN. Note that the parallel I/O tool (**prst\_setup**) is not compiled in this configuration, because Fujitsu compiler has a trouble in compiling **prst\_setup** (see also *Available Programs*).

```
$ ./configure --enable-mixed --host=Fugaku
```

## Configuration for single CPU calculations

By specifying the “--disable-mpi” option, the users can install **GENESIS** that can work on one CPU. The configure script automatically looks for “gfortran”, “ifort”, “frt”, or “frtpx” for Fortran compiler, and “gcc”, “icc”, “fcc”, or “fccpx” for C compiler. Therefore, in this case MPI libraries are not required for the installation and execution of **GENESIS**. **ATDYN** and analysis tools are installed.

```
$ ./configure --disable-mpi
```

## Configuration for program debugging

If the users encountered memory leak errors during the simulation using **GENESIS**, the origin of the error might be tracked by using a program compiled with a debug option. Note that the debug option makes the calculation much slow. In this case, the runtime check is activated only for CPU codes, even if the “--enable-gpu” option is added to the command.

```
$ ./configure --enable-debug=3
```

Note that --enable-debug corresponds to --enable-debug=1.

- 0 = no debugging (default)
- 1 = debugging without intensive optimization
- 2 = LEVEL1 + debug information (-g and -DDEBUG)
- 3 = LEVEL2 + memory check (if possible)
- 4 = LEVEL3 + full check (intel compiler only)

### Installation using multiple CPU cores (parallel compile)

In Step3, `-j` option is available, which enables quick compilation of the program using multiple CPU cores. The following command uses 4 CPU cores.

```
$ make -j 4 install
```

If you encountered an error message like “Fatal Error: Can’t delete temporary module file ‘...’: No such file or directory”, please try “make install” without the “`-j`” option.

## 2.1.4 Verify the installation

The users can verify the installation of **GENESIS** by using test sets which are available in the **GENESIS** website (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/download/>). Please uncompress the downloaded file in an appropriate directory, and move to the “regression\_test” directory. Note that the file name of the tar.bz2 file contains the date (year, month, and day), so please change the following execution commands accordingly.

```
$ cd $HOME/genesis
$ mv ~/Downloads/tests-2.0.0_YYMMDD.tar.bz2 ./
$ tar xvfj tests-2.0.0_YYMMDD.tar.bz2
$ cd tests-2.0.0_YYMMDD/regression_test
$ ls
build          test_analysis    test_gamd_spdyn    test_rpath_atdyn
charmm.py      test_atdyn       test_nonstrict.py  test_rpath_spdyn
cleanup.sh     test_common      test_parallel_IO   test_spana
fep.py         test_fep         test_remd.py       test_spdyn
genesis.py     test_fep.py      test_remd_common   test_vib
param         test_gamd.py     test_remd_spdyn    test_vib.py
test.py        test_gamd_atdyn  test_rpath.py
```

In the sub-directories in “regression\_test”, the users can find a lot of input files (“inp”), in which various combinations of simulation parameters are specified. In addition, each sub-directory contains output file (“ref”) obtained by the developers. The users run “test.py”, “test\_remd.py”, “test\_rpath.py”, and so on, which enable automatic comparison between the users’ and developers’ results for each MD algorithm.

### Run the basic tests

The following is an example command to verify the two simulators **atdyn** and **spdyn** for basic MD and energy minimization. Here, the programs are executed using 1 CPU core with the “mpirun” command. The users can increase the number of MPI processors according to the users’ computer environment, but only 1, 2, 4, or 8 are allowed in these tests. Other MPI launchers such as “mpiexec” are also available in the command. There are about 50 test sets, and each test should finish in a few seconds.

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
$ ./test.py "mpirun -np 1 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/atdyn"
$ ./test.py "mpirun -np 1 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn"
```

If any tests cannot run, please check the following points:

- Number of OpenMP threads should be specified before running the tests (one is recommended).
- Original executable file name (e.g., **spdyn** and **atdyn**) must not be changed.
- Python ver. 3 is used.

For **spdyn** on Fugaku in RIKEN, the number of MPI processors be greater than or equal to 8. We recommend to use mixed precision on Fugaku.

The “test.py” script compares energy in log file between the developer’s and user’s ones. If the energy differences are less than the tolerance (default = 1.00e-08), “Passed” is displayed for each test. Among the physical quantities in the log file, virial is the most sensitive to numerical factors, and thus, the tolerance for virial is set to a larger value (1.00e-06). After all tests are finished, the total number of succeeded, failed, and aborted runs will be displayed at the end.

```

Passed 46 / 46
Failed 0 / 46
Aborted 0 / 46

```

If all tests were passed, it means that your **GENESIS** can generate identical results to the developer's **GENESIS**. Note that the developer's **GENESIS** was compiled with Intel compilers, Intel MKL, Open-MPI library, and the double precision option on Intel CPUs. If your computer system is significantly different from the developer's one, unexpected numerical errors may happen, which can cause failures in some tests. If there were any aborted tests, the users had better check their log or error files carefully, which exist in the tested sub-directory, and figure out why the error happened. The followings are suggestions to solve typical problems:

- If some tests were aborted due to “memory allocation error”, the reason might come from limitation of the memory size. Namely, those tested systems were too large for your computer. The problem should not be so serious.
- Available number of MPI slots in your computer might be actually smaller than the given number of MPI processors. Try to use less number of MPI processors.
- Try to specify the “absolute path” to the program instead of using “relative path”.
- Make sure that the MPI environment is properly set.
- Detailed solutions in specific supercomputer systems might be found in the GENESIS website (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/usage/>).

## Run the additional tests

By using a similar way, the users can check other functions in **atdyn** and **spdyn**, such as GaMD, REMD, path sampling, vibrational analysis, parallel I/O, and GPGPU calculation. Available number of MPI processors depends on each test (test\_gamd: 1, 2, 4, 8; test\_remd: 4, 8, 16, 32; test\_rpath: 8; test\_vib: 8; parallel\_io: 8; gpu: 1, 2, 4, 8). As for the GPGPU tests, the users must use **spdyn** that was installed with the “-enable-gpu” option. The parallel\_io tests require both **spdyn** and **prst\_setup**. Note that **prst\_setup** is not installed in some cases according to the configure options or compilers (see [Advanced installation](#)). In order to run the analysis tool tests, the users first move to “test\_analysis”, and then execute “./test\_analysis.py”. Note that MPI is not used in the analysis tool tests. In a similar way, the users can test the SPANA (spatial decomposition analysis) tool sets. SPANA tool sets are tested with 1, 2, 4, and 8 MPI processes.

```

$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
$ ./test_gamd.py "mpirun -np 1 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/atdyn"
$ ./test_gamd.py "mpirun -np 1 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn"
$ ./test_remd.py "mpirun -np 4 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/atdyn"
$ ./test_remd.py "mpirun -np 4 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn"
$ ./test_fep.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn"
$ ./test_rpath.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/atdyn"
$ ./test_rpath.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn"
$ ./test_vib.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/atdyn"
$ ./test.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn" parallel_io
$ ./test.py "mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn" gpu

$ cd test_analysis
$ ./cleanup.sh

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
$ ./test_analysis.py ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/

$ cd test_spana
$ ./cleanup.sh
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=1
$ ./test_spana.py ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/
```

---

**Note:** Some tests might be using “abnormal” parameters or conditions in the input files for the sake of simple tests. Do not use such parameters in your research. “Normal” parameters are mainly introduced in this user manual or online tutorials.

---

### 2.1.5 Clean install and re-compilation

The following commands are used to fully recompile **GENESIS**. Note that the direct “make clean” command may not work in the case where `Makefiles` were created in another machine. In this case, the users must run the “./configure” command before “make clean”.

```
$ make clean
$ ./configure [option]
$ make install
```

### 2.1.6 Uninstall

The user can uninstall **GENESIS** by just removing the program directory. If the user changed the install directory by specifying “--prefix=PREFIX” in the configure command, please remove the programs (**atdyn**, **spdyn**, and so on) in the “PREFIX” directory.

```
$ rm -rf $HOME/genesis/genesis-2.0.0
```



## 2.2 Basic usage of GENESIS

### 2.2.1 Running GENESIS on a command line

The **GENESIS** programs are executed on a command line. The first argument is basically interpreted as an input file of the program. The input file, which we call *control file* hereafter, contains parameters for simulations. The following examples show typical usage of the **GENESIS** programs. In the case of serial execution,

```
$ [program_name] [control_file]
```

In the case of parallel execution with “mpirun”,

```
$ mpirun -np n [program_name] [control_file]
```

For example, **SPDYN** is executed in the following way using 8 MPI processors:

```
$ mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn INP
```

The users should specify an OpenMP thread number explicitly before running the program. Appropriate number of CPU cores must be used according to the user’s computer environment (see also [Available Programs](#)). For example, if the users want to use 32 CPU cores in the calculation, the following command might be executed.

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn INP
```

As for the analysis tools, the usage is almost same, but mpirun is not used. Note that some analysis tools (e.g., *mbar\_analysis*, *wham\_analysis*, *msd\_analysis*, and *drms\_analysis*) are parallelized with OpenMP.

```
# RMSD analysis tool
$ ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/rmsd_analysis INP

# MBAR analysis
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/mbar_analysis INP
```

### 2.2.2 Automatic generation of a template control file

Basic usage of each program is shown by executing the program with the `-h` option. In addition, sample control file of each program can be obtained with the `-h ctrl` option:

```
# Show the usage of the program
$ [program_name] -h

# Display a template control file
$ [program_name] -h ctrl [module_name]
```

For example, in the case of **SPDYN**, the following messages are displayed:

```

$ spdyn -h

# normal usage
% mpirun -np XX ./spdyn INP

# check control parameters of md
% ./spdyn -h ctrl md

# check control parameters of min
% ./spdyn -h ctrl min

# check control parameters of remd
% ./spdyn -h ctrl remd

# check control parameters of rpath
% ./spdyn -h ctrl rpath

# check all control parameters of md
% ./spdyn -h ctrl_all md

(skipped...)

```

This message tells the users that **SPDYN** can be executed with **mpirun**. A template control file for molecular dynamics simulation (md) can be generated by executing **SPDYN** with the **-h ctrl md** option. The same way is applicable for energy minimization (min), replica exchange simulation (remd), and replica path sampling simulation (rpath). The template control file for energy minimization is shown below. If the users want to show all available options, please specify **ctrl\_all** instead of **ctrl**. The users can edit this template control file to perform the simulation that the users want to do.

```

$ ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn -h ctrl min > INP

$ less INP

[INPUT]
topfile = sample.top           # topology file
parfile = sample.par           # parameter file
psffile = sample.psf           # protein structure file
pdbfile = sample.pdb           # PDB file

[ENERGY]
forcefield    = CHARMM          # [CHARMM, AMBER, GROAMBER, GROMARTINI]
electrostatic = PME              # [CUTOFF, PME]
switchdist    = 10.0            # switch distance
cutoffdist    = 12.0            # cutoff distance
pairlistdist  = 13.5            # pair-list distance

[MINIMIZE]
method        = SD              # [SD]
nsteps        = 100             # number of minimization steps

[BOUNDARY]
type          = PBC             # [PBC, NOBC]

```

## 2.3 Control file

In the control file, detailed simulation conditions are specified. The control file consists of several sections (e.g., **[INPUT]**, **[OUTPUT]**, **[ENERGY]**, **[ENSEMBLE]**, and so on), each of which contains closely-related keywords. For example, in the **[ENERGY]** section, parameters are specified for the potential energy calculation such as a force field type and cut-off distance. In the **[ENSEMBLE]** section, there are parameters to select the algorithm to control the temperature and pressure in addition to the target temperature and pressure of the system. Here, we show example control files for the energy minimization and normal molecular dynamics simulations.

### 2.3.1 Example control file for the energy minimization

The control file for the energy minimization must include a **[MINIMIZE]** section (see [Minimize section](#)). By using the following control file, the users carry out 2,000-step energy minimization with the steepest descent algorithm (SD). The CHARMM36m force field is used, and the particle mesh Ewald (PME) method is employed for the calculation of long-range interaction.

```
[INPUT]
topfile = top_all36_prot.rtf      # topology file
parfile = par_all36m_prot.prm    # parameter file
strfile = toppar_water_ions.str  # stream file
psffile = build.psf             # protein structure file
pdbfile = build.pdb             # PDB file

[OUTPUT]
dcdfile = min.dcd                # coordinates trajectory file
rstfile = min.rst                # restart file

[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM          # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = PME             # Particle mesh Ewald method
switchdist     = 10.0            # switch distance (Ang)
cutoffdist     = 12.0            # cutoff distance (Ang)
pairlistdist   = 13.5            # pair-list cutoff distance (Ang)
vdw_force_switch = YES           # turn on van der Waals force switch
contact_check  = YES             # turn on clash checker

[MINIMIZE]
method          = SD              # Steepest descent method
nsteps          = 2000            # number of steps
eneout_period   = 100            # energy output freq
crdout_period   = 100            # coordinates output frequency
rstout_period   = 2000           # restart output frequency
nbupdate_period = 10             # pairlist update frequency

[BOUNDARY]
type            = PBC             # periodic boundary condition
box_size_x     = 64.0            # Box size in X dimension (Ang)
box_size_y     = 64.0            # Box size in Y dimension (Ang)
box_size_z     = 64.0            # Box size in Z dimension (Ang)
```

### 2.3.2 Example control file for normal MD simulations

The control file for normal MD simulations must include a **[DYNAMICS]** section (see [Dynamics section](#)). By using the following control file, the users carry out a 100-ps MD simulation at  $T = 298.15$  K and  $P = 1$  atm in the NPT ensemble. The equations of motion are integrated by the RESPA algorithm with a time step of 2.5 fs, and the bonds of light atoms (hydrogen atoms) are constrained using the SHAKE/RATTLE and SETTLE algorithms. The temperature and pressure are controlled with the Bussi thermostat and barostat.

```
[INPUT]
topfile = top_all36_prot.rtf      # topology file
parfile = par_all36m_prot.prm    # parameter file
strfile = toppar_water_ions.str  # stream file
psffile = build.psf              # protein structure file
pdbfile = build.pdb              # PDB file
rstfile = min.rst                # restart file

[OUTPUT]
dcdfile = md.dcd                 # coordinates trajectory file
rstfile = md.rst                 # restart file

[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM          # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = PME              # Particle mesh Ewald method
switchdist     = 10.0             # switch distance (Ang)
cutoffdist     = 12.0             # cutoff distance (Ang)
pairlistdist   = 13.5             # pair-list cutoff distance (Ang)
vdw_force_switch = YES            # turn on van der Waals force switch

[DYNAMICS]
integrator      = VRES             # RESPA integrator
timestep       = 0.0025           # timestep (2.5fs)
nsteps         = 40000            # number of MD steps (100ps)
eneout_period  = 400              # energy output period (1ps)
crdout_period  = 400              # coordinates output period (1ps)
rstout_period  = 40000            # restart output period
nupdate_period = 10               # nonbond update period
elec_long_period = 2              # period of reciprocal space calculation
thermostat_period = 10            # period of thermostat update
barostat_period = 10              # period of barostat update

[CONSTRAINTS]
rigid_bond      = YES             # constraint all bonds involving hydrogen

[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble       = NPT              # NPT ensemble
tpcontrol      = BUSSI            # BUSSI thermostat and barostat
temperature    = 300              # target temperature (K)
pressure       = 1.0              # target pressure (atm)
group_tp       = YES              # usage of group temperature and pressure

[BOUNDARY]
type           = PBC              # periodic boundary condition
```

## AVAILABLE PROGRAMS

### 3.1 Simulators

#### 3.1.1 Basic functions

##### **atdyn**

The simulator that is parallelized with the atomic decomposition scheme. In most cases, **atdyn** is applied to small systems or coarse-grained systems. The program runs on CPU with the hybrid MPI+OpenMP protocol, where only double-precision calculation is available. Since the atomic decomposition is a simple parallelization scheme, the source code is actually simple compared to that for the domain decomposition. Therefore, this program is also useful to develop a new function of **GENESIS**.

##### **spdyn**

The simulator that is parallelized with the domain decomposition scheme. The program is designed to achieve high-performance molecular dynamics simulations, such as micro-second simulations and cellular-scale simulations. The program runs on not only CPU but also CPU+GPU with the hybrid MPI+OpenMP protocol. Here, beside double-precision, mixed-precision calculations are also available. In the mixed-precision model, force calculations are carried out with single precision, while integration of the equations of motion as well as accumulation of the force and energy are done with double-precision.

Table 3.1: Available functions in **atdyn** and **spdyn**

| Function                          | <b>atdyn</b>     | <b>spdyn</b>        |
|-----------------------------------|------------------|---------------------|
| Energy minimization               | ○ (SD and LBFGS) | ○ (SD)              |
| All-atom molecular dynamics       | ○                | ○                   |
| Coarse-grained molecular dynamics | ○                | ○ (Only Martini)    |
| Implicit solvent model            | ○                | —                   |
| Replica-exchange method           | ○                | ○                   |
| Gaussian accelerated MD           | ○                | ○                   |
| Reaction path search              | ○ (MEP and MFEP) | ○ (MFEP)            |
| QM/MM calculation                 | ○                | —                   |
| Vibrational analysis              | ○                | —                   |
| Cryo-EM flexible fitting          | ○                | ○                   |
| Precision                         | double           | double/mixed/single |
| GPGPU calculation                 | —                | ○ (All-atom MD)     |
| Parallel I/O                      | —                | ○                   |

### 3.1.2 Atomic and domain decomposition schemes

In the atomic decomposition MD, which is also called a replicated-data MD algorithm, all MPI processors have the same coordinates data of all atoms in the system. MPI parallelization is mainly applied to the “DO loops” of the bonded and non-bonded interaction pair lists for the energy and force calculations. Fig. 3.1 (a) shows a schematic representation of the atomic decomposition scheme for the non-bonded interaction calculation in a Lennard-Jones system, where 2 MPI processors are used. In this scheme, MPI\_ALLREDUCE must be used to accumulate all the atomic forces every step, resulting in large communication cost.

In the domain-decomposition MD, which is also called a distributed-data MD algorithm, the whole system is decomposed into domains according to the number of MPI processors, and each MPI processor is assigned to a specific domain. Each MPI processor handles the coordinates data of the atoms in the assigned domain and in the buffer regions near the domain boundary, and carries out the calculation of the bonded and non-bonded interactions in the assigned domain, enabling us to reduce computational cost. In this scheme, communication of the atomic coordinates and forces in the buffer region is essential. Fig. 3.1 (b) is a schematic representation of the domain decomposition scheme, where the system is decomposed into two domains to use 2 MPI processors. Note that in the figure the system periodicity is not considered for simplicity.




Fig. 3.1: Parallelization scheme in the (a) atomic decomposition and (b) domain decomposition.

### 3.1.3 Hybrid MPI+OpenMP calculation in SPDYN

The users had better understand the basic scheme of parallel calculation in **SPDYN** to get the best performance in the calculation. As described above, the simulation box is divided into domains according to the number of MPI processors. Each domain is further divided into smaller cells, each of whose size is adjusted to be approximately equal to or larger than the half of “pairlistdist +  $\alpha$ ”. Here, “pairlistdist” is specified in the control file, and  $\alpha$  depends on the algorithms used in the simulation (see the next subsection). Note that all domains or cells have the same size with a rectangular or cubic shape. Each MPI processor is assigned to each domain, and data transfer or communication about atomic coordinates and forces is achieved between only neighboring domains. In addition, calculation of bonded and non-bonded interactions in each domain is parallelized based on the OpenMP protocol. These schemes realize hybrid MPI+OpenMP calculation, which is more efficient than flat MPI calculation on recent computers with multiple CPU cores. Because MPI and OpenMP are designed for distributed-memory and shared-memory architectures, respectively, MPI is mainly used for parallelization between nodes and OpenMP is used within one node.

The following figures illustrate how the hybrid MPI+OpenMP calculations are achieved in **SPDYN**. In Fig. 3.2 (a) and 2(b), 8 MPI processors with 4 OpenMP threads (32 CPU cores in total), and 27 MPI processors with 2 OpenMP threads (54 CPU cores in total) are used, respectively. In these Figures, only XY dimensions are shown for simplicity.




Fig. 3.2: Schematic representation of the hybrid MPI+OpenMP calculation in SPDYN.

For Case (a), the following commands are used:

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=4
$ mpirun -np 8 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn INP > log
```

For Case (b), the following commands are used:

```
$ export OMP_NUM_THREADS=2
$ mpirun -np 27 ~/genesis/genesis-2.0.0/bin/spdyn INP > log
```

In the log file, the users can check whether the given numbers of MPI processors and OpenMP threads are actually employed or not. The following information should be found in the log file for instance for Case (a):

```
[STEP2] Setup MPI

Setup_Mpi_Md> Summary of Setup MPI
  number of MPI processes   =           8
  number of OpenMP threads =           4
  total number of CPU cores =          32
```

**Note:** In most cases, the number of domains in each dimension is automatically determined according to the given number of MPI processors. However, if such automatic determination is failed, the users must specify the number of domains explicitly in the control file (see [Boundary section](#)).

### 3.1.4 Limitation of the available MPI processors

Basically, there is no strict limitation in the available number of MPI processors in **ATDYN**. However, there are a few limitations in **SPDYN**. First, the number of domains must be equal to the number of MPI processors (conventional MD) or divisor of the number of MPI processors (when enhanced sampling with replicas is used). Second, one domain must be composed of at least 8 cells ( $= 2 \times 2 \times 2$ ), where the cell size in one dimension is automatically set to be larger than the half of “pairlistdist +  $\alpha$ ”. Here, “ $\alpha = 2.0 + \text{cell\_size\_buffer}$  (default = 0.6)” (see *Boundary section*). According to this rule, the available maximum number of MPI processors ( $N_{\text{max}}$ ) for the target system is determined. For example, if the box size of your target system is  $64 \times 64 \times 64 \text{ \AA}^3$ , and “pairlistdist=13.5” is specified in the control file,  $N_{\text{max}}$  is  $4 \times 4 \times 4 = 64$ .

If the domains and cells are successfully determined, they can be seen in the early part of the log file. The following example is corresponding to the situation in Fig. 3.2 (b).

```
Setup_Boundary_Cell> Set Variables For Boundary Condition
domains (x,y,z) =      3      3      3
ncells (x,y,z) =      6      6      6
```

If the users encountered the following error message, the problem is probably related to the above rule, where the specified number of MPI processors might exceed  $N_{\text{max}}$ . In this case, if the users want to use much more CPU cores than  $N_{\text{max}}$ , the number of OpenMP threads should be increased instead of the MPI processors.

```
Setup_Processor_Number> Cannot define domains and cells. Smaller or
adjusted MPI processors, or shorter pairlistdist, or larger boxsize
should be used.
```

In the MD simulation with the NPT ensemble, this rule becomes more important, because the box size (or cell size) can change during the simulation. In fact, the number of domains in each dimension is initially fixed in the simulation. But, during the NPT simulation the number of cells can be changed and adjusted to keep the cell size larger than the half of “pairlistdist +  $\alpha$ ”. If the box size is decreased during the simulation, and the number of cells in one dimension of the domain unfortunately becomes one, the calculation stops immediately because of the violation of the above rule. The users may often encounter this situation if the number of cells in one dimension of the domain is just two at the beginning of the MD simulation, and the simulation box has significantly shrunk during the simulation. To avoid such problems, the users may have to use smaller number of MPI processors (which makes cells larger) or shorter pairlistdist (making much cells in one domain), or reconstruct a larger system.

### 3.1.5 Available sections

Fundamental functions in **SPDYN** and **ATDYN** are energy minimization (Min), molecular dynamics method (MD), replica-exchange method (REMD), string method (String), and vibrational analysis (Vib). As shown in the last part of the previous chapter, the users carry out simulations of these methods by writing related sections in the control file. The users can extend these fundamental functions by combining various sections. For example, to run a “restrained” MD simulation, the users add **[SELECTION]** and **[RESTRAINTS]** sections in the control file of the “normal” MD simulation. The following table summarizes the available sections in each function. Detailed usage of each section is described in this user guide, and also in the online tutorials (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/>).



Table 3.2: Available sections in each algorithm and method

| Section       | Min | MD | REMD | String | Vib | Description                |
|---------------|-----|----|------|--------|-----|----------------------------|
| [INPUT]       | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Input section</i>       |
| [OUTPUT]      | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Output section</i>      |
| [ENERGY]      | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Energy section</i>      |
| [BOUNDARY]    | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Boundary section</i>    |
| [DYNAMICS]    | —   | ○  | ○    | ○      | —   | <i>Dynamics section</i>    |
| [CONSTRAINTS] | —   | ○  | ○    | ○      | —   | <i>Constraints section</i> |
| [ENSEMBLE]    | —   | ○  | ○    | ○      | —   | <i>Ensemble section</i>    |
| [MINIMIZE]    | ○   | —  | —    | ○      | ○   | <i>Minimize section</i>    |
| [REMD]        | —   | —  | ○    | —      | —   | <i>REMD section</i>        |
| [RPATH]       | —   | —  | —    | ○      | —   | <i>RPATH section</i>       |
| [VIBRATION]   | —   | —  | —    | —      | ○   | <i>Vibration section</i>   |
| [SELECTION]   | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Selection section</i>   |
| [RESTRAINTS]  | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Restrains section</i>   |
| [FITTING]     | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>Fitting section</i>     |
| [GAMD]        | —   | ○  | ○    | —      | —   | <i>GAMD section</i>        |
| [QMMM]        | ○   | ○  | ○    | ○      | ○   | <i>QMMM section</i>        |
| [EXPERIMENTS] | ○   | ○  | ○    | —      | —   | <i>Experiments section</i> |

## 3.2 Analysis tools

The following programs are available as the trajectory analysis tools in **GENESIS**. Basic usage of each tool is similar to that of **spdyn** or **atdyn**. The users can generate a template control file for each program by using the “[program\_name] -h ctrl” command. The control file is mainly composed of INPUT, OUTPUT, TRAJECTORY, FITTING, SELECTION, and OPTION sections. The trajectory files to be analyzed are specified in the [TRAJECTORY] section, and the parameters used in the analysis are specified in the [OPTION] section. Note that the required sections are depending on the program. For example, **eigmat\_analysis** requires only INPUT and OUTPUT sections. Detailed usage of each tool is described in the online tutorial.

### 3.2.1 Trajectory analysis

#### comcrd\_analysis

Analyze the coordinates of the center of mass of the selected atoms.

#### diffusion\_analysis

Analyze the diffusion constant.

#### distmat\_analysis

Analyze the matrix of the averaged distance between all pairs of atoms.

#### drms\_analysis

Analyze the distance RMSD of the selected atoms with respect to the reference structure.

#### fret\_analysis

Analyze the FRET efficiency between two dyes.

**hb\_analysis**

Analyze the hydrogen bond formed in the selected two atom groups.

**lipidthick\_analysis**

Analyze the membrane thickness.

**msd\_analysis**

Analyze the mean-square displacement (MSD) of the selected atoms or molecules.

**qval\_analysis**

Analyze the fraction of native contacts (Q-value) in the selected atom group.

**rg\_analysis**

Analyze the radius of gyration of the selected atoms.

**rmsd\_analysis**

Analyze the root-mean-square deviation (RMSD) of the selected atoms with respect to the reference structure.

**tilt\_analysis**

Analyze the tilt angle of transmembrane helix.

**trj\_analysis**

Analyze the distance, angle, dihedral angle, distance of the centers of mass (COM) of the selected atom groups, angle of the COM of the selected atom groups, and dihedral angle of the COM of the selected atom groups.

### 3.2.2 Principal component analysis (PCA)

**avecrd\_analysis**

Calculate the average structure of the target molecule.

**flccrd\_analysis**

Calculate the variance-covariance matrix from the trajectories and averaged coordinates. This tool can be also used to calculate root-mean-square fluctuation (RMSF).

**eigmat\_analysis**

Diagonalize the variance-covariance matrix in PCA.

**prjcrd\_analysis**

Project the trajectories onto PC axes.

**pcavec\_drawer**

Create a script for VMD and PyMOL to visualize PC vectors obtained from eigmat\_analysis.

### 3.2.3 Trajectory and restart file converter

#### **crd\_convert**

Convert trajectories to PDB/DCD formats. This tool can do centering of the target molecule, fitting of a given atom group to the reference structure, wrapping of molecules into the unit cell, combining multiple trajectory files into a single file, extraction of coordinates of selected atoms, and so on.

#### **remd\_convert**

Convert REMD trajectories to those sorted by parameters. Since the trajectory files are generated from each replica during the REMD simulations, the obtained “raw” trajectories are composed of “mixed” data at various conditions (replica parameters). **remd\_convert** enables the users to sort the REMD trajectories by parameters. This is applicable to not only dcdfile but also energy log files.

#### **rst\_convert**

Convert GENESIS restart file (rstfile) to the PDB file.

#### **rst\_upgrade**

Convert old restart file (version < 1.1.0) to that in the new format (version >= 1.1.0).

### 3.2.4 Free energy calculation

#### **wham\_analysis**

Free energy analysis using the Weighted Histogram Analysis Method (WHAM).

#### **mbar\_analysis**

Free energy analysis using the Multistate Bennett Acceptance Ratio (MBAR) method.

#### **pmf\_analysis**

Calculate free energy profile using MBAR output.

#### **meanforce\_analysis**

Calculate free energy profile along the pathway from RPATH.

### 3.2.5 Clustering

#### **kmeans\_clustering**

Carry out k-means clustering for coordinates trajectories

### 3.2.6 Interface program

#### **dssp\_interface**

Interface program to analyze the protein secondary structure in the DCD trajectory file using the DSSP program (<https://swift.cmbi.umcn.nl/gv/dssp/>).

### 3.2.7 SPANA

SPANNA (SPatial decomposition ANalysis) is developed to carry out trajectory analyses of large-scale biological simulations using multiple CPU cores in parallel. SPANA employs a spatial decomposition of a system to distribute structural and dynamical analyses into the individual CPU core and allows us to reduce the computational time for the analysis significantly. SPANA is suitable for the analysis of systems with multiple macromolecules (such as cellular crowding systems) under the periodic boundary condition.

#### **contact\_analysis**

Calculate the number of close atomic pairs between given molecules. The close atomic pairs (or atomic contacts) are defined if the closest atom-atom distance between two macromolecules is shorter than given cutoff distance. This program also finds the closest atom pairs between macromolecule pairs within the cutoff distance.

#### **density\_analysis**

Calculate 3D density distribution of atoms and output the density in X-PLOR/CCP4/DX format.

#### **hbond\_analysis**

Analyze hydrogen bonds formed between two selected atom groups.

#### **rdf\_analysis**

Calculate the radial distribution function (RDF) and proximal distribution function (PDF) of molecules (as solvent) around the target group (as solute). PDF provides density of solvent as function of the distance to the surface of macromolecules.

#### **sasa\_analysis**

Calculate solvent accessible surface area (SASA) of the target molecules. This program outputs not only the total SASA but also the SASA for each atom in the target molecules.

### 3.2.8 Other utilities

#### **rpath\_generator**

Generate inputs for the string method. This tool is usually used after targeted MD simulation for generating an initial pathway for the subsequent string method.

#### **pathcv\_analysis**

Calculate tangential and orthogonal coordinates to a pathway from samples.

#### **qmmm\_generator**

Generate a system for QM/MM calculation from MD data.

**emmap\_generator**

Generate cryo-EM density map from PDB file.

### 3.3 Parallel I/O tools

**SPDYN** can be employed with the parallel I/O protocol to achieve massively parallel computation. Since **SPDYN** is parallelized with the domain decomposition scheme, each MPI processor has the coordinates of atoms in the assigned domain. Therefore, large amount of communication is needed between MPI processors to write the coordinates in a single DCD file, which is a waste of time in the case of the simulations for a huge system like 100,000,000 atoms. To avoid such situations, file I/O in each node (parallel I/O) is useful. The following tools are used to handle the files generated from parallel I/O simulations.

**prst\_setup**

This tool divides input files (PDB and PSF) for a huge system into multiple files, where each file is assigned to each domain. The obtained files can be read as restart files in the **[INPUT]** section. Note that **prst\_setup** is not compiled with Fujitsu compilers. Therefore, if the users are going to perform MD simulations with parallel I/O in Fujitsu supercomputers, they must create the files without using Fujitsu compilers elsewhere in advance. Even if **prst\_setup** and **SPDYN** are compiled with different compilers, there is no problem to execute **SPDYN** with parallel I/O.

**pcrd\_convert**

Convert multiple trajectory files obtained from the parallel I/O simulation to a single DCD file. This tool has a similar function to **crd\_convert**.

## INPUT SECTION

### 4.1 How to prepare input files

In order to run MD simulations, the users have to prepare input files that contains information about the coordinates of the initial structure as well as topology of the system and force field parameters. The users first create those input files by using a setup tool, and their filenames are specified in the **[INPUT]** section of the control file. **GENESIS** supports various input file formats such as CHARMM, AMBER, and GROMACS input files. Basically, the required input files depend on the force field to be used in the simulation. The following table summarizes the essential input files and setup tools for each force field.


| Force field       | Input files                      | Setup tool                      |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| CHARMM            | top, par, psf, pdb (or crd), str | VMD, PSFGEN, CHARMM-GUI, CHARMM |
| AMBER             | prmtop, pdb, (or ambcrd)         | LEaP                            |
| KB Go-model       | top, par, psf, pdb               | MMTSB server                    |
| All-atom Go-model | grotop, grocrd (or pdb)          | SMOG server, SMOG2              |

#### 4.1.1 CHARMM force field

One of the commonly used parameters for biomolecules is the CHARMM force field, which was originally developed by the Karplus group at the Harvard University [7]. The users can obtain the files that contain the force field parameters from the CHARMM group's web site. The latest version of the CHARMM force field is C36m [13]. In the downloaded file, there are topology and parameter files (e.g., top\_all36\_prot.rtf and par\_all36m\_prot.prm).

In order to run MD simulation with the CHARMM force field, the users have to additionally make a new file that holds the information about the atom connectivity of the “whole” target system. Note that the topology file (e.g., top\_all36\_prot.rtf) does not contain such information, because it is designed to generally define the topology of proteins by dealing with the 20 amino acid residues as “fragments”. In order to hold the topology information of the target system, the users will create a “PSF” file (protein structure file). It is commonly used in other MD software, and can be generated from the PDB and topology files by using VMD/PSFGEN [14], CHARMM-GUI [15], or the CHARMM program [2].

When the PSF file is created, a “processed” PDB file is also obtained, where the atom name or residue name might be changed from those in the original PDB file. The users must use this PDB file as the input of the MD simulation, because it has a consistency with the information in PSF. Consequently, the users need four files (processed PDB, parameter, topology, and PSF) as the inputs of **GENESIS**. These files are specified in the **[INPUT]** section of the control file of **GENESIS**.



### 4.1.2 AMBER force field

The AMBER force field has also been commonly used for the MD simulations of biomolecules, which was originally developed by the Kollman group at the University of California, San Francisco [16]. **GENESIS** can deal with the AMBER force fields. Basic scheme to prepare the input files for **GENESIS** is similar to that in the case of CHARMM. The users utilize the LEaP program in AmberTools [1]. LEaP has a similar function to PSFGEN. After building the target system using LEaP, the users obtain PRMTOP, CRD, and PDB files. PRMTOP file contains the information about parameter and topology of the target system, and CRD and PDB files include the coordinates of atoms in the initial structure. **GENESIS** uses these files as the inputs.

### 4.1.3 Other force fields

**GENESIS** can deal with coarse-grained (CG) models such as the Go-model [17] and MARTINI [18]. In this case, the users again use external setup tools to build the system and prepare the parameter and topology files. For the all-atom Go-model [19], the users use the SMOG server [20] or SMOG2 program [21], which generates grotop and grocrd files. The grotop file contains the information about parameter and topology, and the grocrd file includes the coordinates of the initial structure, both of which are the file formats used in the GROMACS program. For the Karanicolas-Brooks (KB) Go-model [22] [23], the users use the MMTSB server [24], which generates par, top, pdb, and psf files.

## 4.2 General input files

### topfile

CHARMM topology file containing information about atom connectivity of residues and other molecules. For details on the format, see the CHARMM web site [25].

### parfile

CHARMM parameter file containing force field parameters, e.g. force constants and equilibrium geometries.

### strfile

CHARMM stream file containing both topology information and parameters.

### psffile

CHARMM/X-PLOR 'psffile' containing information of the system such as atomic masses, charges, and atom connectivities.

**prmtopfile**

AMBER ‘PARM’ or ‘prmtop’ file (AMBER7 or later format) containing information of the system such as atomic masses, charges, and atom connectivities. For details about this format, see the AMBER web site [26].

**grotopfile**

Gromacs ‘top’ file containing information of the system such as atomic masses, charges, atom connectivities. For details about this format, see the Gromacs web site [27].

**pdbfile**

Coordinates file in the PDB format. If *rstfile* is also specified in the [INPUT] section, coordinates in *pdbfile* are replaced with those in *rstfile*.

**crdfile**

Coordinates file in the CHARMM format. If *pdbfile* is also specified in the [INPUT] section, coordinates in *crdfile* are NOT used. However, if *pdbfile* is not specified, coordinates in *crdfile* are used. If *rstfile* is further specified, coordinates in *rstfile* are used.

**ambcrdfile**

Coordinates file in the AMBER format (ascii). If *pdbfile* is also specified in the [INPUT] section, coordinates in *ambcrdfile* are NOT used. However, if *pdbfile* is not specified, coordinates in *ambcrdfile* are used. If *rstfile* is further specified, coordinates in *rstfile* are used.

**grocrdfile**

Coordinates file in the GROMACS format (.gro file). If *pdbfile* is also specified in the [INPUT] section, coordinates in *grocrdfile* are NOT used. However, if *pdbfile* is not specified, coordinates in *grocrdfile* are used. If *rstfile* is further specified, coordinates in *rstfile* are used. Note that velocities and simulation box size in *grocrdfile* are NOT used.

**rstfile**

Restart file in the GENESIS format. This file contains atomic coordinates, velocities, simulation box size, and other variables which are essential to restart the simulation continuously. If *rstfile* is specified in the [INPUT] section, coordinates in *pdbfile*, *crdfile*, *grocrdfile*, or *ambcrdfile* are replaced with those in *rstfile*. The box size specified in the [BOUNDARY] section is also overwritten. Note that *pdbfile*, *crdfile*, *grocrdfile*, or *ambcrdfile* should be still specified in the [INPUT] section, even if *rstfile* is specified.

Note that the file format of *rstfile* was changed after ver. 1.1.0. The *rst\_upgrade* tool enables us to change the old format used in ver. 1.0.0 or older to the new one.

## 4.3 Input files for implicit solvent models

**eef1file (for ATDYN only)**

If the users employ the EEF1, IMM1, or IMIC model, the **eef1file** should be specified in the [INPUT] section. This file contains the parameters for the solvation free energy calculation. Note that the file is not provided in GENESIS, but available in the CHARMM program package. To get the file, the users first have to download CHARMM, and then find *solver.inp* and *solvpar22.inp* in the support/aspara directory. The corresponding topology and parameter files are also available in the same directory.



## 4.4 Input files for restraint

### reffield

Reference coordinates (PDB file format) for positional restraints and coordinate fitting. This file should contain the same total number of atoms as *pdbfile*, *crdfile*, *ambcrdfile*, or *grocrdfile*.

### ambreffile

Reference coordinates ('amber crd' file format) for positional restraints and coordinate fitting. This file should contain the same total number of atoms as *pdbfile* or *ambcrdfile*.

### groreffile

Reference coordinates ('gro' file format) for positional restraints and coordinate fitting. This file should contain the same total number of atoms as *pdbfile* or *grocrdfile*.

### modefile

Principal modes used for principal component (PC) restraints. This file contains only single column ascii data. The XYZ values of each atom's mode vector are stored from ascending order.

### localresfile (for SPDYN and 'charmm psf' file format only)

This file defines restraints to be applied in the system. If you are not an expert of GENESIS, we strongly recommend you to simply use the [RESTRAINTS] section for restraint instead of using **localresfile**.

In **localresfile**, only bond, angle, and dihedral angle restraints can be defined. In addition, selected atoms in **localresfile** must exist in the same cell in the domain decomposition scheme. The restraint energy calculated for the lists in **localresfile** is NOT explicitly displayed in the log file. Instead, the local restraint energy is hidden in the conventional bond, angle, and dihedral angle energy terms of the log file.

The restraint potentials defined in **localresfile** are given by harmonic potentials:

$$U(r) = k (r - r_0)^2 \text{ for bonds}$$

$$U(\theta) = k (\theta - \theta_0)^2 \text{ for bond angles}$$

$$U(\phi) = k (\phi - \phi_0)^2 \text{ for dihedral angles}$$

Here,  $r$ ,  $\theta$ , and  $\phi$  are bond distance, angle, and dihedral angles, respectively; subscript 0 denotes their reference values; and  $k$  is the force constant.

The syntax in **localresfile** is as follows:

|                       |                         |      |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|
| [BOND/ANGLE/DIHDRAAL] | atom atom [atom [atom]] | k r0 |
|-----------------------|-------------------------|------|

The users must carefully specify the atom index in this file. The atom indexes in **localresfile** must be consistent with those in the other input files such as **psfile**.

The following is an example of **localresfile**:

|          |             |          |
|----------|-------------|----------|
| BOND     | 139 143     | 2.0 10.0 |
| ANGLE    | 233 231 247 | 3.0 10.0 |
| DIHDRAAL | 22 24 41 43 | 2.0 10.0 |

## 4.5 Input files for REMD and RPATH simulations

In the REMD or RPATH simulations, input files (mainly coordinates and restart files) should be prepared for each replica. In GENESIS, we can easily specify those multiple files in the **[INPUT]** section. If we include ‘{’ in the input filename, { } is automatically replaced with the replica index. For example, in the case of REMD simulations with 4 replicas, we prepare input\_1.pdb, input\_2.pdb, input\_3.pdb, and input\_4.pdb, and specify `pdbfile = input_{ }.pdb` in the **[INPUT]** section. This rule is also applicable to the restart filename.

**fitfile** (for RPATH only; GENESIS 1.1.5 or later)

Reference coordinates for structure fitting. This file is only used in the string method. For other cases (MD, MIN, or REMD), *reffile*, *groreffile*, or *ambreffile* is used for reference coordinates for fitting, and this *fitfile* is simply ignored, even if it is specified in the **[INPUT]** section.

## 4.6 Examples

MD simulations of proteins in explicit solvent with the CHARMM36m force field:

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb
```

MD simulations with positional restraint:

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb
reffile = ../build/input.pdb
```

MD simulations of membrane proteins with the CHARMM36m force field:

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf, ../toppar/top_all36_lipid.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm, ../toppar/par_all36_lipid.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb
```

In this case, we specify multiple top and par files for proteins and lipids separated by commas.

If one line becomes very long, backslash “\” can be used as a line continuation character:

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf, \
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

        ../toppar/par_all36_na.prm, \
        ../toppar/top_all36_lipid.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm, \
        ../toppar/top_all36_na.rtf, \
        ../toppar/par_all36_lipid.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb

```

MD simulations with the AMBER force field:

```

[INPUT]
prmtopfile = ../build/input.prmtop
ambcrdfile = ../build/input.crd

```

MD simulations with the all-atom Go-model:

```

[INPUT]
grotopfile = ../build/input.top
grocrdfile = ../build/input.gro

```

In this case, we specify grotop and grocrd files obtained from the SMOG server or SMOG2 software.

MD simulation with the EEF1/IMM1/IMIC implicit solvent models (CHARMM19):

```

[INPUT]
topfile   = ../support/aspara/toph19_eef1.1.inp
parfile   = ../support/aspara/param19_eef1.1.inp
eef1file  = ../support/aspara/solvpar.inp
psffile   = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile   = ../build/input.pdb

```

MD simulation with the EEF1/IMM1/IMIC implicit solvent models (CHARMM C36):

```

[INPUT]
topfile   = ../support/aspara/top_all36_prot_eef1.1.rtf
parfile   = ../toppar/par_all36_prot.prm
eef1file  = ../support/aspara/solvpar22.inp
psffile   = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile   = ../build/input.pdb

```

REMD simulations starting from the same initial structure:

```

[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb

```

REMD simulations starting from different initial structures:

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input_rep{}.pdb
```

**REMD simulations with restarting:**

```
[INPUT]
topfile = ../toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf
parfile = ../toppar/par_all36m_prot.prm
strfile = ../toppar/toppar_water_ions.str
psffile = ../build/input.psf
pdbfile = ../build/input.pdb
rstfile = run_rep{}.rst
```

## OUTPUT SECTION

**GENESIS** yields trajectory data (coordinates and velocities) in the *DCD* file format regardless of the force field or MD algorithm. **GENESIS** can also generate a restart file (*rstfile*) during or at the end of the simulation, which can be used to restart and extend the simulation continuously. Output frequency of each file (e.g., `crdout_period` and `velout_period`) is specified in the **[DYNAMICS]** section in the case of the MD, REMD, and RPATH simulations, or **[MINIMIZE]** section in the case of the energy minimization.

---

### 5.1 General output files

#### **dcdfile**

Filename for the coordinates trajectory data. Coordinates are written in the DCD format, which is commonly used in various MD software such as CHARMM and NAMD. The filename must be given in the case of `crdout_period > 0`. However, if `crdout_period = 0` is specified in the control file, no **dcdfile** is generated, even if the filename is specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section.

#### **dcdvelfile**

Filename for the velocity trajectory data. Velocities are written in the DCD format. The filename must be given in the case of `velout_period > 0`. However, if `velout_period = 0` is specified in the control file, no **dcdvelfile** is generated, even if the filename is specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section.

#### **rstfile**

Filename for the restart data. The *rstfile* contains coordinates, velocities, simulation box size, and so on. This file can be used to extend the simulation continuously. In addition, it can be used to switch the simulation algorithms (e.g., from minimization to MD, from MD to REMD, from REMD to minimization, etc). The filename must be given in the case of `rstout_period > 0`. However, if `rstout_period = 0` is specified in the control file, no **rstfile** is generated, even if the filename is specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section.

#### **pdbfile** (for ATDYN only)

Filename for the restart PDB file. This file is updated every `rstout_period` steps.

## 5.2 Output files in REMD and RPATH simulations

When the user performs REMD or RPATH simulations, the user must include ‘{ }’ in the output filename. This { } is automatically replaced with the replica index.

**remfile** (only for REMD simulations)

This file contains parameter index data from the REMD simulation, which is written for each replica every `exchange_period` steps. This is used as an input file for the *remd\_convert* tool to sort the coordinates trajectory data by parameters. The filename must contain ‘{ }’, which is automatically replaced with the replica index. Note that the information about the parameter index as well as replica index in the entire REMD simulation is written in the standard (single) output file (see online Tutorials).

**logfile** (only for REMD and RPATH simulations)

This file contains the energy trajectory data from the REMD or RPATH simulations, which is written for each replica every `exchange_period` steps. This is used as an input file for the *remd\_convert* tool to sort the coordinates trajectory data by parameters. The filename must contain ‘{ }’, which is automatically replaced with the replica index.

**rpathfile** (only for RPATH simulations)

This file contains the trajectory of image coordinates in the string method, which are reference values used in the restraint functions. Columns correspond to the collective variables, and rows are time steps. This data is written with the same timing as the *dcdfile*. For details, see [RPATH section](#).

## 5.3 Output file in GaMD simulations

**gamdfile**

This file provides GaMD parameters determined during the GaMD simulation. The filename must be given in the case of `update_period > 0` in **[GAMD]** section. The GaMD simulation updates its parameters every `update_period` steps, and then the updated parameters are output to **gamdfile**. This file includes the maximum, minimum, average, and deviation of the total potential or dihedral potential, which are calculated within the interval `update_period`.

## 5.4 Output file in Vibrational analysis

**minfofile**

This file provides the coordinates and normal mode vectors of the molecules specified for vibrational analysis. It is used in **SINDO** for visualizing the vibrational motion. It is also an input file to start anharmonic vibrational calculations. See [Vibration section](#) for the vibrational analysis.

## 5.5 Output file in FEP simulations

### feppure

This file provides energy differences between adjacent windows in FEP simulations. The filename must be given in the case of `feppure_period > 0` in **[ALCHEMY]** section. However, if `feppure_period = 0` is specified in the control file, no **feppure** is generated, even if the filename is specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section. If FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD simulations are performed (i.e., both **[REMD]** and **[ALCHEMY]** sections are specified in the control file), the filename must contain '{}', which is automatically replaced with the replica index.

## 5.6 Examples

For normal MD simulations:

```
[OUTPUT]
dcdfile = run.dcd
rstfile = run.rst
```

For REMD simulations:

```
[OUTPUT]
logfile = run_rep{}.log
dcdfile = run_rep{}.dcd
remfile = run_rep{}.rem
rstfile = run_rep{}.rst
```

## ENERGY SECTION

## 6.1 Force fields

In general, potential energy function is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E(r) = & \sum_{\text{bond}} K_b (b - b_0)^2 + \sum_{\text{angle}} K_\theta (\theta - \theta_0)^2 \\
 & + \sum_{\text{dihedral}} K_\phi (1 + \cos(n\phi - \delta)) + \sum_{\text{improper}} K_{\phi_i} (\phi_i - \phi_{i,0})^2 \\
 & + \sum_{\text{nonbond}} \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{R_{\min,ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - 2 \left( \frac{R_{\min,ij}}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right] + \sum_{\text{nonbond}} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon_1 r_{ij}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_b$ ,  $K_\theta$ ,  $K_\phi$ , and  $K_{\phi_i}$  are the force constant of the bond, angle, dihedral angle, and improper dihedral angle term, respectively, and  $b_0$ ,  $\theta_0$ ,  $\phi_0$ , and  $\phi_{i,0}$  are corresponding equilibrium values.  $\delta$  is a phase shift of the dihedral angle potential,  $\epsilon$  is a Lennard-Jones potential well depth,  $R_{\min,ij}$  is a distance of the Lennard-Jones potential minimum,  $q_i$  is an atomic charge,  $\epsilon_1$  is an effective dielectric constant, and  $r_{ij}$  is a distance between two atoms. The detailed formula and parameters in the potential energy function depend on the force field and molecular model.

**forcefield** *CHARMM / CHARMM19 / AMBER / GROAMBER / GROMARTINI / KBGO / CAGO / AAGO / RESIDCG*

**Default : CHARMM**

Type of the force field used for energy and force calculation. For the AMBER force field, the scheme used in the GROMACS program package is available in addition to that used in the AMBER package. In this case, calculation for the dispersion correction term and truncation of the non-bonded energy term are different between AMBER and GROMACS.

- **CHARMM**: CHARMM force field with the all-atom model (CHARMM22, 27, 36, 36m) [7] [28] [29] [30]
- **CHARMM19**: CHARMM force field with the united-atom model (ATDYN only)
- **AMBER**: AMBER force field with the original AMBER scheme [6]
- **GROAMBER**: AMBER force field with the GROMACS scheme
- **GROMARTINI**: MARTINI model [18] [31]
- **KBGO**: model by Karanicolas and Brooks [22] [23] (ATDYN only)



- **CAGO**: C $\alpha$  Go-model [32] (ATDYN only)
- **AAGO**: All-atom Go-model [19]
- **RESIDCG**: Residue-level coarse-grained models (ATDYN only)

**Note:** Recently, ff19SB is provided in AMBER force field [33]. ff19SB is recommended to use with OPC four-point water model, which is available only in **SPDYN**. Therefore, please use **SPDYN** to make use of ff19SB.

## 6.2 Non-bonded interactions

Calculation of the non-bonded interaction is the most time consuming part in MD simulations. Computational time for the non-bonded interaction terms without any approximation is proportional to  $O(N^2)$ . To reduce the computational cost, a cut-off approximation is introduced, where the energy and force calculation is truncated at a given cut-off value (keyword *cutoffdist*). Simple truncation at the cut-off distance leads to discontinuous energy and forces. So it is necessary to introduce a polynomial function (so called *switching function*) that smoothly turn off the interaction from another given value (so called *switch cut-off*), which is generally applied to the van der Waals interactions (keyword *switchdist*). There are two kinds of switching: “potential switch” and “force switch”. In **GENESIS**, potential switching is turned on as the default. However, in the case of the AMBER force field, potential switching is still turned off, since the original AMBER program package is not using the potential switching. To turn on the “force switching”, `vdw_force_switch=YES` must be specified. Note that the cut-off scheme for the electrostatic energy term is different from that for the van der Waals energy term, where the former uses a shift function. Such shift is turned on when `Electrostatic=Cutoff` is specified.

---

### electrostatic CUTOFF / PME

#### Default : PME

- **CUTOFF**: Non-bonded interactions including the van der Waals interaction are just truncated at *cutoffdist*.
- **PME**: Particle mesh Ewald (PME) method is employed for long-range interactions. This option is only available in the periodic boundary condition.

### switchdist Real

#### Default : 10.0 (unit : Å)

Switch-on distance for nonbonded interaction energy/force quenching. If *switchdist* is set to be equal to *cutoffdist*, switching can be turned off. Switching scheme depends on the selected force field, *vdw\_shift*, and *vdw\_force\_switch* parameters. In the case of AMBER force field, this switching must be disabled, because the switching function is not available. In the case of “forcefield = GROMARTINI” and “electrostatic = CUTOFF”, *switchdist* is used only in the van der Waals potential energy. The switching-on distance for the electrostatic energy is automatically defined as 0.0.

### cutoffdist Real

#### Default : 12.0 (unit : Å)

Cut-off distance for the non-bonded interactions. This distance must be larger than *switchdist*, while smaller than *pairlistdist*. In the case of the AMBER force field, this value must be equal to *switchdist*.

**pairlistdist** *Real***Default : 13.5** (unit : Å)

Distance used to make a Verlet pair list for non-bonded interactions [34]. This distance must be larger than *cutoffdist*.

**dielec\_const** *Real***Default : 1.0**

Dielectric constant of the system. In GENESIS, the distance dependent dielectric constant is not available except for a specific case like the implicit membrane/micelle models (IMM1/IMIC). Note that in the IMM1/IMIC models this parameter is neglected.

**vdw\_force\_switch** *YES / NO***Default : NO**

This parameter determines whether the force switch function for van der Waals interactions is employed or not. [35] The users must take care about this parameter, when the CHARMM force field is used. Typically, “vdw\_force\_switch=YES” should be specified in the case of CHARMM36.

**vdw\_shift** *YES / NO***Default : NO**

This parameter determines whether the energy shift for the van der Waals interactions is employed or not. If it is turned on, potential energy at the cut-off distance is shifted by a constant value so as to nullify the energy at that distance, instead of the default smooth quenching function. This parameter is available only when “forcefield = GROAMBER” or “forcefield = GROMARTINI”.

**dispersion\_corr** *NONE / ENERGY / EPRESS***Default : NONE** (automatically set to **EPRESS** in the case of AMBER)

This parameter determines how to deal with the long-range correction about the cut-off for the van der Waals interactions. Note that the formula used for the correction is different between the GROMACS and AMBER schemes. In the case of the CHARMM force field, “dispersion\_corr=NONE” is always used.

- **NONE**: No correction is carried out.
- **ENERGY**: Only energy correction is carried out.
- **EPRESS**: Both energy and internal pressure corrections are carried out.

**implicit\_solvent** *NONE / GBSA / EEF1 / IMM1 / IMIC (ATDYN only)***Default : NONE**

Use implicit solvent or not.

- **NONE**: Do not use implicit solvent model
- **GBSA**: Use the GB/SA implicit water model (Only available with the CHARMM or AMBER all-atom force fields in non-boundary condition (“type=NOBC” in the [BOUNDARY] section). [36] [37]
- **EEF1**: Use the EEF1 implicit water model (Only available with the CHARMM force fields in NOBC) [38]

- **IMM1**: Use the IMM1 implicit membrane model (Only available with the CHARMM force fields in NOBC) [39]
- **IMIC**: Use the IMIC implicit micelle model (Only available with the CHARMM force fields in NOBC) [40]

**contact\_check** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

If this parameter is set to *YES*, length of all covalent bonds as well as distance between non-bonded atom pairs are checked at the beginning of the simulation. If long covalent bonds or clashing atoms are detected, those atom indexes are displayed in the log file. If *contact\_check* is turned on, *nonb\_limiter* is also automatically enabled. If the users want to turn on only “contact\_check”, please specify “contact\_check = YES” and “nonb\_limiter = NO” explicitly. Note that this *contact\_check* does not work in the parallel-io scheme. If you are using **SPDYN**, please see also *structure\_check*.

**structure\_check** *NONE / FIRST / DOMAIN* (**SPDYN** only)

**Default : NONE**

If this parameter is set to *FIRST* or *DOMAIN*, length of all covalent bonds as well as distance between non-bonded atom pairs are checked at the beginning or during the simulation. This option is similar to *contact\_check*, but has an improved capability when the parallel-io scheme is employed. In **SPDYN**, we recommend the users to use this option instead of *contact\_check*. Since the structure check spends additional computational time, the users had better turn off this option in the production run.

- **NONE**: Do not check the structure
- **FIRST**: Check the structure only at the beginning of the simulation
- **DOMAIN**: Check the structure whenever the pairlist is updated

**nonb\_limiter** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO** (automatically set to be equal to **contact\_check**)

If this parameter is set to *YES*, large force caused by the atomic clash is suppressed during the simulation. Here, the atomic clash can be defined by *minimum\_contact* (see below). If “contact\_check = YES” is specified, this parameter is automatically set to “YES”. If the users want to turn on only “contact\_check”, please specify “contact\_check = YES” and “nonb\_limiter = NO” explicitly. This option is basically useful for the energy minimization or equilibration of the system. However, we strongly recommend the users to turn off this option in the production run, because suppression of large forces is an “unphysical” manipulation to avoid unstable simulations.

**minimum\_contact** *Real*

**Default : 0.5** (unit : Å)

This parameter defines the clash distance, when *contact\_check* = YES is specified. If the distance between the non-bonded atoms is less than this value, energy and force are computed using this distance instead of the actual distance.

**nonbond\_kernel** *AUTOSELECT / GENERIC / FUGAKU / INTEL / GPU*

**Default: AUTOSELECT**

If this parameter is set to AUTOSELECT, the program automatically decide the kernel for the real-space non-bonded interaction. Please remember that you should compile with GPU option to define GPU as nonbonded\_kernel.

## 6.3 Particle mesh Ewald method

Electrostatic energy in the conventional Ewald sum method is expressed as:

$$E_{elec} = \sum_{i<j} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon_1} \frac{\text{erfc}(\alpha r_{ij})}{r_{ij}} + \frac{2\pi}{V} \sum_{|\mathbf{G}|^2 \neq 0} \frac{\exp(-|\mathbf{G}|^2/4\alpha^2)}{|\mathbf{G}|^2} \sum_{ij} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon_1} \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{ij}) - \sum_{ij} \frac{q_i q_j}{\epsilon_1} \frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{\pi}}$$

where  $\mathbf{G}$  is the three-dimensional grid vectors in reciprocal space. Here, the cut-off scheme can be used for the first term, because it decreases rapidly as distance between atoms increases. The third term is so called *self-energy*, and is calculated only once. The second term can be rewritten as:

$$\sum_{|\mathbf{G}|^2 \neq 0} \frac{\exp(-|\mathbf{G}|^2/4\alpha^2)}{|\mathbf{G}|^2} |\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G})|^2$$

where the structure factor  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G})$  is defined as:

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G}) = \sum_i q_i \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i)$$

We cannot employ fast Fourier transformation (FFT) for the calculation of  $\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G})$  since atomic positions are usually not equally spaced. In the smooth particle mesh Ewald (PME) method [41] [42], this structure factor is approximated by using cardinal B-spline interpolation as:

$$\mathbf{S}(\mathbf{G}) = \sum_i q_i \exp(i\mathbf{G} \cdot \mathbf{r}_i) \approx b_1(G_1)b_2(G_2)b_3(G_3)\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Q})(G_1, G_2, G_3)$$

where  $b_1(G_1)$ ,  $b_2(G_2)$ , and  $b_3(G_3)$  are the coefficients brought by the cardinal B-spline interpolation of order  $n$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$  is a 3D tensor obtained by interpolating atomic charges on the grids. Since this  $\mathbf{Q}$  has equally spaced structure, its Fourier transformation,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Q})$ , can be calculated by using FFT in the PME method.

**pme\_alpha** *Real or auto*

**Default : auto**

Exponent of complementary error function. If pme\_alpha=auto is specified, the value is automatically determined from *cutoffdist* and *pme\_alpha\_tol*.

*Note: The default of pme\_alpha was 0.34 in GENESIS ver. 1.1.0 or former.*

**pme\_alpha\_tol** *Real*

**Default : 1.0e-5**

Tolerance to be used for determining *pme\_alpha*, when pme\_alpha=auto is specified.

**pme\_nspline** *Integer*

**Default : 4**

B-spline interpolation order used for the evaluation of  $b_1(G_1)$ ,  $b_2(G_2)$ ,  $b_3(G_3)$ , and  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The order must be  $\geq 3$ .

**pme\_max\_spacing** *Real*

**Default : 1.2** (unit : Å)

Max PME grid size used in the automatic grid number determination. This parameter is used only when *pme\_ngrid\_x*, *pme\_ngrid\_y*, and *pme\_ngrid\_z* are not given in the control file.

**pme\_ngrid\_x** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional)**

Number of FFT grid points along x dimension. If not specified, program will determine an appropriate number of grids using *pme\_max\_spacing*.

**pme\_ngrid\_y** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional)**

Number of FFT grid points along y dimension. If not specified, program will determine an appropriate number of grids using *pme\_max\_spacing*.

**pme\_ngrid\_z** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional)**

Number of FFT grid points along z dimension. If not specified, program will determine an appropriate number of grids using *pme\_max\_spacing*.

**pme\_multiple** *YES/NO (ATDYN only)*

**Default : NO**

If *pme\_multiple* is set to YES, MPI processes are divided into two groups to compute the PME real and reciprocal parts individually.

**pme\_mul\_ratio** *Integer (ATDYN only)*

**Default : 1**

Ratio of the MPI processors for real and reciprocal PME term computations (only used when “PME\_multiple=YES” is specified).

**PME\_scheme** *AUTOSELECT / OPT\_1DALLTOAIL / NOOPT\_1DALLTOALL / OPT\_2DALLTOALL / NOOPT\_2DALLTOALL (SPDYN only)*

**Default : AUTOSELECTL**

*AUTOSELECT* chooses the best scheme by running in setup procedure. Other schemes can be chosen manually according to your preference.. For *1DALLTOALL* and *2DALLTOALL*, see ref [43] for details.

**SPDYN** use OpenMP/MPI hybrid parallel fast Fourier transformation library, FFTE [44]. The number of PME grid points must be multiples of 2, 3, and 5 due to the restriction of this library. Moreover, in **SPDYN**, there are several additional rules, which depends on the number of processes, in PME grid numbers. In **SPDYN**, we first define domain numbers in each dimension such that product of them equals to the total number of MPI processors. Let us assume that the domain numbers in each dimension

are `domain_x`, `domain_y`, and `domain_z`. The restriction condition of the grid numbers are as follows:

1) `OPT_1DALLTOALL` or `NOOPT_1DALLTOALL`:

`pme_ngrid_x` should be multiple of  $2 \times \text{domain\_x}$ .

`pme_ngrid_y` should be multiple of  $\text{domain\_y} \times \text{domain\_z}$  if `domain_z` is an even number and multiple of  $\text{domain\_y} \times \text{domain\_z} \times 2$  otherwise.

`pme_ngrid_z` should be multiple of  $\text{domain\_x} \times \text{domain\_z}$  and multiple of  $\text{domain\_y} \times \text{domain\_z}$ .

2) `OPT_2DALLTOALL` or `NOOPT_2DALLTOALL`:

`pme_ngrid_x` should be multiple of  $2 \times \text{domain\_x}$ .

`pme_ngrid_y` should be multiple of  $\text{domain\_y} \times \text{domain\_z}$  if `domain_z` is an even number and multiple of  $\text{domain\_y} \times \text{domain\_z} \times 2$  otherwise.

`pme_ngrid_z` should be multiple of  $\text{domain\_x} \times \text{domain\_z}$ .

3) `NOOPT_1DALLTOALL` or `NOOPT_2DALLTOALL`:

Cell size in each dimension divided by grid spacing should be greater than `pme_nsplie`.

4) `OPT_1DALLTOALL` or `OPT_2DALLTOALL`:

`pme_ngrid_[x,y,z]` divided by `domain_[x,y,z]` should be greater than  $\times$  `pme_nspline`

## 6.4 External electric field

In GENESIS, we can apply constant external electric field on x, y, and z directions. For biological simulations, it can be used for applying electric potential across a membrane.

**`efield_x`** *Real*

**Default :** N/A

Usage of external electric field in x direction (unit: V/Å)

**`efield_y`** *Real*

**Default :** N/A

Usage of external electric field in y direction (unit: V/Å)

**`efield_z`** *Real*

**Default :** N/A

Usage of external electric field in z direction (unit: V/Å)

**`efield_virial`** *Yes / No*

**Default :** No

Logical flag to assign `efield` contribution to virial term

**`efield_normal`** *Yes / No*

**Default : No**

Logical flag to adjust electric field strength according to system size in NPT simulations. It is used to apply constant electric potential difference across a membrane.

## 6.5 Lookup table

The following keywords are relevant when CHARMM/AMBER/GROAMBER force fields are used. For a linearly-interpolating lookup table with  $r_v$  (cutoff), force at pairwise distance  $r$  is evaluated according to the unit interval of  $r_v^2/r^2$ : [11]

$$F(r^2) \approx F_{\text{tab}}(L) + t(F_{\text{tab}}(L+1) - F_{\text{tab}}(L))$$

where

$$L = \text{INT}(\text{Density} \times r_v^2/r^2)$$

and

$$t = \text{Density} \times r_v^2/r^2 - L$$

Linear interpolation is used if “Electrostatic=PME”.

Density is the number of points per unit interval. Lookup table using cubic interpolation is different from that of linear interpolation. In the case of cubic interpolation, monotonic cubic Hermite polynomial interpolation is used to impose the monotonicity of the energy value. Energy/gradients are evaluated as a function of  $r^2$  [45] using four basis functions for the cubic Hermite spline :  $h_{00}(t)$ ,  $h_{10}(t)$ ,  $h_{01}(t)$ ,  $h_{11}(t)$

$$\begin{aligned} F(r^2) \approx & F_{\text{tab}}(L-1)h_{00}(t) + \frac{F_{\text{tab}}(L-2) + F_{\text{tab}}(L-1)}{2}h_{10} \\ & + F_{\text{tab}}(L)h_{10}(t) + \frac{F_{\text{tab}}(L-1) + F_{\text{tab}}(L)}{2}h_{11}(t) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$L = \text{INT}(\text{Density} \times r^2)$$

and

$$t = \text{Density} \times r^2 - L$$

Cubic interpolation is used if “Electrostatic=Cutoff”.

## 6.6 Generalized Born/Solvent-Accessible Surface-Area model

Implicit solvent model is useful to reduce computational cost for the simulations of biomolecules [46]. The GB/SA (Generalized Born/Solvent accessible surface area) model is one of the popular implicit solvent models, where the electrostatic contribution to the solvation free energy ( $\Delta G_{\text{elec}}$ ) is computed with the GB theory [47], and the non-polar contribution ( $\Delta G_{\text{np}}$ ) is calculated from the solvent accessible surface area [48]. In the GB theory, solvent molecules surrounding the solute are approximated as a continuum that has the dielectric constant of  $\sim 80$ . To date, various GB models have been developed. In GENESIS, the OBC model [36] and LCPO method [37] are available in the calculations of the GB and SA energy terms, respectively. Note that the GB/SA model is implemented in **ATDYN** but **NOT SP-DYN**. The solvation free energy is incorporated into the molecular mechanics potential energy function as an effective energy term, namely,  $U = U_{\text{FF}} + \Delta G_{\text{elec}} + \Delta G_{\text{np}}$ .

### 6.6.1 GB energy term

In the GB theory, the solvation free energy of solute is given by

$$\Delta G_{\text{elec}} = -\frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\varepsilon_{\text{p}}} - \frac{\exp(-\kappa f_{ij})}{\varepsilon_{\text{w}}} \right\} \sum_{i,j} \frac{q_i q_j}{f_{ij}},$$

where  $\varepsilon_{\text{p}}$  and  $\varepsilon_{\text{w}}$  are the dielectric constants of solute and solvent, respectively,  $q_i$  and  $q_j$  are the partial charges on the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th atoms, respectively.  $\kappa$  is the inverse of Debye length.  $f_{ij}$  is the effective distance between the  $i$ - and  $j$ -th atoms, which depends on the degree of burial of the atoms, and is given by

$$f_{ij} = \sqrt{r_{ij}^2 + R_i R_j \exp\left(\frac{-r_{ij}^2}{4R_i R_j}\right)}.$$

Here,  $r_{ij}$  is the actual distance between the  $i$ - and  $j$ -th atoms, and  $R_i$  is the effective Born radius of the  $i$ -th atom, which is typically estimated in the Coulomb field approximation by

$$\frac{1}{R_i} = \frac{1}{\rho_i} - \frac{1}{4\pi} \int_{\text{solute}, r > \rho_i} \frac{1}{r^4} dV.$$

$\rho_i$  is the radius of the  $i$ -th atom (mostly set to the atom's van der Waals radius), and the integral is carried out over the volume inside the solute but outside the  $i$ -th atom. In the case of an isolated ion,  $R_i$  is equal to its van der Waals radius. On the other hand, if the atom is buried inside a solute,  $R_i$  becomes larger, resulting in larger  $f_{ij}$ . In the OBC model, the effective Born radius is approximated as

$$\frac{1}{R_i} = \frac{1}{\tilde{\rho}_i} - \frac{1}{\rho_i} \tanh(\alpha \Psi_i - \beta \Psi_i^2 + \gamma \Psi_i^3),$$

where  $\tilde{\rho}_i$  is defined as  $\rho_i - \rho_0$  (intrinsic offset), and  $\Psi_i$  describes the degree of burial of the solute atom, which is calculated from the pairwise descreening function:  $\Psi_i = \tilde{\rho}_i \sum_j H(r_{ij})$  [49].



## 6.6.2 SA energy term

In general, the non-polar contribution to the solvation free energy is calculated by

$$\Delta G_{\text{np}} = \sum_i \gamma_i A_i,$$

where  $\gamma$  is the surface tension coefficient, and  $A_i$  is the surface area of the  $i$ -th atom. In the LCPO method,  $A_i$  is calculated from a linear combination of the overlaps between the neighboring atoms, given by

$$A_i = P_{1i}4\pi R_i^2 + P_{2i} \sum_{j=1}^n A_{ij} + P_{3i} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m A_{jk} + P_{4i} \sum_{j=1}^n \left[ A_{ij} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{k=1}^m A_{jk} \right].$$

$P_{1-4}$  are the empirical parameters determined for each atom type,  $R_i$  is the radius of the  $i$ -th atom + probe radius (typically 1.4 Å), and  $A_{ij}$  is the area of the  $i$ -th atom buried inside the  $j$ -th atom, given by

$$A_{ij} = 2\pi R_i \left( R_i - \frac{r_{ij}}{2} - \frac{R_i^2 - R_j^2}{2r_{ij}} \right)$$

where  $r_{ij}$  is the distance between the  $i$ - and  $j$ -th atoms.

### **gbsa\_eps\_solvent** *Real*

**Default : 78.5**

Dielectric constant of solvent  $\epsilon_w$ .

### **gbsa\_eps\_solute** *Real*

**Default : 1.0**

Dielectric constant of solute  $\epsilon_p$ .

### **gbsa\_alpha** *Real*

**Default : 1.0**

The empirical parameter  $\alpha$  in the equation for the effective Born radius calculation. “gbsa\_alpha=0.8” for OBC1, and “gbsa\_alpha=1.0” for OBC2.

### **gbsa\_beta** *Real*

**Default : 0.8**

The empirical parameter  $\beta$  in the equation for the effective Born radius calculation. “gbsa\_beta=0.0” for OBC1, and “gbsa\_beta=0.8” for OBC2.

### **gbsa\_gamma** *Real*

**Default : 4.85**

The empirical parameter  $\gamma$  in the equation for the effective Born radius calculation. “gbsa\_gamma=2.91” for OBC1, and “gbsa\_gamma=4.85” for OBC2.

### **gbsa\_salt\_cons** *Real*

**Default : 0.2** (unit : mol/L)

Concentration of the monovalent salt solution.

**gbsa\_vdw\_offset** *Real*

**Default : 0.09** (unit : Å)

Intrinsic offset  $\rho_0$  for the van der Waals radius.

**gbsa\_surf\_tens** *Real*

**Default : 0.005** (unit : kcal/mol/Å<sup>2</sup>)

Surface tension coefficient  $\gamma$  in the SA energy term.

---

**Note:** Debye length is calculated by  $\kappa^{-1} = \sqrt{\epsilon_0 \epsilon_w k_B T / 2 N_A e^2 I}$ , where  $T$  is automatically set to the target temperature specified in the [DYNAMICS] section. In the case of the energy minimization,  $T = 298.15$  K is used. In the T-REMD simulations with the GB/SA model, each replica has an individual Debye length depending on the assigned temperature.

---

## 6.7 EEF1, IMM1, and IMIC implicit solvent models

In the EEF1 implicit solvent model [38], the effective energy  $W$  of a solute molecule is defined as the sum of the molecular mechanics potential energy  $E_{\text{MM}}$  and solvation free energy  $\Delta G_{\text{solv}}$ , given by

$$W = E_{\text{MM}} + \Delta G_{\text{solv}},$$

where

$$\Delta G_{\text{solv}} = \sum_i \Delta G_i^{\text{ref}} - \sum_i \sum_{j \neq i} g_i(r_{ij}) V_j,$$

$$g_i(r_{ij}) = \frac{\Delta G_i^{\text{free}}}{2\pi\sqrt{\pi}\lambda_i r_{ij}^2} \exp \left\{ - \left( \frac{r_{ij} - R_i}{\lambda_i} \right)^2 \right\}.$$

$r_{ij}$  is the distance between atoms  $i$  and  $j$ , and  $V_j$  is the volume of the  $j$ -th atom. The function  $g_i$  is the density of the solvation free energy of the  $i$ -th atom, defined with the van der Waals radius  $R_i$  and thickness of the first hydration shell  $\lambda_i$ .  $\Delta G_i^{\text{ref}}$  is the solvation free energy of the atom when it is fully exposed to solvent.  $\Delta G_i^{\text{free}}$  is similar to  $\Delta G_i^{\text{ref}}$ , but is determined to satisfy the zero solvation energy of deeply buried atoms.

In the IMM1 implicit membrane [39] and IMIC implicit micelle models [40],  $\Delta G_i^{\text{free}}$  as well as  $\Delta G_i^{\text{ref}}$  are defined as a combination of the solvation free energies of the  $i$ -th solute atom in water and cyclohexane:

$$\Delta G_i^{\text{ref}} = f_i \Delta G_i^{\text{ref,water}} + (1 - f_i) \Delta G_i^{\text{ref,cyclohexane}},$$

where  $f$  is a function that describes the transition between water and cyclohexane phases.

In the IMM1 model,  $f_i$  is given by the sigmoidal function:

$$f(z'_i) = \frac{z'^n_i}{1 + z'^n_i},$$

where  $z'_i = |z_i|/(T/2)$ ,  $z_i$  is the  $z$ -coordinate of the  $i$ -th atom, and  $T$  is the membrane thickness.  $n$  controls the steepness of the membrane-water interface. In the IMM1 model, the membrane is centered at  $z = 0$ .

In the IMIC model, the following function is used for  $f_i$ :

$$f(d_i) = \frac{1}{2} \{ \tanh(sd_i) + 1 \},$$

where  $d_i$  is the depth of the solute atom  $i$  from the micelle surface, and  $s$  controls the steepness of the micelle-water interface. The shape of the micelle is defined using a super-ellipsoid function:

$$\left\{ \left( \frac{|x|}{a} \right)^{\frac{2}{m_2}} + \left( \frac{|y|}{b} \right)^{\frac{2}{m_2}} \right\}^{\frac{m_2}{m_1}} + \left( \frac{|z|}{c} \right)^{\frac{2}{m_1}} = 1.$$

$a$ ,  $b$ , and  $c$  are the semi-axes of the super-ellipsoid, and  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  determine the shape of the cross section in the super-ellipsoid. In the case of  $m_1 = m_2 = 1$ , the equation gives an ordinary ellipsoid. If  $0 < m_1 < 1$  and  $m_2 = 1$ , the cross section in a plane perpendicular to the  $XY$ -plane is expanded, keeping the semi-axes at the given lengths, and the shape also resembles a bicelle or nanodisc. If  $m_1 = 1$  and  $0 < m_2 < 1$ , the cross section in a plane parallel to the  $XY$ -plane is expanded. The shape becomes close to rectangle as both  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  decrease. Note that  $m < 0$  or  $m > 1$  is not allowed, because it produces a non-micelle-like shape resembling an octahedron. In the IMIC model, the micelle is centered at the origin of the system  $(x, y, z) = (0, 0, 0)$ .

In the IMM1 and IMIC models, a distance-dependent dielectric constant is used for the electrostatic interactions. The dielectric constant depends on the positions of interacting atoms with respect to the membrane/micelle surface, defined as

$$\epsilon = r^{p+(1-p)\sqrt{f_i f_j}},$$

where  $r$  is the distance between the  $i$ -th and  $j$ -th atoms, and  $p$  is an empirical parameter to adjust strength of the interactions ( $p = 0.85$  for CHARMM19 and  $0.91$  for CHARMM36). Far from the membrane/micelle surface, the dielectric constant  $\epsilon$  is close to  $r$ , corresponding to the EEF1 model, while in the membrane/micelle center, it provides strengthened interactions. The IMIC model is nearly equivalent to the IMM1 model when  $a$  and  $b \rightarrow \infty$  and  $c$  is half membrane thickness.

In the control file of GENESIS, the following parameters are specified, and the other parameters such as  $V$ ,  $\Delta G_i^{\text{ref}}$ ,  $\Delta G_i^{\text{free}}$ , and  $\lambda$  in the above equations are read from the **cef1file**, which is set in the **[INPUT]** section (see [Input section](#)).  $R$  is read from the **parfile**.

---

**imm1\_memb\_thick** *Real*

**Default :** 27.0 (unit : Å)

Membrane thickness  $T$  in IMM1

**imm1\_exponent\_n** *Real*

**Default : 10**

Steepness parameter  $n$  in IMM1

**imm1\_factor\_a** *Real*

**Default : 0.91**

Adjustable empirical parameter  $p$  in IMM1 and IMIC.  $p = 0.85$  and  $0.91$  are recommended for CHARMM19 and CHARMM36, respectively.

**imm1\_make\_pore**

**Default : NO**

Use IMM1-pore model [50]

**imm1\_pore\_radius** *Real*

**Default : 5.0** (unit : Å)

Aqueous pore radius in the IMM1-pore model

**imic\_axis\_a** *Real*

**Default : 18.0** (unit : Å)

Semi-axis  $a$  of the super-ellipsoid in IMIC

**imic\_axis\_b** *Real*

**Default : 18.0** (unit : Å)

Semi-axis  $b$  of the super-ellipsoid in IMIC

**imic\_axis\_c** *Real*

**Default : 18.0** (unit : Å)

Semi-axis  $c$  of the super-ellipsoid in IMIC

**imic\_exponent\_m1** *Real*

**Default : 1.0**

Expansion parameter  $m_1$  in IMIC

**imic\_exponent\_m2** *Real*

**Default : 1.0**

Expansion parameter  $m_2$  in IMIC

**imic\_steepness** *Real*

**Default : 0.5**

Steepness parameter  $s$  in IMIC

## 6.8 Residue-level coarse-grained models

**Note:** here we only briefly describe the potential energy functions and corresponding options of the available CG models in GENESIS [51]. To prepare the topology and coordinate files, please make use of the “GENESIS-CG-tool” [51], which is included in GENESIS as a submodule and can be found from “src/analysis/cg\_tool”. It is also deposited as a Github repository, with which a wiki page of the GENESIS-CG-tool can be found: [https://github.com/noinil/genesis\\_cg\\_tool/wiki](https://github.com/noinil/genesis_cg_tool/wiki)

### 6.8.1 AICG2+ protein model

In the AICG2+ model for proteins, the energy function is given by: [52]

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{AICG2+}(\mathbf{r}) = & \sum_{\text{bond}} K_b (b - b_0)^2 + V_{loc}^{flp} \\
 & + \sum_{1,3 \text{ pair}} \varepsilon_{1,3} \exp \left( -\frac{(d - d_0)^2}{2w_{1,3}^2} \right) + \sum_{\text{dihedral}} \varepsilon_{\phi} \exp \left( -\frac{(\phi - \phi_0)^2}{2w_{\phi}^2} \right) \\
 & + \sum_{\text{native contact}} \varepsilon_{Go} \left[ 5 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - 6 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{10} \right] \\
 & + \sum_{\text{non-native contact}} \varepsilon_{exv} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \frac{1}{2^{12}} \right].
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K_b$ ,  $\varepsilon_{1,3}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{\phi}$ ,  $\varepsilon_{Go}$ , and  $\varepsilon_{exv}$  are the force constants of the bond, 1,3 (the next neighbor) distance, dihedral angle, native contact, and non-native contact terms, respectively; and  $b_0$ ,  $d_0$ ,  $\phi_0$ , and  $r_0$  are the native values of the corresponding terms.  $\sigma$  in the non-native contact term is the residue-type dependent excluded volume radius.  $w_{1,3}$  and  $w_{\phi}$  are the widths of the Gaussian-type local potentials. The statistical local potential  $V_{loc}^{flp}$  is used to describe the intrinsical flexibility of peptides and includes the following terms: [53]

$$V_{loc}^{flp} = \sum_{\text{angle}} -k_B T \ln \frac{P(\theta)}{\sin(\theta)} + \sum_{\text{dihedral}} -k_B T \ln P(\phi)$$

where  $k_B$  is the Boltzmann constant,  $T$  is the temperature, and  $P(\theta)$  and  $P(\phi)$  are the probability distributions of the angles and dihedral angles, respectively.

### 6.8.2 3SPN.2C DNA model

Among the series of the 3SPN DNA models developed by de Pablo’s group [54][55][56], 3SPN.2C is the one for reproducing sequence-dependent curvature of double-stranded DNA (dsDNA). [56] In this model, each nucleotide is represented by three CG particles, P (phosphate), S (sugar), and B (base), respectively. The potential energy is given by:[56]

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{3SPN.2C}(\mathbf{r}) = & \sum_{\text{bond}} k_b(r - r_0)^2 + 100k_b(r - r_0)^4 \\
 & + \sum_{\text{angle}} k_\theta(\theta - \theta_0)^2 \\
 & + \sum_{\text{backbone dihedral}} -k_{\phi, \text{Gaussian}} \exp\left(\frac{-(\phi - \phi_0)^2}{2\sigma_\phi^2}\right) + \sum_{\text{dihedral}} k_{\phi, \text{periodic}} [1 + \cos(\phi - \phi_0)] \\
 & + \sum_{\text{bstk}} U_m^{\text{rep}}(\epsilon_{bs}, \alpha_{bs}, r) + f(K_{bs}, \Delta\theta_{bs}) U_m^{\text{attr}}(\epsilon_{bs}, \alpha_{bs}, r) \\
 & + \sum_{\text{bp}} U_m^{\text{rep}}(\epsilon_{bp}, \alpha_{bp}, r) + \frac{1}{2}(1 + \cos(\Delta\phi_1)) f(K_{bp}, \Delta\theta_1) f(K_{bp}, \Delta\theta_2) U_m^{\text{attr}}(\epsilon_{bp}, \alpha_{bp}, r) \\
 & + \sum_{\text{cstk}} f(K_{BP}, \Delta\theta_3) f(K_{cs}, \Delta\theta_{cs}) U_m^{\text{attr}}(\epsilon_{cs}, \alpha_{cs}, r) \\
 & + \sum_{\text{exv}} \epsilon_r \left[ \left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^{12} - 2\left(\frac{\sigma}{r}\right)^6 \right] + \epsilon_r \\
 & + \sum_{\text{ele}} \frac{q_i q_j e^{-r/\lambda_D}}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon(T, C)r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first four lines are the local terms, for bond, angle, dihedral angle, and the base-stacking between neighboring bases, respectively. The non-local terms include the base-pairing, cross-stacking, excluded volume, and electrostatic interactions.  $U_m^{\text{rep}}$  and  $U_m^{\text{attr}}$  are the splitted Morse-type potentials to describe the repulsive and attractive interactions between DNA bases, while the  $f(K, \Delta\theta)$  are bell-shaped modulating functions to smooth out the potentials. The excluded volume interactions are considered with a cutoff at  $\sigma$ . The electrostatic interactions are modeled with the Debye-Hückel theory. For more details, please refer to reference [56].

### 6.8.3 Protein-DNA interaction models

We usually consider excluded volume and electrostatic terms as the sequence-non-specific interactions between protein and DNA. The excluded volume term has the same form as the non-native contact in the AICG2+ model, and the electrostatic term uses the Debye-Hückel potential as in the 3SPN.2C model. As for the protein-DNA sequence-specific recognition, we used the PWMcos model for the protein-DNA base interactions: [57]

$$E_{PWMcos}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_i \sum_j \sum_m [V_{m,j}(b_i, \mathbf{r}) + V_{m',j}(b_i, \mathbf{r})],$$

where  $i$  is the index of DNA base,  $j$  is the index of amino acid residue ( $C_\alpha$ ) that is forming contact with DNA in the reference (native) complex structure, and  $m$  is the index of native contacts between protein and DNA. Each amino acid residue can form multiple native contacts with DNA bases. We consider contributions from both bases (indices  $m$  and  $m'$ ) in every base-pair.

The sequence dependent potential  $V_{m,j}(b_i, \mathbf{r})$  is defined as:

$$V_{m,j}(b_i, \mathbf{r}) = \varepsilon_{PWM}(b_i) \cdot U_{mj}(i, \mathbf{r}),$$

where  $N_m$  is the total number of contacts formed with the base pair  $m - m'$ , and  $\varepsilon_{PWM}(b_i)$  is based on the position-weight-matrix and dependent on the base type of the  $i$ -th base:

$$\varepsilon_{PWM} = \gamma \left[ \frac{-k_B T}{N_m} \left( \log P_m(b) - \frac{1}{4} \sum_{b \in \{A, C, G, T\}} \log P_m(b) \right) + \varepsilon' \right]$$

where  $\gamma$  and  $\varepsilon'$  are two hyperparameters, which can be calibrated by comparing simulated quantities with experimental results.

The second term in the formula of  $V_{m,j}(b_i, \mathbf{r})$  is a modulating function:

$$U_{mj}(i, \mathbf{r}) = f_{mj}(r) g_{1,mj}(\theta_1) g_{2,mj}(\theta_2) g_{3,mj}(\theta_3)$$

where  $r$  is the distance between the  $j$ -th  $C_\alpha$  and the  $i$ -th DNA base.  $\theta_1$ ,  $\theta_2$ , and  $\theta_3$  are the angle of sugar-base- $C_\alpha$ , the one between vectors  $m - 1$ -base- $m + 1$ -base and base- $C_\alpha$ , and the one between vectors  $j - 1$ - $C_\alpha$ - $j + 1$ - $C_\alpha$  and base- $C_\alpha$ .  $f$  is a Gaussian centered at the native value of  $r$ , and  $g$  is a bell-shaped function centered the native values of the  $\theta$  s. For more details, please refer to reference [57].

A similar potential is useful in the modeling of hydrogen-bonds formed between proteins and DNA backbone phosphate groups, which has been successfully applied in the studies of nucleosome dynamics [58][59]. We also provide this model in GENESIS.

#### 6.8.4 HPS/KH IDR models

GENESIS provides two models for intrinsically disordered proteins, namely the HPS and KH IDR models [60]. These two models share the same potential function formulas and are different in the parameters. The local interactions include the bond terms. The nonlocal interactions include the electrostatic term (Debye-Hückel form, the same as described in the 3SPN.2C section) and the Ashbaugh-Hatch type hydrophobicity-scale (HPS) term: [60]

$$\Phi(r) = \begin{cases} \Phi_{LJ} + (1 + \lambda)\epsilon, & \text{if } r \leq 2^{1/6}\sigma \\ \lambda\Phi_{LJ}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the hydrophobicity value and  $\Phi_{LJ}$  is the standard Lennard-Jones potential:

$$\Phi_{LJ} = 4\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^6 \right].$$

For more details such as the parameter values in the HPS and KH models, please refer to reference [60].

Notably, user can easily change the hydrophobicity parameters in the topology files (see more descriptions in the wiki-page of the GENESIS-CG-tool).

### 6.8.5 Structure and context-based RNA model

GENESIS also provides a structure and context based RNA model [61], which has the potential functions:

$$\begin{aligned}
 E_{RNA}(\mathbf{r}) = & \sum_{\text{bond}} K_b (b - b_0)^2 + V_{loc}^{flp} \\
 & + \sum_{\text{angle}} k_a (\theta - \theta_0)^2 \\
 & + \sum_{\text{dihedral}} k_\phi [1 - \cos(\phi - \phi_0)] + \frac{1}{2} k_\phi [1 - \cos(3(\phi - \phi_0))] \\
 & + \sum_{\text{native contact}} \varepsilon_{Go} \left[ 5 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{12} - 6 \left( \frac{r_0}{r} \right)^{10} \right] \\
 & + \sum_{\text{non-native contact}} \varepsilon_{exv} \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r} \right)^{12} - \frac{1}{2^{12}} \right] \\
 & + \sum_{ele} \frac{q_i q_j e^{-r/\lambda_D}}{4\pi\epsilon_0\epsilon(T, C)r}.
 \end{aligned}$$

where the first three lines are for the local terms (bond, angle, and dihedral angles), the forth term is the structure-based Go-like potential for native contacts, the fifth term is for the non-native contacts, and the sixth term is the Debye-Hückel type electrostatic interaction.

---

**cg\_cutoffdist\_ele** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 52.0**

Cutoff for Debye-Hückel type electrostatic interactions.

**cg\_cutoffdist\_126** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 39.0**

Cutoff for 12-6 type Lennard-Jones interactions.

**cg\_cutoffdist\_DNAbp** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 18.0**

Cutoff for DNA base-pairing interactions.

**cg\_pairlistdist\_ele** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 57.0**

Distance for the pair list of Debye-Hückel type electrostatic interactions.

**cg\_pairlistdist\_126** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 44.0**

Distance for the pair list of 12-6 type Lennard-Jones interactions.

**cg\_pairlistdist\_PWMcos** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 23.0**

Distance for the pair list of PWMcos type protein-DNA interactions.



**cg\_pairlistdist\_DNAbp** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 23.0**

Distance for the pair list of DNA base-pairing interactions.

**cg\_pairlistdist\_exv** *Real* (unit: Å)

**Default : 15.0**

Distance for the pair list of all the excluded volume interactions.

**cg\_sol\_temperature** *Real* (unit: K)

**Default : 300.0**

Solution temperature used for the calculation of Debye length and dielectric constant. **Note:** this option is only used when “temperature” in the “[ ENSEMBLE ]” section is set to 0, otherwise the later is used.

**cg\_sol\_ionic\_strength** *Real* (unit: M)

**Default : 0.15**

Ionic strength used for the calculation of Debye length and dielectric constant.

**cg\_pro\_DNA\_ele\_scale\_Q** *Real* (unit:  $e^-$ )

**Default : -1.0**

Charge of the CG phosphate in the 3SPN.2C DNA is set to this value when protein-DNA electrostatic interactions are calculated. **Note:** this option does not change the DNA-DNA interaction, in which the phosphate charge is  $-0.6e^-$ .

**cg\_exv\_sigma\_scaling** *Real*

**Default : 1.0**

The radii of CG particles for the excluded volume interactions (including non-native contacts) are scaled by this value. **Note:** this option does not affect the DNA-DNA excluded volume interactions.

**cg\_IDR\_HPS\_epsilon** *Real* (unit: kcal/mol)

**Default : 0.2**

The force constant  $\epsilon$  in the HPS-IDR model.

**cg\_infinite\_DNA** *Boolean*

**Default : NO**

Use the infinite DNA model or not.

## 6.9 Examples

Simulation with the CHARMM36 force field in the periodic boundary condition:

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM    # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = PME       # use Particle mesh Ewald method
switchdist     = 10.0      # switch distance
cutoffdist     = 12.0      # cutoff distance
pairlistdist    = 13.5     # pair-list distance
vdw_force_switch = YES     # force switch option for van der Waals
pme_nspline     = 4        # order of B-spline in [PME]
pme_max_spacing = 1.2      # max grid spacing allowed
```

Simulation with the AMBER force field in the periodic boundary condition:

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = AMBER     # AMBER force field
electrostatic   = PME       # use Particle mesh Ewald method
switchdist     = 8.0       # switch distance
cutoffdist     = 8.0       # cutoff distance
pairlistdist    = 9.5      # pair-list distance
pme_nspline     = 4        # order of B-spline in [PME]
pme_max_spacing = 1.2      # max grid spacing allowed
```

Recommended options in the case of energy minimization (see [Minimize section](#)) for the initial structure with the CHARMM36 force field:

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM    # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = PME       # use Particle mesh Ewald method
switchdist     = 10.0      # switch distance
cutoffdist     = 12.0      # cutoff distance
pairlistdist    = 13.5     # pair-list distance
vdw_force_switch = YES     # force switch option for van der Waals
pme_nspline     = 4        # order of B-spline in [PME]
pme_max_spacing = 1.2      # max grid spacing allowed
contact_check   = YES      # check atomic clash
nonb_limiter    = YES      # avoid failure due to atomic clash
minimum_contact = 0.5      # definition of atomic clash distance
```

Simulations with the GB/SA implicit solvent model:

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM    # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = CUTOFF    # use cutoff scheme
switchdist     = 23.0      # switch distance
cutoffdist     = 25.0      # cutoff distance
pairlistdist    = 27.0     # pair-list distance
implicit_solvent = GBSA     # Turn on GBSA calculation
gbsa_eps_solvent = 78.5     # solvent dielectric constant in GB
gbsa_eps_solute  = 1.0     # solute dielectric constant in GB
gbsa_salt_cons   = 0.2     # salt concentration (mol/L) in GB
gbsa_surf_tens   = 0.005   # surface tension (kcal/mol/A^2) in SA
```

Simulations with the IMM1 implicit membrane model:

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM  # CHARMM force field
electrostatic   = CUTOFF  # use cutoff scheme
switchdist     = 16.0    # switch distance
cutoffdist     = 18.0    # cutoff distance
pairlistdist   = 20.0    # pair-list distance
implicit_solvent = IMM1   # Turn on IMM1 calculation
imm1_memb_thick = 27.0    # membrane thickness in IMM1
```

Simulations with the residue-level CG models

```
[ENERGY]
forcefield      = RESIDCG
electrostatic   = CUTOFF
cg_cutoffdist_ele = 52.0
cg_cutoffdist_126 = 39.0
cg_cutoffdist_DNAbp = 18.0
cg_pairlistdist_ele = 57.0
cg_pairlistdist_126 = 44.0
cg_pairlistdist_PWMcos = 23.0
cg_pairlistdist_DNAbp = 23.0
cg_pairlistdist_exv = 15.0
cg_sol_ionic_strength = 0.15
cg_pro_DNA_ele_scale_Q = -1.0
cg_IDR_HPS_epsilon = 0.2
```

## DYNAMICS SECTION

### 7.1 Molecular dynamics simulations

In MD simulations, Newton's equation of motion ( $F = ma$ ) is integrated numerically, where the force  $F$  is derived from the first derivative of the potential energy function with respect to the atomic position. To date, various integrators have been proposed. In the leap-frog algorithm, velocities are updated with

$$\mathbf{v}_i(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}) = \mathbf{v}_i(t - \frac{\Delta t}{2}) + \frac{\Delta t}{m_i} \mathbf{F}_i(t),$$

and coordinates are updated with

$$\mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}).$$

In the velocity Verlet algorithm, coordinates and velocities are obtained at the same time. The velocities are updated with

$$\mathbf{v}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \frac{\Delta t}{2m_i} (\mathbf{F}_i(t) + \mathbf{F}_i(t + \Delta t)).$$

and the coordinates are updated with

$$\mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) = \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \frac{\Delta t^2}{2m_i} \mathbf{F}_i(t).$$

These velocity/coordinate updates are performed consecutively using the following procedure:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{v}_i\left(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\right) &= \mathbf{v}_i(t) + \frac{\Delta t}{2m_i} \mathbf{F}_i(t) \\ \mathbf{r}_i(t + \Delta t) &= \mathbf{r}_i(t) + \Delta t \mathbf{v}_i\left(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\right) \\ \mathbf{v}_i(t + \Delta t) &= \mathbf{v}_i\left(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}\right) + \frac{\Delta t}{2m_i} \mathbf{F}_i(t + \Delta t) \end{aligned}$$

In the case of multiple time step integration with r-RESPA, the force is split into slow and fast motion forces. Slow motion force is less frequently evaluated to increase the performance while keeping the accuracy.

In **ATDYN**, both leap-frog and velocity Verlet integrators are available. In **SPDYN**, velocity Verlet and multiple time step integrator with velocity Verlet type are available. The users must pay attention to the **[ENSEMBLE]** section as well, because the algorithms that control the temperature and pressure are involved in the integrator. For details, see [Ensemble section](#).

**integrator** *LEAP / VVER / VRES / VVER\_CG*

**Default : VVER**

- **LEAP**: leap-frog integrator (**ATDYN** only).
- **VVER**: velocity Verlet integrator.
- **VRES**: RESPA integrator (**SPDYN** only).
- **VVER\_CG**: velocity Verlet integrator for the coarse-grained simulations (**ATDYN** and Langevin thermostat only).

**timestep** *Real*

**Default : 0.001** (unit : ps)

Time step in the MD run. In general, timestep can be extended to 2 fs or longer, when the SHAKE, RATTLE, or SETTLE algorithms are employed. (see [Constraints section](#)).

**nsteps** *Integer*

**Default : 100**

Total number of steps in one MD run. If “timestep=0.001” and “nsteps=1000000” are specified, the users can carry out 1-ns MD simulation.

**eneout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Output frequency for the energy data. The trajectories are written in the log file every **eneout\_period** steps during the simulation. For example, if “timestep=0.001” and “eneout\_period=1000” are specified, the energy is written every 1 ps.

**crdout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Output frequency for the coordinates data. The trajectories are written in the “dcdfile” specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section every **crdout\_period** steps during the simulation.

**velout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Output frequency for the velocities data. The trajectories are written in the “dcdvelfile” specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section every **velout\_period** steps during the simulation.

**rstout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Output frequency for the restart file. The restart information is written in the “rstfile” specified in the **[OUTPUT]** section every **rstout\_period** steps during the simulation.

---

**Note:** In the REMD or RPATH simulations, the value of **rstout\_period** must be a multiple of *exchange\_period* (REMD) or *rpath\_period* (RPATH).

---

**stoptr\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Frequency of removing translational and rotational motions of the whole system. Note that the rotational motion is not removed when the periodic boundary condition is employed. When you use positional restraints or RMSD restraints in the simulation, you may have to take care about removal of those motions. In some cases, such restraints can generate translational or rotational momentum in the system. If the momentum is frequently removed, the dynamics can be significantly disturbed.

**nbupdate\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Update frequency of the non-bonded pairlist.

**elec\_long\_period** *Integer* (**VRES** in **SPDYN** only)

**Default : 1**

Frequency of long-range interaction calculation.

**thermostat\_period** *Integer* (**VRES** in **SPDYN** only)

**Default : 1**

Frequency of thermostat integration. It must be multiple of **elec\_long\_period**.

**barostat\_period** *Integer* (**VRES** in **SPDYN** only)

**Default : 1**

Frequency of barostat integration. It must be multiple of **thermostat\_period**.

**initial\_time** *Real*

**Default : 0.0** (unit : ps)

Initial time of the MD run. Basically, you do not need to specify a certain value. This option is useful in the case of the restart MD run, because the initial time is reset to 0 ps.

**iseed** *Integer*

**Default : automatically generated according to the current date and time**

Seed for the pseudo-random number generator. This random number seed is used in the Langevin and Bussi thermostats (see *ensemble*). If **iseed** is not specified in the control file, it is automatically generated according to the current date and time. In the restart MD run, the random number seed is taken over from rstfile. However, if **iseed** value is specified in the control file in the restart run, it is alternatively used, and the seed in rstfile is neglected.

**verbose** *YES/NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the verbose output of the log information. For example, if “verbose=YES” is specified, virial and pressure of the system are written in the log file even in the case of the NVE or NVT ensemble.

## 7.2 Hydrogen mass repartitioning (HMR)

In **GENESIS2.0.0**, we can increase the time step in NVT/NPT conditions by evaluating temperature and pressure in more accurate ways than conventional schemes. If we want to increase the time step, however, we should be careful because there could be constraint errors. To avoid the error, we recommend the user to make use of the HMR scheme with a large time step. In HMR, the mass of hydrogen atoms is increased by two to four fold whereas the bonded heavy becomes lighter to conserve the total mass [62].

---

**hydrogen\_mr** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the usage of HMR

**hmr\_ratio** *Real*

**Default : 3.0**

Mass scaling factor of hydrogen atoms

**hmr\_ratio\_xh1** *Real*

**Default : 3.0**

Mass scaling factor of hydrogen atoms in XH1 group. If it is not written, scaling factor is decided by **hmr\_ratio**.

**hmr\_target** *All / Solute*

**Default : All** (only when **hydrogen\_mr** = YES)

Target of HMR application. If **hmr\_target** is *Solute*, HMR is not applied to the water molecules.

## 7.3 Simulated annealing and heating

In simulated annealing or heating protocol, the following keywords are additionally specified in the conventional MD simulation. In the protocol used in **GENESIS**, the target temperature is changed linearly. Note that the protocol is available only in the *LEAP* integrator.

---

**annealing** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the simulated annealing or heating protocol.

**anneal\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

The target temperature is changed every **anneal\_period** steps during the simulation.

**dtemperature** *Real*

**Default : 0.0** (unit : Kelvin)

Magnitude of changes of the target temperature. If **dtemperature** > 0, the temperature is increased by **dtemperature** every **anneal\_period** steps. If **dtemperature** < 0, the temperature is decreased.

## 7.4 Targeted MD and Steered MD simulations

In GENESIS, targeted MD (TMD) and steered MD (SMD) methods are available. These methods are useful to guide a protein structure towards a target. In SMD, restraint forces (or steering forces) are applied on the selected atoms, where the RMSD with respect to the target is changed during the MD simulation. The restraint force is calculated from the derivative of the RMSD restraint potential:

$$U = \frac{1}{2}k (RMSD(t) - RMSD_0(t))^2$$

where  $RMSD(t)$  is the instantaneous RMSD of the current coordinates from the target coordinates, and  $RMSD_0$  is the target RMSD value. The target RMSD value is changed linearly from the initial to final RMSD values:

$$RMSD_0(t) = RMSD_{\text{initial}} + \frac{t}{T} (RMSD_{\text{final}} - RMSD_{\text{initial}})$$

where  $T$  is the total MD simulation time. Targeted MD (TMD), originally suggested by J. Schlitter et al. [63], is different from SMD in that force constants are changed during MD simulations. If the users perform SMD, there is a possibility observing the large difference between the instantaneous RMSD and target RMSD. In TMD, force constants are given by Lagrangian multipliers to overcome the energy barrier between the instantaneous and target RMSDs. Therefore, the users could find trajectories where RMSD is almost identical to the target RMSD at each time. In **[SELECTION]** section, the users select atoms involved in RMSD calculations for SMD or TMD. Users should specify either *RMSD* or *RMSDMASS* (mass-weighted RMSD) in **[RESTRAINTS]** section to run TMD or SMD. In SMD, force constants defined in **[RESTRAINTS]** section are used, but force constants are automatically determined using Lagrangian multipliers during simulation in TMD.

---

**target\_md** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the targeted MD simulation.

**steered\_md** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the steered MD simulation.

**initial\_rmsd** *Real*



**Default : 0.0** (unit : Å)

Initial value of the reference rmsd. If not specified explicitly, it is calculated from the initial and reference structures.

#### **final\_rmsd** *Real*

**Default : 0.0** (unit : Å)

Final value of the reference rmsd.

---

**Note:** In the RMSD restraint, structure fitting scheme is specified in the **[FITTING]** section (see [Fitting section](#)). Since the default behavior was significantly changed in ver. 1.1.5 (no fitting applied on the default setting), the users of 1.1.4 or before must pay special attention on the fitting scheme. In versions of 1.1.4 or before, structure fitting is automatically applied for the atoms concerning restraint potential.

---

## 7.5 Examples

100-ps MD simulation with the velocity Verlet integrator with the timestep of 2 fs:

```
[DYNAMICS]
integrator      = VVER # velocity Verlet
nsteps         = 50000 # number of MD steps (100ps)
timestep       = 0.002 # timestep (2fs)
eneout_period  = 500 # energy output period (1ps)
crdout_period  = 500 # coordinates output period (1ps)
rstout_period  = 50000 # restart output period
nbupdate_period = 10 # nonbond pair list update period
```

100-ps MD simulation with the RESPA integrator with the timestep of 2.5 fs:

```
[DYNAMICS]
integrator      = VRES # RESPA integrator
nsteps         = 40000 # number of MD steps (100ps)
timestep       = 0.0025 # timestep (2.5fs)
eneout_period  = 400 # energy output period (1ps)
crdout_period  = 400 # coordinates output period (1ps)
rstout_period  = 40000 # restart output period
nbupdate_period = 10 # nonbond pair list update period
elec_long_period = 2 # period of reciprocal space calculation
thermostat_period = 10 # period of thermostat update
barostat_period = 10 # period of barostat update
```

The following is an example for simulated annealing in the NVT ensemble (see [Ensemble section](#)), where the temperature is decreased from 500 K by 2 K every 250 steps in the 250,000-steps MD simulation (1 step = 2 fs). Thus, the temperature eventually reaches to 300 K during 50 ps.

```
[DYNAMICS]
integrator      = VVER # leap-frog integrator
nsteps         = 25000 # number of MD steps
timestep       = 0.002 # timestep (ps)
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
nbupdate_period = 10      # nonbond pair list update period
annealing       = YES     # simulated annealing
dtemperature    = -2.0    # delta temperature
anneal_period   = 250     # temperature change period

[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble        = NVT     # [NVT,NPT,NPAT,NPqT]
tpcontrol       = BUSSI   # [BERENDSEN,NHC,BUSSI]
temperature     = 500.0   # initial temperature (K)
```

## MINIMIZE SECTION

### 8.1 Energy minimization

In the [MINIMIZE] section, the user can select methods for energy minimization. Currently, the steepest descent (SD) algorithm is available in **SPDYN** and **ATDYN**, and the limited memory version of Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (LBFGS) is additionally available in **ATDYN**. Note that constraint algorithms such as SHAKE are not available in the energy minimization scheme in **GENESIS**. The energy minimization can be done with restraints (see [Restraints section](#)).

When the energy minimization is carried out for the initial structure, it is strongly recommended to use the option “contact\_check=YES” in the [ENERGY] section (see [Energy section](#)). This is because the initial structure is usually artificial, and sometimes contains atomic clashes, where the distance between atoms is very short. Such strong interactions can generate huge forces on the atoms, resulting in unstable calculations, which might cause memory errors.

---

#### **method** *SD / LBFGS*

**Default : LBFGS** (for **ATDYN**), **SD** (for **SPDYN**)

Algorithm of minimization.

- **SD** : Steepest descent method
- **LBFGS** : Limited memory version of Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno method (**ATDYN** only)

#### **nsteps** *Integer*

**Default : 100**

Number of minimization steps.

#### **eneout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Frequency of energy outputs.

#### **crdout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Frequency of coordinates outputs.

#### **rstout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Frequency of restart file updates.

**nbupdate\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Frequency of non-bonded pair-list updates.

**fixatm\_select\_index** *Integer* (ATDYN only)

**Default : N/A** (all atoms are minimized)

Index of an atom group to be fixed during minimization. The index must be defined in [SELECTION] (see [Selection section](#)). For example, if the user specifies `fixatm_select_index = 1`, the reference atoms should be members of `group1` in the [SELECTION].

**tol\_rmsg** *Real* (ATDYN only)

**Default : 0.36** (unit : kcal/mol/Å)

Tolerance of convergence for RMS gradient.

**tol\_maxg** *Real* (ATDYN only)

**Default : 0.54** (unit : kcal/mol/Å)

Tolerance of convergence for maximum gradient.

---

**Note:** In ATDYN, a minimization run stops when *both* RMSG and MAXG become smaller than the tolerance values.

---

## 8.2 Steepest descent method

**force\_scale\_init** *Real*

**Default : 0.00005**

The initial value of the force scaling coefficient in the steepest descent method. This value is also used as the minimum value of the scaling coefficient.

**force\_scale\_max** *Real*

**Default : 0.0001**

Maximum value of the force scaling coefficient in the steepest descent method.

## 8.3 LBFGS method

**ncorrection** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Number of corrections to build the inverse Hessian.

**lbfgs\_bnd** *YES / NO*

**Default : YES**

Set a boundary to move atoms in each step of minimization.

**lbfgs\_bnd\_qmonly** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Set the boundary only to QM atoms.

**lbfgs\_bnd\_maxmove** *Real*

**Default : 0.1** (unit : Å)

The maximum size of move in each step.

---

**Note:** LBFGS often makes large moves of atoms, especially in the first few steps, which might create a distorted structure. Although this is rarely a problem in MM calculation, it may cause convergence problems in QM calculation. `lbfgs_bnd` prevents a huge move by setting a maximum size of move. The size is set by `lbfgs_bnd_maxmove`.

---

## 8.4 Macro/micro-iteration scheme in QM/MM

In this scheme, the MM region is minimized first while holding the QM region fixed. This step is called micro-iteration. When the MM region reaches the minima (or the maximum number of steps), the whole system including the QM region is updated. This step is called macro-iteration. Then, the MM region is minimized again with the new QM region. The micro- and macro-iterations are repeated until a convergence is reached.

This scheme requires time-consuming QM calculations only during the macro-iteration steps. During the micro-iterations, QM charges are used to represent the electrostatic interaction between the QM and MM regions. Therefore, it is far more efficient than the usual scheme, and is recommended to use when QM charges are available.

The keywords in this subsection have no effect in the MM calculations.

---

**macro** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Invoke macro/micro-iteration scheme if YES.

**nsteps\_micro** *Integer*

**Default : 100**

Number of minimization steps for micro-iterations.

**tol\_rmsg\_micro** *Real*

**Default : 0.27** (unit : kcal/mol/Å)

Tolerance of convergence for RMS gradient in the micro-iterations.

**tol\_maxg\_micro** *Real*

**Default : 0.41** (unit : kcal/mol/Å)

Tolerance of convergence for maximum gradient in the micro-iterations.

**macro\_select\_index** *Integer*

**Default : QM atoms**

Index of an atom group to be fixed in the micro-iterations, and minimized in the macro-iterations. The index must be defined in

[SELECTION] (see [Selection section](#)).

## 8.5 Fixing ring penetrations and chirality errors

In the energy minimization of GENESIS, the user can automatically fix ring penetration or chirality errors in protein, DNA, and RNA. The suspicious ring is detected based on the length of the covalent bonds consisting of the ring. This algorithm is available when forcefield = CHARMM, CHARMM19, AMBER, or GROAMBER is specified in the [ENERGY] section. When using this algorithm, please cite the paper (Mori et al., *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 2021 [64]).




Fig. 8.1: Algorithms for fixing ring penetration and chirality errors.

**check\_structure** *YES / NO* (available for CHARMM, CHARMM19, AMBER, and GROAMBER)

**Default : YES**

Detect ring penetrations and chirality errors in the system.

**fix\_ring\_error** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Reduce the ring size of the suspicious ring in the initial structure to fix the ring penetration.

**exclude\_ring\_grpid** *Integer*

**Default : N/A**

Space-separated list of indexes of detected suspicious ring groups. Ring groups specified here are ignored during the error fixing even if “fix\_ring\_error = YES” is specified. That is, the size of those rings will not be reduced.

**fix\_chirality\_error** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Invert the position of the hydrogen atom of the suspicious chiral center in the initial structure to fix the chirality error.

**exclude\_chiral\_grpid** *Integer*

**Default : N/A**

Space-separated list of indexes of detected suspicious chiral center. Chiral centers specified here are ignored during the error fixing even if “fix\_chirality\_error = YES” is specified. That is, the hydrogen atom of those chiral centers will not be inverted.

The basic usage of these options is demonstrated below. First, the user must specify “check\_structure = YES”, “fix\_ring\_error = NO”, and “fix\_chirality\_error = NO” to just check the errors (default setting). If there are no suspicious rings or chiral centers in the final snapshot, the following messages are displayed:

```
Check_Ring_Structure> Check ring structure

    No suspicious residue was detected.

Check_Chirality> Check chirality

    No suspicious residue was detected.
```

If there are suspicious rings or chiral centers, some warning messages will be shown in the last part of the log message:

```
Check_Ring_Structure> Check ring structure
suspicious ring group id = 20 : PRO   82 (atom = 1353) max_bond_length ...
suspicious ring group id = 23 : PHE   93 (atom = 1542) max_bond_length ...
suspicious ring group id = 49 : PHE  206 (atom = 3440) max_bond_length ...
suspicious ring group id = 52 : PHE  230 (atom = 3810) max_bond_length ...

WARNING!
Some suspicious residues were detected. Minimization might be too short,
or "ring penetration" might happen in the above residues.
Check the structure of those residues very carefully. If you found a ring
penetration, try to perform the energy minimization again with the
options "check_structure = YES" and "fix_ring_error = YES" in [MINIMIZE].
The energy minimization should start from the restart file obtained
in "this" run.
```

Please read the warning message very carefully. In this example, the user should check the obtained structure around PRO82, PHE93, PHE206, and PHE230 using a molecular viewer like VMD. Because this is just a warning message, there might be actually no errors. But, if the user found some errors, energy minimization should be performed again, restarting from this run with the options “check\_structure = YES” and “fix\_ring\_error = YES” or “fix\_chirality\_error = YES”. If the user wants to fix only PHE93 and PHE230, they should add the option “exclude\_ring\_grpid = 20 49”, where “20” and “49” are the “suspicious ring group id” of PRO82 and PHE206, respectively, shown in the warning message. Note that the reduction of the size of the penetrated ring or inversion of the hydrogen atom in the bad chiral center is carried out for the initial structure.

## 8.6 Examples

A 2,000-step energy minimization with the steepest descent method:

```
[MINIMIZE]
method          = SD          # Steepest descent
nsteps          = 2000        # number of minimization steps
eneout_period   = 50          # energy output period
crdout_period   = 50          # coordinates output period
rstout_period   = 2000        # restart output period
nbupdate_period = 10          # nonbond pair list update period
```

An example of LBFGS optimization along with the macro/micro-iteration scheme:

```
[MINIMIZE]
method          = LBFGS
nsteps          = 500          # number of steps
eneout_period   = 5           # energy output period
crdout_period   = 5           # coordinates output period
rstout_period   = 5           # restart output period
nbupdate_period = 1           # nonbond pair list update period
lbfgs_bnd       = yes         # set a boundary to move atoms
lbfgs_bnd_qmonly = no         # set the boundary only to QM atoms
lbfgs_bnd_maxmove = 0.1       # the max. size of move
macro           = yes         # switch macro/micro-iteration scheme
nsteps_micro    = 100         # number of steps of micro-iteration
```

Energy minimization with automatic fixing for ring penetrations and chirality errors:

```
[MINIMIZE]
method          = SD          # Steepest descent
nsteps          = 2000        # number of minimization steps
eneout_period   = 50          # energy output period
crdout_period   = 50          # coordinates output period
rstout_period   = 2000        # restart output period
nbupdate_period = 10          # nonbond pair list update period
check_structure = YES         # check ring penetration and chirality error
fix_ring_error  = YES         # automatically fix the ring penetrations
fix_chirality_error = YES     # automatically fix the chirality errors
```



## CONSTRAINTS SECTION

### 9.1 SHAKE/RATTLE algorithms

In the [CONSTRAINTS] section, keywords related to bond constraints are specified. In the leapfrog integrator, the SHAKE algorithm is applied for covalent bonds involving hydrogen [65]. In the velocity Verlet and multiple time-step integrators, not only SHAKE but also RATTLE is used [66]. Note that bond constraint between heavy atoms is currently not available.

---

**rigid\_bond** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the SHAKE/RATTLE algorithms for covalent bonds involving hydrogen.

**shake\_iteration** *Integer*

**Default : 500**

Maximum number of iterations for SHAKE/RATTLE constraint. If SHAKE/RATTLE does not converge within the given number of iterations, the program terminates with an error message.

**shake\_tolerance** *Real*

**Default : 1.0e-10** (unit : Å)

Tolerance of SHAKE/RATTLE convergence.

**hydrogen\_type** *NAME / MASS*

**Default : NAME**

This parameter defines how hydrogen atoms are detected. This parameter is ignored when *rigid\_bond = NO*. Usually, the user does not need to take care about this parameter.

- **MASS** : detect hydrogens based on atomic mass. If the mass of an atom is less than *hydrogen\_mass\_upper\_bound* and greater than 0, that atom is considered as a hydrogen.
- **NAME** : detect hydrogens based on atom name, type, and mass. If the mass of an atom is less than *hydrogen\_mass\_upper\_bound* and the name or type begins with 'h', 'H', 'd', or 'D', that atom is considered as a hydrogen.

| atom name (type) | mass | NAME | MASS |
|------------------|------|------|------|
| HX               | 1.0  | o    | o    |
| XX               | 1.0  | x    | o    |
| HY               | 3.0  | x    | x    |
| YY               | 3.0  | x    | x    |

o: treated as hydrogen, x: not treated as hydrogen. Here, we assumed *hydrogen\_mass\_upper\_bound* 2.1.

#### **hydrogen\_mass\_upper\_bound** *Real*

**Default : 2.1**

This parameter defines the upper limit of atomic mass to define a hydrogen atom. For example, if 3.0 is used, atoms with an atomic mass of less than 3.0 are treated as hydrogens. You should write it in the case of hydrogen mass repartitioning scheme. This option must be used in **GENESIS 1.2** or later.

#### **noshake\_index** *Integer*

**Default : N/A**

This is the index of the atom where the constraint is NOT applied. The index must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see :ref: *selection*). For example, if you specify `select_index1 = 1`, this constraint function is NOT applied for `group1` in the **[SELECTION]** section.

## 9.2 SETTLE algorithm

#### **fast\_water** *YES / NO*

**Default : YES**

Turn on or off the SETTLE algorithm for the constraints of the water molecules [67]. Although the default is “fast\_water=YES”, the user must specify “rigid\_bond=YES” to use the SETTLE algorithm. If “rigid\_bond=YES” and “fast\_water=NO” are specified, the SHAKE/RATTLE algorithm is applied to water molecules, which is computationally inefficient.

#### **water\_model** *expression or NONE*

**Default : TIP3**

Residue name of the water molecule to be rigidified in the SETTLE algorithm. In the case of the AMBER force field, “water\_model = WAT” must be specified.

---

**Note:** TIP4P water model is available in **GENESIS 1.2** or later. In the case of using TIP4P water model, it is regarded as rigid. In molecular dynamics simulations, please use **rigid\_bond** and **fast\_water** “YES”. In minimization, **[Constraints]** has not been used before, but now “**fast\_water** = YES” can be used when TIP4P water model is used. However, please keep in mind that other parameters cannot be defined in minimizations, and constraints are not applied except for water molecules. TIP4P water model can be used only in SPDYN.

---

## 9.3 LINCS algorithm

**fast\_bond** *YES / NO* (**LEAP** integrator in **ATDYN** only)

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the LINCS algorithm. To use the LINCS algorithm, “rigid\_bond=YES” should be also specified.

**lincs\_iteration** *Integer* (**ATDYN** only)

**Default : 1**

Number of iterations in the LINCS algorithm.

**lincs\_order** *Integer* (**ATDYN** only)

**Default : 4**

Matrix expansion order in the LINCS algorithm.

## 9.4 Examples

In the case of the CHARMM force field:

```
[CONSTRAINTS]
rigid_bond  = YES    # Turn on SHAKE/RATTLE
fast_water  = YES    # Turn on SETTLE
```

In the case of the AMBER force field:

```
[CONSTRAINTS]
rigid_bond  = YES    # Turn on SHAKE/RATTLE
fast_water  = YES    # Turn on SETTLE
water_model = WAT    # residue name of the rigid water
```

Turn off all constraints in the system

```
[CONSTRAINTS]
rigid_bond  = NO
fast_water  = NO
```

## ENSEMBLE SECTION

### 10.1 Thermostat and barostat

In the [ENSEMBLE] section, the type of ensemble, temperature and pressure control algorithm, and parameters used in these algorithms (such as temperature and pressure) can be specified.

In the Berendsen thermostat with weak coupling (“tpcontrol=berendsen”), velocities are rescaled by

$$\lambda = \left[ 1 + \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} \left( \frac{K_{\text{target}}}{K} - 1 \right) \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

at every step where  $\tau$  is the damping time [68]. It almost conserves dynamics of NVE and one of the most popular thermostats. However, it fails to generate canonical distributions and anomalous effects can happen [69]. Bussi suggested a modification of Berendsen thermostat to generate canonical distribution [70]. According to the scheme (“tpcontrol=bussi”),

the target temperature is not a fixed value but stochastically driven from the distributions:

$$P(K_{\text{target}}) \propto K_{\text{target}}^{\frac{N_f}{2}-1} e^{-\beta K_{\text{target}}}.$$

In addition, random force  $\Delta W$  is applied to update temperature based on the instantaneous and target temperatures:

$$\Delta K = (K_{\text{target}} - K) \frac{\Delta t}{\tau} + 2 \sqrt{\frac{K K_{\text{target}}}{N_f}} \frac{\Delta W}{\tau}.$$

Another famous thermostat is suggested by Martyna et al., which is named as Nose-Hoover chain (NHC) [71]. This thermostat was designed to solve non-ergodic problem in Nose-Hoover thermostat. In this scheme, we solve the equation of motion with additional textit{m} chains:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\mathbf{r}_k}{dt} &= \frac{\mathbf{p}_k}{m_k} \\
 \frac{d\mathbf{p}_k}{dt} &= \mathbf{F}_k - \frac{p_{\eta_1}}{Q_1} \mathbf{p}_k \\
 \frac{d\eta_\alpha}{dt} &= \frac{p_{\eta_\alpha}}{Q_\alpha}, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m \\
 \frac{dp_{\eta_1}}{dt} &= K - K_{\text{target}} - \frac{p_{\eta_2}}{Q_2} p_{\eta_1} \\
 \frac{dp_{\eta_\alpha}}{dt} &= \frac{p_{\eta_{\alpha-1}}}{Q_{\alpha-1}} - k_B T - \frac{p_{\eta_{\alpha+1}}}{Q_{\alpha+1}} p_{\eta_\alpha}, \alpha = 2, \dots, m-1 \\
 \frac{dp_{\eta_m}}{dt} &= \frac{p_{\eta_{m-1}}}{Q_{m-1}} - k_B T.
 \end{aligned}$$

In **SPDYN**, we evaluate temperature in more accurate way than existing ones. With velocity Verlet integration, two kinetic energy types can be used in temperature evaluations:

$$\begin{aligned}
 K_{\text{full}} &= \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{\mathbf{p}_k(t)^2}{2m_k} \\
 K_{\text{half}} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \left( \frac{\mathbf{p}_k(t - \frac{\Delta t}{2})^2}{2m_k} + \frac{\mathbf{p}_k(t + \frac{\Delta t}{2})^2}{2m_k} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

These kinetic energies are accurate up to the first order of a time step and not recommended for a large time step. In **SPDYN**, temperature is evaluated by combining the two kinetic energies:

$$N_f k_B T = \frac{4}{3} \langle K_{\text{half}}(t) \rangle + \frac{2}{3} \langle K_{\text{full}}(t) \rangle$$

Temperature evaluated in this way is accurate up to the third order of the time step.

Barostat in **SPDYN** is based on MTK barostat type suggested by [72] with three thermostats described above. To make use of kinetic energy at  $t + \frac{\Delta t}{2}$ , we recommend group temperature/pressure evaluations where kinetic energy and virial are evaluated by considering XHn group one particle.

In the Langevin thermostat algorithm (“ensemble=NVT” with “tpcontrol=LANGEVIN”), every particles are coupled with a viscous background and a stochastic heat bath [73]:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt} = \frac{\mathbf{F}(t) + \mathbf{R}(t)}{m} - \gamma \mathbf{v}(t)$$

where  $\gamma$  is the thermostat friction parameter (*gamma\_t* keyword) and  $\mathbf{R}(t)$  is the stochastic force. In the Langevin thermostat and barostat method (“ensemble=NPT” with “tpcontrol=LANGEVIN”), the equation of motion is given by [74]:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{d\mathbf{r}(t)}{dt} &= \mathbf{v}(t) + v_\epsilon \mathbf{r}(t) \\
 \frac{d\mathbf{v}(t)}{dt} &= \frac{\mathbf{F}(t) + \mathbf{R}(t)}{m} - [\gamma_p + (1 + \frac{3}{f})v_\epsilon] \mathbf{v}(t) \\
 \frac{dv_\epsilon(t)}{dt} &= [3V(P(t) - P_0(t)) + \frac{3K}{f} - \gamma_p v_\epsilon + R_p] / p_{\text{mass}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K$  is the kinetic energy,  $\gamma_p$  is the barostat friction parameter (*gamma\_p* keyword),  $R_p$  is the stochastic pressure variable.

**ensemble** *NVE / NVT / NPT / NPAT / NPgT*

**Default : NVE**

Type of ensemble.

- **NVE**: Microcanonical ensemble.
- **NVT**: Canonical ensemble.
- **NPT**: Isothermal-isobaric ensemble.
- **NPAT**: Constant area  $A$  (XY), pressure along the normal (Z), temperature [75]. In this case, *isotropy* must be set to 'XY-FIXED' (see below).
- **NPgT**: Constant surface-tension  $\gamma$  (XY), pressure along the normal (Z), temperature [75]. In this case, *isotropy* must be set to 'SEMI-ISO' (see below).

**temperature** *Real*

**Default : 298.15** (unit : Kelvin)

Initial and target temperature.

**pressure** *Real*

**Default : 1.0** (unit : atm)

Target pressure in the NPT ensemble. In the case of the NPAT and NPgT ensembles, this is the pressure along the 'Z' axis.

**group\_tp** *Yes / No*

**Default : Yes**

Use of group temperature/pressure evaluation in thermostat/barostat. [76]

**gamma** *Real*

**Default : 0.0** (unit : dyn/cm)

Target surface tension in NPgT ensemble.

**tpcontrol** *NO / BERENDSEN / BUSSI / NHC / LANGEVIN*

**Default : NO**

Type of thermostat and barostat. The available algorithm depends on the integrator.

- **NO**: Do not use temperature/pressure control algorithm (for NVE only)
- **BERENDSEN**: Berendsen thermostat/barostat [68]
- **BUSSI**: Bussi's thermostat/barostat [70] [77]
- **NHC**: Nose-Hoover chain thermostat with MTK barostat [68] [72]
- **LANGEVIN**: Langevin thermostat/barostat [74] (for ATDYN only)

| integrator      | ensemble               | tpcontrol                  |
|-----------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| LEAP<br>(ATDYN) | NVT                    | BERENDSEN, LANGEVIN        |
|                 | NPT                    | BERENDSEN, LANGEVIN        |
|                 | NPAT/NP <sub>g</sub> T | BERENDSEN, LANGEVIN        |
| VVER<br>(ATDYN) | NVT                    | BERENDSEN, LANGEVIN, BUSSI |
|                 | NPT                    | LANGEVIN, BUSSI            |
|                 | NPAT/NP <sub>g</sub> T | LANGEVIN                   |
| VVER<br>(SPDYN) | NVT                    | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |
|                 | NPT                    | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |
|                 | NPAT/NP <sub>g</sub> T | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |
| VRES<br>(SPDYN) | NVT                    | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |
|                 | NPT                    | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |
|                 | NPAT/NP <sub>g</sub> T | BUSSI, BERENDSEN, NHC      |

**tau\_t** *Real***Default : 5.0** (unit : ps)

Temperature coupling time in the Berendsen and Bussi thermostats.

**tau\_p** *Real***Default : 5.0** (unit : ps)

Pressure coupling time in the Berendsen and Bussi barostats.

**compressibility** *Real***Default : 0.0000463** (unit : atm<sup>-1</sup>)

Compressibility parameter in the Berendsen barostat.

**gamma\_t** *Real***Default : 1.0** (unit : ps<sup>-1</sup>)

Friction parameter of the Langevin thermostat.

**gamma\_p** *Real***Default : 0.1** (unit : ps<sup>-1</sup>)

Friction parameter of the Langevin barostat.

**isotropy** *ISO / ANISO / SEMI-ISO / XY-FIXED***Default : ISO**Isotropy of the simulation system. This parameter specifies how X, Y, Z dimensions of the simulation box change in NPT, NP<sub>g</sub>T, and NPAT ensembles.

- **ISO:** X, Y, and Z dimensions are coupled together.
- **ANISO:** X, Y, and Z dimensions fluctuate independently.
- **SEMI-ISO:** X, Y, and Z dimensions fluctuate, where the ratio of X and Y dimensions are kept constant, and Z dimension can change independently [78]. This setting with NPT or NPAT or NP<sub>g</sub>T ensemble is expected to be useful for bio-membrane systems.
- **XY-FIXED:** X and Y dimensions are fixed, while Z dimension can change (NPAT only).

## 10.2 Examples

NVT ensemble with Bussi thermostat:

```
[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble      = NVT          # Canonical ensemble
tpcontrol     = BUSSI        # Bussi thermostat
temperature   = 300.0        # target temperature (K)
```

NPT ensemble with isotropic pressure coupling:

```
[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble      = NPT          # Isothermal-isobaric ensemble
tpcontrol     = BUSSI        # Bussi thermostat and barostat
temperature   = 300.0        # target temperature (K)
pressure      = 1.0          # target pressure (atm)
```

NPT ensemble with semi-isotropic pressure coupling, which is usually used for lipid bilayer systems:

```
[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble      = NPT          # Isothermal-isobaric ensemble
tpcontrol     = BUSSI        # Bussi thermostat and barostat
temperature   = 300.0        # target temperature (K)
pressure      = 1.0          # target pressure (atm)
isotropy      = SEMI-ISO     # Ratio of X to Y is kept constant
```

NPAT ensemble:

```
[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble      = NPAT         # Constant area ensemble
tpcontrol     = BUSSI        # Bussi thermostat and barostat
temperature   = 300.0        # target temperature (K)
pressure      = 1.0          # target normal pressure (atm)
isotropy      = XY-FIXED     # the system area is kept constant
```

NP $\gamma$ T ensemble:

```
[ENSEMBLE]
ensemble      = NPgT         # Constant surface-tension ensemble
tpcontrol     = BUSSI        # Bussi thermostat and barostat
temperature   = 300.0        # target temperature (K)
pressure      = 1.0          # target normal pressure (atm)
gamma         = 200.0        # target surface tension (dyn/cm)
isotropy      = SEMI-ISO     # Ratio of X to Y is kept constant
```



## BOUNDARY SECTION

### 11.1 Boundary condition

**type** *PBC / NOBC*

**Default :** PBC

Type of boundary condition.

- **PBC**: Periodic boundary condition (rectangular or cubic box).
- **NOBC**: Non-boundary condition (vacuum system). In **ATDYN**, **NOBC** is applicable to various force fields and models. However, in **SPDYN**, it cannot be used.

**box\_size\_x** *Real*

**Default :** N/A (unit : Å)

Box size along the x dimension.

**box\_size\_y** *Real*

**Default :** N/A (unit : Å)

Box size along the y dimension.

**box\_size\_z** *Real*

**Default :** N/A (unit : Å)

Box size along the z dimension.

**local\_pbc** *Yes / NO*

**Default :** NO

Logical flag to use PBC coordinates in force/energy calculations. (only available for coarse-grained models and PBC in **ATDYN**, In **SPDYN**, **local\_pbc** is automatically defined in CHARMM and GROAMBER force fields)

---

**Note:** If the simulation system has a periodic boundary condition (**PBC**), the user must specify the box size in the control file (in the energy minimization stage in most cases). During the simulations, box size is saved in a restart file. If the restart file is used as an input for the subsequent simulation, the box size is overwritten with the restart information. Note that in this case the box size given in the control file is ignored.

---

## 11.2 Domain decomposition

With domain decomposition, the total domain number is the same as the number of MPIs. Each domain has at least two cells in each dimension. In GENESIS 1.0 to 1.7.1, the minimum cell size is decided according to the working conditions. In the case of minimization or NVE/NVT MD without constraints, it is

$$\frac{\text{pairlistldst}}{2}$$

If we apply constraints, it becomes

$$\frac{\text{pairlistldst} + 2.0}{2}$$

It is further increased when we perform NPT simulation with constraints as follows:

$$\frac{\text{pairlistldst} + 2.6}{2}$$

From GENESIS 2.0, the minimum cell size does not change by changing the working condition, and calculated as

$$\frac{\text{pairlistldst} + 2.0 + \text{cell\_size\_buffer}}{2}$$

---

**domain\_x** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional) (SPDYN only)**

Number of domains along the x dimension.

**domain\_y** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional) (SPDYN only)**

Number of domains along the y dimension.

**domain\_z** *Integer*

**Default : N/A (Optional) (SPDYN only)**

Number of domains along the z dimension.

**cell\_size\_buffer** *Real*

**Default : 0.6** Additional buffer size for determining the cell size.

---

**Note:** If the number of domains (domain\_x, domain\_y, and domain\_z) are not specified in the control file, they are automatically determined based on the number of MPI processes. When the user specifies the number of domains explicitly, please make sure that the product of the domain numbers in each dimension (i.e., domain\_x \* domain\_y \* domain\_z) is equal to the total number of MPI processes.

---

## 11.3 Spherical potential

In MD simulations with **NOBC**, molecules may evaporate from a system, and once such an event happens, the molecule escapes in the vacuum with constant velocity to infinity. Therefore, it is useful to set a potential which pulls the molecule back to the system.

In **ATDYN**, the user can set a spherical potential,

$$V = k(r_i - r_b)^n \quad (r_i > r_b)$$

$$= 0, \quad (r_i \leq r_b)$$

where  $k$ ,  $n$  and  $r_b$  represent the force constant, an exponent, and the radius of the sphere, respectively, and  $r_i$  is the distance between the  $i$ -th atom and the center of the sphere,

$$r_i = |\mathbf{x}_i - \mathbf{x}_0|.$$




Fig. 11.1: An illustration of a combination of two spherical potentials (black thin circles), which pulls back atoms that are out of the range towards the center of sphere (1 and 2).

Multiple spheres with different centers and radii can be combined to construct the potential; for example, two spheres are combined in Fig. 11.1. The atoms that went out of the sphere (thin line) are pulled back to the nearest center; the red atom to center 1 and the blue atoms to center 2.

The coordinates of the center can be specified in two ways. The first is to set the center to the position of the atoms in the initial structure (pdbfile) using **[SELECTOR]**. The other way is to directly specify the coordinates of the center. See the description of options and the examples below for details.

The following options are available when using the spherical potential:

---

**spherical\_pot** *YES / NO*

**Default :** NO

If YES (with type=NOBC), use the spherical boundary potential.

**constant** *Real*

**Default :** 10.0 (unit : kcalmol<sup>-1</sup>)

The force constant of the potential.

**exponent** *Integer*

**Default : 2**

The exponent of the potential.

**nindex** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

The number of indices, used with center\_select\_index *N*.

**center\_select\_index** *N Integer*

**Default : N/A**

The index of center in [SELECTION].

**nfunction** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

The number of function, used with center *N*.

**center** *N Real  $\times 3$*

**Default : N/A**

The xyz coordinates of the center.

**radius** *N*

**Default : 0.0** (unit : Å)

The radius of the sphere.

**fixatom** *YES / NO*

**Default : YES**

Atoms out of the sphere in the input structure are fixed.

**fix\_layer** *Real*

**Default : 1.0** (unit : Å)

If fixatom = YES, atoms within this distance from the potential in the input structure are also fixed.

**restart** *YES / NO*

**Default : YES**

Use the information in the restart file.

---

**Note:** The information of the sphere and fixed atoms are saved in a restart file. If the information exists in rstfile, the options for the spherical potential in [BOUNDARY] will be ignored. If you want to re-set the potential, you need to specify **restart** = NO.

---

## 11.4 Examples

- Simulations in the gas-phase:

```
[BOUNDARY]
type      = NOBC      # non-periodic system
```

- Simulations with the periodic boundary condition, where the box size is set to 64 x 64 x 64. In this case, the user should not use a restart file as an input, because the box size in the control is overwritten with that in the restart file.

```
[BOUNDARY]
type      = PBC        # periodic boundary condition
box_size_x = 64.0      # Box size in the x dimension (Ang)
box_size_y = 64.0      # Box size in the y dimension (Ang)
box_size_z = 64.0      # Box size in the z dimension (Ang)
```

- Simulations with two spherical potentials around atom number 1 and 100 with a radius of 22.0 Å.

```
[BOUNDARY]
type      = NOBC
spherical_pot = yes
constant  = 2.0
exponent  = 2
nindex    = 1
center_select_index1 = 2
radius1   = 22.0
fix_layer = 0.0
fixatom   = no

[SELECTION]
...
group2    = ano:1 or ano:100
```

**Note:** Be careful not to set too many spheres because it may slow down the performance. If you want to set the spheres around a protein, instead of specifying all atoms in a protein, select part of the atoms, for example, by

```
group2 = segid:PROA and an:CA
```

- For simulations with two spherical potentials, the center coordinates are explicitly set by **center1** and **center2**. With **fixatom** =yes and **fix\_layer** =1.0 Å, atoms farther than 34 Å from the centers are fixed.

```
[BOUNDARY]
type      = NOBC      # [PBC, NOBC]
spherical_pot = yes
constant  = 10.0
exponent  = 2
nfunctions = 2
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

|           |   |                 |             |
|-----------|---|-----------------|-------------|
| center1   | = | 17.0, 0.0, 0.0  | # [x, y, z] |
| radius1   | = | 35.0            |             |
| center2   | = | -17.0, 0.0, 0.0 | # [x, y, z] |
| radius2   | = | 35.0            |             |
| fixatom   | = | YES             |             |
| fix_layer | = | 1.0             |             |

## SELECTION SECTION

### 12.1 Atom selection

This section is used to select atoms, and define them as a group. The user can select atoms according to their name, index, residue number, segment name, and so on. The selected group index is used in other sections. For example, restraint potential can be applied on the group selected in this section, and the force constant of the potential is specified in the **[RESTRAINTS]** section. **[SELECTION]** section is also used in the GENESIS analysis tools to specify the atoms to be analyzed.

---

#### **group***N expression*

The user defines selected atoms as “group1”, “group2”, ..., and group*N*. Here, *N* must be a positive integer ( $N \geq 1$ ). The user selects atoms by using keywords and operators with a certain syntax (see table below). Note that in the table *mname* (or *molecule*name, *molname*) is a molecule name defined by **mol\_name**.

#### **mole\_name***N molecule starting-residue ending-residue*

The user defines a molecule by specifying its segment name, first and last residue numbers, and residue name. *N* must be a positive integer ( $N \geq 1$ ). The syntax for the residue selection is as follows:

```
[segment name]:[residue number]:[residue name]
```

For details, see the example below.

Table. Available keywords and operators in **group**.

| expression             | meaning        | example    | other available expression |
|------------------------|----------------|------------|----------------------------|
| an:name                | atom name      | an:CA      | atomname, atom_name        |
| ai:number[-[number]]   | atom index     | ai:1-5     | atomindex, atomidx         |
| atno:number[-[number]] | atom number    | atno:6     | atomno                     |
| rnam:name              | residue name   | rnam:GLY   | residuenname, resname      |
| rno:number[-[number]]  | residue number | rno:1-5    | residueno, resno           |
| mname:name             | molecule name  | mname:molA | moleculename, molname      |
| segid:ID               | segment index  | segid:PROA | segmentid, sid             |
| hydrogen               | hydrogen atoms |            | hydrogenatom               |
| heavy                  | heavy atoms    |            | heavyatom                  |
| all                    | all atoms      |            | *                          |
| and                    | conjunction    |            | &                          |
| or                     | logical add    |            |                            |
| not                    | negation       |            | !                          |
| ()                     | assemble       |            |                            |

Table. Available keywords and operators in group (continued).

| expression      | meaning                   | example   | other available expression |
|-----------------|---------------------------|-----------|----------------------------|
| X around: r     | atoms around r Å of X     | see below | around_atoms               |
| X around_res: r | residues around r Å of X  | see below | around_residues            |
| X around_mol: r | molecules around r Å of X | see below | around_molecules           |

**Note:** ai and atno are slightly different. ai indicates the atom index which is sequentially re-numbered over all atoms in the system. On the other hand, atno is the index of atoms in the PDB file. Atom index in PDB file (column 2) does not always start from 1, nor is numbered sequentially. In such cases, atno is useful to select atoms, although it is a very rare case.

**Note:** Atoms that are within a distance of a given atom (X) can be selected by around. Note that the coordinates in reffile is used to judge the distance. If reffile is not present, those in input files (pdbfile, crdfile, etc.) are used instead. Coordinates in rstfile are never used.

## 12.2 Examples

Select atoms based on their atom name, residue name, or residue number:

```
[SELECTION]
group1      = resno:1-60 and an:CA
group2      = (segid:PROA and not hydrogen) | an:CA
mole_name1  = molA PROA:1:TYR PROA:5:MET
group3      = mname:molA and (an:CA or an:C or an:O or an:N)
```

Select atoms around an atom X. In the following examples, X = atom number 100.



```
[SELECTION]
group1      = atno:100 around:10.0
group2      = atno:100 around_res:10.0
group3      = atno:100 around_mol:10.0
group4      = atno:100 around_mol:10.0 or atno:100
```

In group1, atoms around 10.0 Å of X are selected. Group 2 selects residues around 10.0 Å of X, i.e., if the distance between X and any one of atoms in a residue is less than 10.0 Å, all atoms of the residue are selected. Group 3 is the same as group 2, but for a molecule. Note that these commands do NOT select X itself. In order to include X in the selection, add “or atno:100”, as in group 4.

Select atoms around multiple atoms.

```
[SELECTION]
group1      = atno:100-101 around:10.0
group2      = (sid:PROT around_res:10.0) and rnam:TIP3
group3      = (rno:1 around:10.0) or rno:1
```

Group 1 selects atoms around 10.0 Å of atom 100 *or* 101. Note that it is NOT “100 *and* 101” nor a center of 100 and 101. Group 2 is an example to select water molecules around a protein (segname PROT). Group 3 selects not only the atoms around residue1 but also the atoms of residue1.

## RESTRAINTS SECTION

### 13.1 Restraint potential

The **[RESTRAINTS]** section contains keywords to define external restraint functions. The restraint functions are applied to the selected atom groups in the **[SELECTION]** section to restrict the motions of those atoms.

The potential energy of a restraint can be written as:

$$U(x) = k (x - x_0)^n$$

where  $x$  is a variable (see below),  $x_0$  is a reference value,  $k$  is a force constant, and  $n$  is an exponent factor.

If a repulsive distance restraint is applied, the above restraint function is modified as following:

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} k (x - x_0)^n & (x < x_0) \\ 0 & (x \geq x_0) \end{cases}.$$

Or if a flat-bottom distance restraint is applied, the function is modified as following:

$$U(x) = \begin{cases} k (x - x_0)^n & (x > x_0) \\ 0 & (x \leq x_0) \end{cases}.$$

---

#### 13.1.1 General keywords

**nfunctions** *Integer*

**Default:** 0

Number of restraint functions.

**function** *N POSI / DIST / DISTMASS / ANGLE / ANGLEMASS / DIHED / DIHEDMASS / RMSD / RMSMASS / PC / PCMASS / REPUL / REPULMASS / FB / FBMASS / EM*

**Default:** N/A

Type of restraint.

- **POSI**: positional restraint. The reference coordinates are given by **reffile**, **ambreffile**, or **groreffile** in [INPUT]. (see [Input section](#))
- **DIST or DISTMASS**: distance restraint.
- **ANGLE or ANGLEMASS**: angle restraint.
- **DIHED or DIHEDMASS**: dihedral angle restraint.
- **RMSD or RMSDMASS**: RMSD restraint. *MASS* means mass-weighted RMSD. Translational and rotational fittings to the reference coordinate are done before calculating RMSD. The reference coordinate is specified in the same manner as *POSI*.
- **PC or PCMASS**: principal component constraint. This option requires *modefile* in the [Input section](#).
- **REPUL or REPULMASS**: repulsive distance restraint.
- **FB or FBMASS**: flat-bottom distance restraint.
- **EM**: cryo-EM flexible fitting (see [Experiments section](#))

*DIST*, *ANGLE*, *DIHED* impose restraints on a distance/angle/dihedral defined by groups in the [SELECTION] section specified by `select_indexN` (see examples below). *REPUL*/*FB* also impose restraint on the distance defined by the selected groups, but the restraint is imposed to only one side of the harmonic potential centered on the given distance. *MASS* indicates that the restraint force is applied to the center of mass of the selected group. When *MASS* is omitted, the force is applied to the geometric center of the coordinates. The *MASS* keyword does nothing for groups consisting of a single particle.

In **SPDYN**, *POSI* and *RMSD*[*MASS*] restraints are mutually exclusive; you can use either one or none of them. Additionally, two different *POSI* restraints might not be applied simultaneously, either.

*Note: POSI, PC, and RMSD restraints can be influenced by the removal of translational/rotational momentum. See also the stoptr\_period parameter in the [DYNAMICS] section for more information.*

#### **constantN** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: depend on the restraint type)

Force constant of a restraint function. The unit depends on the type of restraint. Namely, kcal/mol/Å<sup>*n*</sup> is used in the case of *DIST*, *RMSD*, *REPUL*, and *FB*, while kcal/mol/rad<sup>*n*</sup> in the case of *ANGLE* and *DIHED*, where *n* is **exponentN** specified in this section.

#### **referenceN** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: depend on the restraint type)

Reference value of a restraint function. For the positional restraint, the value is ignored. The unit depends the type of restraint. Namely, angstroms are used in the case of *DIST*, *REPUL*, and *FB*, while degrees (NOT radians) are used in the case of *ANGLE* and *DIHED*.

#### **select\_indexN** *Integer*

**Default: N/A**

Index of a group, to which restraint potentials are applied. The index must be defined in [SELECTION] (see [Selection section](#)). For example, if you specify `select_index1 = 1`, this restraint function is applied for `group1` in the [SELECTION] section.

The number of groups required depends on the type of the restraint function.

- *POSI/RMSD[MASS]*: 1
- *DIST[MASS]*: 2
- *ANGLE[MASS]*: 3
- *DIHED[MASS]*: 4
- *PC[MASS]*:  $\geq 1$
- *REPUL[MASS]*:  $\geq 2$
- *FB[MASS]*:  $\geq 2$

A group can contain more than a single atom. Suppose we have the following input.

```
[SELECTION]
group1      = ai:1-10
group2      = ai:11-20

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions  = 1
function1   = DIST
constant1   = 3.0
reference1  = 10.0
select_index1 = 1 2
```

In this case, the distance restraint is applied to the distance between the geometric centers of group1 and group2. The calculated force is then distributed to each atom. If *DISTMASS* is given instead of *DIST*, mass centers (mass-weighted average positions) are used instead of geometric centers (non-weighted average position).

*REPUL[MASS]/FB[MASS]* restraint can be simultaneously applied to more than 2 groups. In the case, the restraint forces are applied to all pairs in the groups. See example inputs in the end of this section.

**direction***N ALL / X / Y / Z*

**Default : ALL**

Direction of the *POSI* restraint. If *X* or *Y* or *Z* is specified, restraints along the other two axes are not applied.

**exponent***N Integer*

**Default : 2**

Exponent factor of the restraint function. The default is harmonic (2). This parameter does not work for *POSI* and *RMSD[MASS]* restraints in **SPDYN**, where the default value, 2, is always used.

**mode***N Integer*

Specifies the mode index which is used for the PC (principal component) restraint. For example, the 1st PC mode can be restrained by specifying *mode1*=1.

### 13.1.2 Restraints of a linear combination of distances

A linear combination of  $m$  distances,  $r_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ , can be restrained by specifying  $2m$  groups in *DIST[MASS]*. The combination of distances is expressed as,

$$r_{\text{sum}} = \sum_{i=1}^m w_i |r_i|^{n_i}.$$

where  $n_i$  and  $w_i$  are specified by **exponent\_distN** and **weight\_distN**, respectively.

**exponent\_distN** *Integer* (**DIST[MASS]** only)

**Default : 1**

The exponent factors,  $n_i$ , of  $r_{\text{sum}}$ . Note that there must be  $m$  entries of integers.

**weight\_distN** *Real* (**DIST[MASS]** only)

**Default : 1.0**

The coefficients,  $w_i$ , of  $r_{\text{sum}}$ . Note that there must be  $m$  entries of real numbers.

```
[RESTRAINTS]

# |r12| - |r13|
nfunctions      = 1
function1       = DIST
constant1       = 10.0
reference1      = -1.0
exponent_dist1  = 1    1
weight_dist1    = 1.0 -1.0
select_index1   = 1 2  1 3
```

### 13.1.3 Pressure derived from restraints

The users can select whether or not to include the pressure calculated from a restraint potential in the total internal pressure, which is the target pressure of a simulation with the NPT ensemble, using the keywords **pressure\_position** and **pressure\_rmsd**. By default, the pressure derived from positional and RMSD restraints are treated as an external pressure. However, if simulations with POSI or RMSD restraint show a strange behaviour (unphysical box deformation), especially, when strong force constants are applied, it is recommended to turn on these options.

**pressure\_position** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

If YES, the virial terms from positional restraints are included in pressure evaluation.

**pressure\_rmsd** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

If YES, the virial terms from RMSD restraints are included in pressure evaluation.

### 13.1.4 Advanced definition of restraints

Restraints can be also defined in an external input file (**localresfile**). In this case, the number of local restraints must NOT be included in **nfunctions**. This option is available in **SPDYN** only. For details, see *Input section*.

### 13.1.5 Restraints in REUS simulations

If you employ a certain restraint term for REUS runs, *nreplica* force constants and reference values must be given as a space-separated list. The above keywords, except for **nfunctions**, **pressure\_position**, and **pressure\_rmsd**, must have a serial number, 'N', of the function ( $N \geq 1$ ). This serial number is referred when selecting restraints in REUS runs. For details, see *REMD section*.

## 13.2 Examples

Example of **[RESTRAINTS]** section:

```
[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions      = 1
function1       = DIST
reference1      = 10.0
constant1       = 2.0
select_index1   = 1 2          # group1 and group2 in [SELECTION]
```

Example of multiple restraints:

```
[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions      = 2

function1       = DIST
constant1       = 2.0
reference1      = 10.0          # in angstroms
select_index1   = 1 2

function2       = DIHED
constant2       = 3.0
reference2      = 120.0         # in degrees
select_index2   = 3 4 5 6
```

Example of repulsive restraints. The repulsive restraint is simultaneously applied to the centers of mass (COMs) of groups 1, 2, and 3. In the case, restraint forces are applied to three distances of the COMs between 1 and 2, those between 1 and 3, and those between 2 and 3. Groups 1, 2, and 3 cannot approach each other.

```
[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions      = 1

function1       = REPULMASS
reference1      = 10.0
constant1       = 1.0
select_index1   = 1 2 3
```

## FITTING SECTION

### 14.1 Structure fitting

(In *GENESIS 1.1.5 or later only*) Keywords in the **[FITTING]** section define a structure superimposition scheme, which is often employed in targeted MD, steered MD, or the String method (see [RPATH section](#)) with positional restraint. In the String method, the reference coordinate for fitting is given by **fitfile** in the **[INPUT]** section. Otherwise (MD, MIN, REMD), the reference coordinate is given by **reffile**, **ambreffile**, or **groreffile** in the **[INPUT]** section. Note that this section is not related to cryo-EM flexible fitting (see [Experiments section](#)).

---

**fitting\_method** *NO / TR+ROT / XYTR+ZROT*

**Default:** TR+ROT

Type of fitting method.

- NO: No fitting routine is applied
- TR+ROT: Remove both of translation and rotation
- XYTR+ZROT: Remove translation in the XY-plane and rotation along the Z-axis

**fitting\_atom:** *Integer*

**Default:** N/A

Index of an atom group which is to be fitted to the reference structure. In RMSD restraints, Steered MD, or Targeted MD, this should be identical to the group where the restraint potential is applied. The index must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)). For example, if you specify `fitting_atom = 1`, the reference atoms are members of `group1` in the **[SELECTION]** section.

**mass\_weight:** *YES / NO*

**Default:** NO

If the parameter is set to *YES*, mass-weighted fitting is employed. This parameter should be *YES* for RMSDCOM/PCCOM restraints and *NO* for RMSD/PC restraints. Please make sure that this parameter is correctly specified when you perform RMSD/RMSDCOM/PC/PCOM calculations. In the String method, mass-weighted superimposition is not supported.

**force\_no\_fitting:** *YES / NO*

**Default:** NO

*This parameter must not be changed for standard MD runs.* If the parameter is set to YES and the fitting\_method is set to NO, the fitting routine is turned off. Translational and rotational fittings are usually required to calculate correct RMSD values. Therefore, GENESIS simulators (ATDYN and SPDYN) do not allow *fitting\_method = NO* for simulations involving RMSD calculations (targeted/steered MD, for example). However, such fitting is not desirable when generating an initial structure set for the String method using Cartesian coordinates as CV (see [RPATH section](#)). For this only reason, *fitting\_method = NO* was implemented. If you want to turn off fittings of RMSD calculations for the preparation of an initial structure set for the String method, please specify *fitting\_method = NO* and *force\_no\_fitting = YES*.

## 14.2 Examples

Example of [FITTING] section

```
[FITTING]
fitting_method = TR+ROT
fitting_atom   = 1
mass_weight    = NO
```



## REMD SECTION

### 15.1 Replica-exchange molecular-dynamics simulation (REMD)

In the **[REMD]** section, the users can specify keywords for Replica-Exchange Molecular Dynamics (REMD) simulation. REMD method is one of the enhanced conformational sampling methods used for systems with rugged free-energy landscapes. The original temperature-exchange method (T-REMD) is one of the most widely used methods in biomolecules' simulations [79] [80]. Here, replicas (or copies) of the original system are prepared, and different temperatures are assigned to each replica. Each replica runs in a canonical (NVT) or isobaric-isothermal (NPT) ensemble, and the temperatures are periodically exchanged between the neighboring replicas during a simulation. Exchanging temperature enforces a random walk in temperature space, allowing the system to overcome energy barriers and sample a much wider conformational space.

In REMD methods, the transition probability of the replica exchange process is given by the usual Metropolis criterion,

$$w(X \rightarrow X') = \min(1, \frac{P(X')}{P(X)}) = \min(1, \exp(-\Delta)).$$

In the T-REMD method, we have

$$\Delta = (\beta_m - \beta_n) \left\{ E(q^{[j]}) - E(q^{[i]}) \right\},$$

where  $E$  is the potential energy,  $q$  is the position of atoms,  $\beta$  is the inverse temperature defined by  $\beta = 1/k_B T$ ,  $i$  and  $j$  are the replica indexes, and  $m$  and  $n$  are the parameter indexes. After the replica exchange, atomic momenta are rescaled as follows:

$$p^{[i]'} = \sqrt{\frac{T_n}{T_m}} p^{[i]}, \quad p^{[j]'} = \sqrt{\frac{T_m}{T_n}} p^{[j]},$$

where  $T$  is the temperature and  $p$  is the momenta of atoms.

The transition probability should be independent of the algorithms used, i.e. constant temperature and constant pressure algorithms. On the other hand, the momenta-rescaling scheme depends on the algorithm used in the simulation. If thermostat and barostat momenta are included in the equations of motion, these variables should be also rescaled after replica exchange [81] [82]. In GENESIS, barostat momentum is rescaled in the case of T-REMD with Langevin or Bussi method in NPT, NPAT, and NPgT ensembles. In other cases, only atomic momenta are rescaled.

In GENESIS, not only Temperature REMD but also pressure REMD [83], surface-tension REMD [84], REUS (or Hamiltonian REMD) [85] [86], replica exchange with solute tempering (REST) [87] [88], and their multi-dimensional combinations are available in both **ATDYN** and **SPDYN**. Basically, these methods can be employed in the NVT, NPT, NPAT, NPgT ensembles, except for the surface-tension REMD, which is only used in the NPgT ensemble. REMD simulations in GENESIS require an MPI environment. At least one MPI process must be assigned to one replica. For example, when the user wants to employ 32 replicas,  $32n$  MPI processes are required.

In the following parameters excluding *dimension*, *exchange\_period*, and *iseed*, the last character  $N$  must be replaced with a positive integer number (i.e.  $N \geq 1$ ), which defines the index of the replica dimension. For example, *type1*, *nreplica1* are the replica type and number of replicas for the first dimension, respectively. For details, see the examples below.

---

#### **dimension** *Integer*

**Default: 1**

Number of dimensions (i.e. number of parameter types to be exchanged)

#### **type** $N$ *TEMPERATURE / PRESSURE / GAMMA / RESTRAINT / REST*

**Default: TEMPERATURE**

Type of parameter to be exchanged in the  $N$ -th dimension

- **TEMPERATURE**: Temperature REMD [79]
- **PRESSURE**: Pressure REMD [83]
- **GAMMA**: Surface-tension REMD [84]
- **RESTRAINT**: REUS (or Hamiltonian REMD) [85] [86]
- **REST**: replica exchange with solute tempering (REST2 or gREST) [87] [88], which is totally different from the original version of REST [89]. Currently, only AMBER and CHARMM force fields are supported.
- **ALCHEMY**: FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD [90]

#### **nreplica** $N$ *Integer*

**Default: 0**

Number of replicas (or parameters) in the  $N$ -th dimension

#### **parameters** $N$ *Real*

**Default: N/A**

List of parameters for each replica in the  $N$ -th dimension. Parameters must be given as a space-separated list, and the total number of parameters must be equal to **nreplica** $N$ . In case of REUS (type = RESTRAINT), parameters must be specified in **[RESTRAINTS]** section (see the sample below). In case of gREST (type = REST), these parameters are considered as temperature of solute region. Note that the order of the parameters in this list must NOT be changed before and after the restart run, even if the parameters are exchanged during the REMD simulation.

#### **exchange\_period** *Integer*

**Default: 100**

Frequency of the parameter exchange attempt. If “exchange\_period = 0” is specified, REMD simulation is carried out without parameter exchange, which is useful to equilibrate the system in a condition assigned to each replica before performing the production run.

**cyclic\_params***N YES / NO*

**Default: NO**

Turn on or off the periodicity of the parameters in the *N*-th dimension. If “cyclic\_paramsN = YES” is specified, the first and last parameters are considered as neighbouring parameters. This option can be applicable to all parameter types. Basically, this is useful in the case of REUS in dihedral angle space, since the dihedral angle is a periodic variable.

**iseed** *Integer*

**Default: 3141592**

Random number seed in the replica exchange scheme. If this is not specified explicitly, iseed is taken over from the restart file.

---

**Note:** In the [ENSEMBLE] section, there is also a parameter “temperature”. In the T-REMD simulation, this temperature is ignored, even if it is specified explicitly. Similarly, pressure and gamma in the [ENSEMBLE] section are ignored in the P-REMD and surface-tension REMD simulations, respectively.

---



---

**Note:** When multi-dimensional REMD is carried out, parameters are exchanged alternatively. For example, in TP-REMD (type1 = TEMPERATURE and type2 = PRESSURE), there is a temperature exchange first, followed by a pressure exchange. This is repeated during the simulations.

---



---

**Note:** **ALCHEMY** is not implemented in GENESIS 2.0.0. Please use GENESIS 1.7.0 or later version for this purpose.

---

## 15.2 Replica-exchange umbrella-sampling (REUS)

**rest\_function***N* (for **REUS** only)

Index of the restraint function to be used in the REUS simulation. The detailed parameters in the restraint function (e.g., force constant and reference) are defined in the [**RESTRAINTS**] section (see [Restraints section](#)). Note that the order of the parameters in the [**RESTRAINTS**] section must NOT be changed before and after the restart run, even if the parameters are exchanged during the REUS simulation.

**GENESIS** supports not only on-grid but also off-grid schemes. In the off-grid REUS, multiple restraints are merged into a single reaction coordinate (see example below). Those restraints are defined in [**RESTRAINTS**] section, where the number of parameters (const and reference) must be equal to *nreplicaN*. Note that this kind of combined axis can be used only for restraints, other types (such as combined temperature-pressure or temperature-restraint coordinate) are not available currently.

---

**Note:** Positional restraint is not available for REUS. In **SPDYN**, PCA restraint is not available for REUS. The control file format was completely changed after version 1.1.0, since the off-grid REUS scheme was introduced. When the users use the old control file, please be careful.

---

## 15.3 Replica-exchange with solute-tempering (gREST)

**select\_index***N Integer*

**Default:** N/A

Index of an atom group. The selected atoms are considered as “solute” in gREST. The index must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)).

**param\_type***N ALL / BOND / ANGLE / UREY / DIHEDRAL / IMPROPER / CMAP / CHARGE / LJ*

**Default:** ALL

Solute energy terms for gREST [88] simulations. Energy terms selected by this parameter in the solute atom group (defined by *select\_indexN*) are considered as “solute” (scaled according to solute temperature) in gREST. Other terms are considered as “solvent” (kept intact). Solute-solvent terms are automatically determined from the solute selection. You can specify multiple terms (see examples). The parameter names are case-insensitive as follows:

- **ALL**: all the available energy terms.
- **BOND**: (aliases: **B**, **BONDS**): 1-2 bonding terms.
- **ANGLE**: (aliases: **A**, **ANGLES**): 1-2-3 angle terms.
- **UREY**: (aliases: **U**, **UREYS**): Urey-Bradley terms.
- **DIHEDRAL**: (aliases: **D**, **DIHEDRALS**): 1-2-3-4 dihedral terms.
- **IMPROPER**: (aliases: **I**, **IMPROPERS**): improper torsion terms.
- **CMAP**: (aliases: **CM**, **CMAPS**): CMAP terms.
- **CHARGE**: (aliases: **C**, **CHARGES**): coulombic interaction terms.
- **LJ**: (aliases: **L**, **LJS**): Lennard-Jones interaction terms.

**analysis\_grest** *Yes /No*

**Default:** No

Logical flag to write energy output for free energy calculations with REST. If it is assigned to be *Yes*, the energy output file for each replica should be written in **[OUTPUT]** section.

---

**Note:** Note that restraint energy terms defined in **[RESTRAINTS]** cannot be treated as solute terms. They never be affected by gREST solute temperatures. In **SPDYN**, water atoms cannot be specified as “solute” now. This limitation will be removed in the future version.

---

---

**Note:** When the coulombic interaction terms are considered as the solute, the solute region should have a net charge of 0 for an adequate PME calculation.

---

## 15.4 Examples

Basically, REMD simulations in **GENESIS** can be carried out by just adding the **[REMD]** section in the control file of a normal MD simulation. For details, see the online Tutorial (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/>).

### 15.4.1 T-REMD

If the users want to carry out T-REMD simulations with 4 replicas in the NVT ensemble, where each replica has the temperature 298.15, 311.79, 321.18, or 330.82 K, and replica exchange is attempted every 1000 steps, the following section is added to the control file of a normal MD simulation in the NVT ensemble:

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 1
exchange_period = 1000
type1          = TEMPERATURE
nreplica1      = 4
parameters1    = 298.15 311.79 321.18 330.82
```

As for the T-REMD simulation in the NPT ensemble, the users add this section to the control file of a normal MD simulation in the NPT ensemble. The REMD temperature generator (<http://folding.bmc.uu.se/remd/>) is a useful tool to set the target temperature of each replica.

### 15.4.2 Two-dimensional REMD (T-REMD/REUS)

The following is an example of two-dimensional REMD, where temperature and restraint are exchanged alternatively. The 1st dimension is T-REMD with 8 parameters, and 2nd dimension is REUS in distance space with 4 parameters. In total,  $8 \times 4 = 32$  replicas are used:

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 2
exchange_period = 1000
type1          = TEMPERATURE
nreplica1      = 8
parameters1    = 298.15 311.79 321.18 330.82 340.70 350.83 361.23 371.89
type2          = RESTRAINT
nreplica2      = 4
rest_function2 = 1

[SELECTION]
group1         = ai:25
group2         = ai:392

[RESTRAINTS]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

nfunctions      = 1
function1       = DIST
constant1       = 2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0
reference1      = 10.0  10.5  11.0  11.5
select_index1   = 1 2

```

These sections are added to the control file of a normal MD simulation.

### 15.4.3 Off-grid REUS

Example of off-grid REUS (merge two restraints into single reaction coordinate), where distance and dihedral restraints are merged into single reaction coordinate. First values of restraints ((2.0,10.0) for distance, (10,-40) for dihedral) will be used for the first replica, the fourth parameters ((2.0,11.5) for distance, (10,-10) for dihedral) will be used for the fourth replica:

```

[REMD]
dimension       = 1
exchange_period = 1000
type1           = RESTRAINT           # REUS
nreplica1       = 4
rest_function1  = 1 2                 # off-grid REUS

[SELECTION]
group1          = ai:25
group2          = ai:392
group3          = ai:72
group4          = ai:73
group5          = ai:74
group6          = ai:75

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions      = 2

function1       = DIST
constant1       = 2.0   2.0   2.0   2.0 # num of values must be nreplica1
reference1      = 10.0  10.5  11.0  11.5
select_index1   = 1 2

function2       = DIHED
constant2       = 10    10    10    10 # num of values must be nreplica1
reference2      = -40   -30   -20   -10
select_index2   = 3 4 5 6

```

### 15.4.4 gREST

In this example, the dihedral, CMAP, and LJ energy terms in the selected atom groups are treated as “solute”.

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 1
exchange_period = 1000
type1          = REST
nreplica1      = 4
parameters1    = 300.0 310.0 320.0 330.0  # solute temperatures
param_type1    = D CM L                   # dihedral, CMAP, and LJ
select_index1  = 1

[SELECTION]
group1         = ai:1-313
```

T-REMD in the two-dimensional REMD (T-REMD/REUS) may be replaced with gREST (gREST/REUS [91]) to reduce the required number of replicas.

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 2
exchange_period = 1000
type1          = REST
nreplica1      = 4
parameters1    = 300.0 310.0 320.0 330.0  # solute temperatures
param_type1    = D CM L                   # dihedral, CMAP, and LJ
select_index1  = 3
type2          = RESTRAINT
nreplica2      = 4
rest_function2 = 1

[SELECTION]
group1         = ai:25
group2         = ai:392
group3         = ai:1-313

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions     = 1
function1      = DIST
constant1      = 2.0 2.0 2.0 2.0
reference1     = 10.0 10.5 11.0 11.5
select_index1  = 1 2
```

## RPATH SECTION

### 16.1 Reaction Path Search

In the **[RPATH]** section, users can specify keywords for finding the reaction path. The path search is carried out in two modes: the minimum energy path (MEP) and the minimum free-energy path (MFEP). The former searches for the energetically most favorable pathway on the potential energy surface (PES), while the latter does the same on the free-energy surface (FES). The MEP search is used to find relatively fast processes such as chemical reactions (very likely along with QM/MM), in which the environment can be regarded more or less rigid. On the other hand, the MFEP reveals large-scale conformational changes of biomolecules by searching the path on an FES, where fast molecular motions are averaged out. In both cases, the path is represented by a chain-of-replica, which evolves on the energy surface so as to minimize the forces in the transverse direction.

---

**rpathmode** *MFEP/MEP*

**Default:** MFEP

Specify **MFEP** or **MEP** to invoke the MFEP or MEP search.

---

### 16.2 Minimum Free-Energy Path (MFEP) Search

The MFEP search is invoked by specifying **rpathmode = MFEP**. The path search is carried out by the string method, which is a powerful sampling technique to find a path connecting two stable conformational states. This method is widely used for investigating large-scale conformational changes of biomolecules where time-scale of the transitions is not reachable in brute-force simulations.

There are three major algorithms in the string method: the mean forces string method [92], the on-the-fly string method [93], and the string method of swarms of trajectories [94]. Among these algorithms, the mean forces string method is available in **ATDYN** and **SPDYN** [95].

In the mean-forces string method, the pathway is represented by discretized points (called images) in the collective variable (CV) space. The current GENESIS supports distances, angles, dihedrals, Cartesian coordinates, and principal components for CVs (note that different types of CVs cannot be mixed in GENESIS. For example, users cannot mix distance and angle).

In the calculation, each image is assigned to each replica, and a replica samples mean forces and an average metric tensor around its own image by short MD simulation (ps to ns length) with restraints.



The restraints are imposed using the image coordinates as their reference values. After the short simulation, each image is evolved according to the mean force and metric tensor. Then, smoothing and re-parametrization of the images are performed and we go to the next cycle.

Image coordinates are written in `rpath` files (**rpathfile** keyword) which user can specify in **[OUTPUT]** section. This file provides the trajectory of the image coordinates. Columns correspond to CVs and rows are time steps. These values are written at the same timing as **dcdfile** (specified by **crdout\_period** in **[DYNAMICS]** section).

For the string method calculation, an initial pathway in the CV space and atomistic coordinates around the pathway are required. For preparing these, targeted, or steered MD methods are recommended.

---

### **nreplica** *Integer*

**Default: 1**

Number of replicas (images) for representing the pathway.

### **rpath\_period** *Integer*

**Default: 0**

Time-step period during which the mean-forces acting on the images are evaluated. After evaluating the mean-forces, we update images according to the mean-forces, and go to the next cycle. If **rpath\_period = 0**, images are not updated. This option is used for equilibration or umbrella sampling around the pathway.

### **delta** *Real*

**Default: 0.0**

Step-size for steepest descent update of images.

### **smooth** *Real*

**Default: 0.0**

Smoothing parameter which controls the aggressiveness of the smoothing. Values from 0.0 to 0.1 are recommended, where “smooth = 0.0” means no-smoothing

### **rest\_function** *List of Integers*

**Default: N/A**

List of restraint function indices defined in **[RESTRAINTS]** section (see [Restrains section](#)). Specified restraints are defined as CVs, and *nreplica* images (replicas) are created, where a set of corresponding restraint reference values is assigned to each image. Force constants in **[RESTRAINTS]** are also used for evaluation of mean-forces.

### **fix\_terminal** *YES / NO*

**Default: NO**

If **fix\_terminal = YES** is specified, the two terminal images are always fixed and not updated. This is useful when the terminal images correspond to crystal structures and users do not want to move them.

### **use\_restart** *YES / NO*

**Default : YES**

Restart file generated by the string method calculation includes the last snapshot of images. If **use\_restart = YES** is specified, the reference values in **[RESTRAINTS]** will be overwritten by the values in the restart file. Note that force constants are not overwritten.

---

**Note:** The following options are needed in the **[FITTING]** section when Cartesian coordinates are used for CVs.

**fitting\_method** *TR+ROT / XYTR+ZROT / NO*

If this keyword is specified, rotational/translational elements are removed from the mean-force estimation by fitting instantaneous structures to the reference coordinates given by **fitfile**.

**fitting\_atom** *List of Integers*

The user can specify the index of an atom group which are fitted to the reference structure. Usually, the same atoms as CVs are selected.

---

## 16.3 Minimum Energy Path (MEP) Search

The MEP search is available only in **ATDYN**.

The calculation is invoked by specifying **rpathmode = MEP** [96]. Cartesian coordinates of atoms selected via **mepatm\_select\_index** (denoted MEP atoms) are employed for the path search. Currently, other coordinates can not be used as CVs. Starting from a set of images along an initial path, e.g., a linear interpolation between the reactant and product, the coordinates of the surrounding atoms are first energy minimized with MEP atoms held fixed. (The **[MINIMIZE]** section is thus required in the input as well.) Then, the forces acting on MEP atoms are evaluated for each image, and the images are evolved to minimize the forces in the transverse direction by the string method [97]. The process is repeated either until the convergence threshold is met [variation in the energy (**tol\_energy**) and the path length (**tol\_path**)], or until the number of iterations reaches the maximum number (**ncycle**).

Another search algorithm, the nudged elastic band (NEB) method [98] is also implemented, which differs from the string method in how the images evolve. Note, however, that the NEB is still experimental at this moment.

---

**mepatm\_select\_index** *Integer*

Index of a group of atoms which is treated as MEP atoms. The index must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)).

**ncycle** *Integer*

**Default: 1000**

Maximum number of cycle.

**nreplica** *Integer*

**Default: 1**

Number of replicas (images) for representing the pathway.

**Note:** If MPI processes are larger than **nreplica**, the MPI processes must be a multiple of **nreplica**. For example, if **nreplica = 16**, MPI processes must be 16, 32, 48, etc. If MPI processes are smaller than **nreplica**, the MPI processes must be a divisor of **nreplica**. For example, the calculation with **nreplica = 16** can be performed using 1, 2, 4, and 8 MPI processes.

---

**eneout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Frequency of the output of the energy profile and path length to the standard output.

**crdout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Frequency of coordinates outputs. Note that coordinate outputs are turned off for minimization (**crdout\_period** in the [MINIMIZE] section).

**rstout\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 0**

Frequency of restart file updates. Note that the updates are turned off for minimization (**rstout\_period** in the [MINIMIZE] section).

**tol\_energy** *Real*

**Default : 0.01** (unit : kcal/mol)

Tolerance of convergence for the energy.

**tol\_path** *Real*

**Default : 0.01** (unit : Å)

Tolerance of convergence for the path length.

**massweightcoord** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Use mass weighted Cartesian.

**method** *STRING/NEB*

**Default: STRING**

Choose the algorithm of a MEP search.

---

Options for String.

**delta** *Real*

**Default: 0.001**

Step-size for steepest descent update of images.

---

Options for NEB.

**k\_spring** *Real*

---

**Default: 10.0** kcal/mol/Å<sup>2</sup>

Spring constant of the force that connects the images

**ncorrection** *Integer*

**Default : 10**

Number of corrections to build the inverse Hessian.

**lbfgs\_bnd** *YES/NO*

**Default : YES**

Set a boundary to move atoms in each step of image update.

**lbfgs\_bnd\_qmonly** *YES/NO*

**Default : NO**

Set the boundary only to QM atoms.

**lbfgs\_bnd\_maxmove** *Real*

**Default : 0.1** (unit : Å)

The maximum size of move in each step.

## 16.4 Examples

Example of alanine-tripeptide with 16 replicas (images). Two dihedral angles are specified as the collective variables.

```
[RPATH]
nreplica          = 16
rpath_period      = 1000
delta             = 0.02
smooth           = 0.0
rest_function     = 1 2

[SELECTION]
group1            = atomindex:15
group2            = atomindex:17
group3            = atomindex:19
group4            = atomindex:25
group5            = atomindex:27

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions        = 2

function1         = DIHED
constant1         = 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 \
                  100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
referencel        = -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 \
                  -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0 -40.0
select_index1     = 1 2 3 4 # PHI
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

function2      = DIHED
constant2      = 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 \
                100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0 100.0
reference2     = -45.0 -33.0 -21.0 -9.0 3.0 15.0 27.0 39.0 \
                51.0 63.0 75.0 87.0 99.0 111.0 123.0 135.0
select_index2  = 2 3 4 5 # PSI

```

Here is another example of Cartesian coordiante CVs for the same alanine-tripeptide.

```

[INPUT]
... skip ...
rstfile = ../eq/{}.rst
reffile = {}.pdb
fitfile = fit.pdb

[RPATH]
nreplica      = 16
rpath_period  = 1000
delta         = 0.001
smooth        = 0.00
rest_function = 1
fix_terminal  = NO

[FITTING]
fitting_method = TR+ROT
fitting_atom   = 1

[SELECTION]
group1         = ai:15 or ai:17 or ai:19 or ai:25 or ai:27

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions     = 1

function1      = POSI
constant1      = 10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 \
                10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 \
                10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0 \
                10.0 10.0 10.0 10.0
select_index1  = 1

```

Here is an example of a MEP search along with QM/MM

```

[INPUT]
topfile = toppar/top_all36_prot.rtf, ...
parfile = toppar/par_all36_prot.prm, ...
psffile = prot.psf # protein structure file
reffile = prot.pdb # PDB file
pdbfile = initial/initial{}.pdb # initial path

[OUTPUT]
dcdfile = mep_{}.dcd # coordinates
logfile = mep_{}.log # log files
rstfile = mep_{}.rst # restart file
rpathfile = mep_{}.rpath # rpath file

```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

[ENERGY]
forcefield      = CHARMM      # CHARMM force field
... skip ...

[MINIMIZE]
method          = LBFGS      # MIN using L-BFGS
nsteps          = 100        # max. number of steps
eneout_period   = 5          # energy output period
fixatm_select_index = 2      # fix the outer layer
macro           = yes        # macro/micro iteration

[RPATH]
rpathmode       = MEP        # MEP search
method          = STRING     # String method
delta           = 0.0005     # step size
ncycle          = 200        # max. number of cycle
nreplica        = 16         # number of replica
eneout_period   = 1          # frequency of the energy output
crdout_period   = 1          # frequency of the coordinate output
rstout_period   = 1          # frequency of the restart update
fix_terminal    = no         # fix the terminal
massWeightCoord = no         # mass-weighted Cartesian
mepatm_select_index = 1      # selection of the MEP atoms

[BOUNDARY]
type            = NOBC

[QMMM]
qmtyp           = gaussian
qmatm_select_index = 1
... skip ...

[SELECTION]
group1 = sid:DHA or (sid:TIMA and (rno:95 or rno:165) and \
        not (an:CA | an:C | an:O | an:N | an:HN | an:HA))
group2 = not (sid:DHA or sid:DHA around_res:6.0)

```

## GAMD SECTION

### 17.1 Gaussian accelerated Molecular Dynamics

In the **[GAMD]** section, the users can specify keywords for Gaussian accelerated Molecular Dynamics (GaMD) simulation. The GaMD method [99][100] accelerates the conformational sampling of biomolecules by adding a harmonic boost potential to smooth their potential energy surface. GaMD has the advantage that reaction coordinates do not need to be predefined, thus setting up the system for the simulation is rather easy. The use of the harmonic boost potential allows to recover the unbiased free-energy changes through cumulant expansion to the second order, which resolves the practical reweighting problem in the original accelerated MD method.

GaMD was developed as a potential-biasing method for enhanced sampling. It accelerates the conformational sampling of a biomolecule by adding a non-negative boost potential to the system potential energy  $U(\vec{x})$ :

$$U'(\vec{x}) = U(\vec{x}) + \Delta U^{\text{GaMD}}(U(\vec{x})),$$

where  $\vec{x}$  is the configuration of the system,  $U'(\vec{x})$  is the modified potential energy, and  $\Delta U^{\text{GaMD}}$  is the boost potential depending only on  $U(\vec{x})$ .

In conventional accelerated MD [101][102][103], the average of the Boltzmann factors of the boost potential terms appears in the reweighting equation of the probability along the selected reaction coordinates, causing a large statistical error. In order to reduce the noise, GaMD uses a harmonic boost potential, which adopts a positive value only when the system potential is lower than an energy threshold  $E$ :

$$\Delta U^{\text{GaMD}}(U(\vec{x})) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}k\{E - U(\vec{x})\}^2 & (U(\vec{x}) < E) \\ 0 & (U(\vec{x}) \geq E) \end{cases},$$

where  $k$  is a harmonic force constant.  $U'(\vec{x})$  should satisfy the following relationships [99][100]:  $U'(\vec{x}_1) < U'(\vec{x}_2)$  and  $U'(\vec{x}_2) - U'(\vec{x}_1) < U(\vec{x}_2) - U(\vec{x}_1)$  if  $U(\vec{x}_1) < U(\vec{x}_2)$ . To keep the relationships, the threshold energy needs to be set as:

$$U_{\max} \leq E \leq U_{\min} + \frac{1}{k},$$

where  $U_{\max}$  and  $U_{\min}$  are maximum and minimum energies of the system, respectively. To ensure accurate reweighting, the deviation of the potential must also satisfy the relation:

$$k(E - U_{\text{ave}})\sigma_U \leq \sigma_0,$$

where  $U_{\text{ave}}$  and  $\sigma_U$  are the average and standard deviation of  $U(\vec{x})$ , respectively.  $\sigma_0$  is a user-specified upper limit.  $k_0$  is defined as  $k_0 \equiv k(U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{min}})$ , then  $0 < k_0 \leq 1$ .

When  $E$  is set to the lower bound  $U_{\text{max}}$ ,  $k_0$  is determined by

$$k_0 = \min \left( 1, \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_U} \frac{U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{min}}}{U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{ave}}} \right)$$

When  $E$  is set to the upper bound  $U_{\text{min}} + 1/k$ ,  $k_0$  is set to

$$k_0'' \equiv \left( 1 - \frac{\sigma_0}{\sigma_U} \right) \frac{U_{\text{max}} - U_{\text{min}}}{U_{\text{ave}} - U_{\text{min}}}$$

if  $0 < k_0'' < 1$ , and  $k_0$  is set to 1 otherwise.

The above parameters ( $U_{\text{max}}$ ,  $U_{\text{min}}$ ,  $U_{\text{ave}}$ , and  $\sigma_U$ ) are determined from short-time simulations a priori. When the distribution of the boost potential approaches Gaussian distribution, the cumulant expansion of the average of  $\exp[\beta \Delta U^{\text{GaMD}}]$  to the second order provides a good approximation for the free energy [104].

GaMD can be combined with REUS in such a way that each replica in REUS is accelerated by the GaMD boost potential:

$$\begin{aligned} U_i''(\vec{x}) &= U'(\vec{x}) + \Delta U_i^{\text{REUS}}(\xi(\vec{x})) \\ &= U(\vec{x}) + \Delta U^{\text{GaMD}}(U(\vec{x})) + \Delta U_i^{\text{REUS}}(\xi(\vec{x})), \end{aligned}$$

where  $U_i''(\vec{x})$  is the modified potential energy of replica  $i$ ,  $\Delta U_i^{\text{REUS}}$  is the bias potential of REUS for replica  $i$ , and  $\xi(\vec{x})$  is the collective variable of REUS. This method is referred to as Gaussian accelerated replica exchange umbrella sampling (GaREUS) [105]. The parameters in the GaMD boost potential are used in all replicas of GaREUS simulations. By using this combination, the simulated system in each replica becomes more flexible, or the energy barrier irrelevant to the collective variable is lowered, enhancing the sampling efficiency. When performing GaREUS simulations, the user must specify [REMD] section to use REUS and define a collective variable in the [SELECTION] and [RESTRAINTS] sections. Please check the example below.

**gamd** YES / NO

**Default : NO**

Enable the GaMD method.

**boost** YES / NO

**Default : YES**

Flag to apply GaMD boost to the system. If *boost* = NO, boost is not applied but GaMD parameters are updated from the trajectory.

**boost\_type** DUAL / DIHEDRAL / POTENTIAL



**Default: DUAL**

Type of boost.

- **DUAL**: Boost is applied on both the dihedral and total potential energies.
- **DIHEDRAL**: Boost is applied on only the dihedral energy.
- **POTENTIAL**: Boost is applied on only the total potential energy.

**thresh\_type** *LOWER / HIGHER*

**Default: LOWER**

Type of threshold.

- **LOWER**:  $E$  is set to the lower bound  $E = U_{\max}$ .
- **HIGHER**:  $E$  is set to its upper bound  $E = U_{\min} + 1/k$ .

**update\_period** *Integer*

**Default: 0**

Period of updating parameters in units of time step. When **update\_period** = 0, GaMD parameters are not updated during the GaMD simulation. When **update\_period** > 0, the GaMD simulation updates its parameters every **update\_period** steps, and then the updated parameters are output to **gamdfilename** specified in the [OUTPUT] section. The file includes the maximum, minimum, average, and deviation of the total potential or dihedral potential (**pot\_max**, **pot\_min**, **pot\_ave**, **pot\_dev**, **dih\_max**, **dih\_min**, **dih\_ave**, **dih\_dev**), which are calculated within the interval **update\_period**.

**sigma0\_pot** *Real*

**Default: 6.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Upper limit of the standard deviation of the total potential boost ( $\sigma_0^{\text{pot}}$ ) that allows for accurate reweighting.

**pot\_max** *Real*

**Default: -99999999.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Maximum of the total potential energy of the system,  $U_{\max}^{\text{pot}}$ . When **update\_period** is not zero,  $U_{\max}^{\text{pot}}$  is updated every time step. If  $U^{\text{pot}}$  becomes larger than  $U_{\max}^{\text{pot}}$ ,  $U_{\max}^{\text{pot}}$  is set to the larger value. To determine the initial value of  $U_{\max}^{\text{pot}}$ , the default value is set to a large negative number.

**pot\_min** *Real*

**Default: 99999999.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Minimum of the total potential energy of the system,  $U_{\min}^{\text{pot}}$ . When **update\_period** is not zero,  $U_{\min}^{\text{pot}}$  is updated every time step. If  $U^{\text{pot}}$  becomes smaller than  $U_{\min}^{\text{pot}}$ ,  $U_{\min}^{\text{pot}}$  is set to the smaller value. To determine the initial value of  $U_{\min}^{\text{pot}}$ , the default value is set to a large positive number.

**pot\_ave** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Average of the total potential energy of the system,  $U_{\text{ave}}^{\text{pot}}$ .

**pot\_dev** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Standard deviation of the total potential energy of the system,  $\sigma_U^{\text{pot}}$ .

**sigma0\_dih** *Real*

**Default: 6.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Upper limit of the standard deviation of the dihedral boost ( $\sigma_0^{\text{dih}}$ ) that allows for accurate reweighting.

**dih\_max** *Real*

**Default: -99999999.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Maximum of the dihedral energy of the system,  $U_{\text{max}}^{\text{dih}}$ . When **update\_period** is not zero,  $U_{\text{max}}^{\text{dih}}$  is updated every time step. If  $U^{\text{dih}}$  becomes larger than  $U_{\text{max}}^{\text{dih}}$ ,  $U_{\text{max}}^{\text{dih}}$  is set to the larger value. To determine the initial value of  $U_{\text{max}}^{\text{dih}}$ , the default value is set to a large negative number.

**dih\_min** *Real*

**Default: 99999999.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Minimum of the dihedral energy of the system,  $U_{\text{min}}^{\text{dih}}$ . When **update\_period** is not zero,  $U_{\text{min}}^{\text{dih}}$  is updated every time step. If  $U^{\text{dih}}$  becomes smaller than  $U_{\text{min}}^{\text{dih}}$ ,  $U_{\text{min}}^{\text{dih}}$  is set to the smaller value. To determine the initial value of  $U_{\text{min}}^{\text{dih}}$ , the default value is set to a large positive number.

**dih\_ave** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Average of the dihedral energy of the system,  $U_{\text{ave}}^{\text{dih}}$ .

**dih\_dev** *Real*

**Default: 0.0** (unit: kcal/mol)

Standard deviation of the dihedral energy of the system,  $\sigma_U^{\text{dih}}$ .

## 17.2 Examples

Example of a GaMD simulation to determine initial parameters. To obtain the initial guess of the boost potential, (pot\_max, pot\_min, pot\_ave, pot\_dev, dih\_max, dih\_min, dih\_ave, dih\_dev) are calculated from a short simulation without boosting.

```
[GAMD]
gamd           = yes
boost          = no
boost_type     = DUAL
thresh_type    = LOWER
sigma0_pot     = 6.0
sigma0_dih     = 6.0
update_period  = 50000
```

Example of a GaMD simulation updating parameters. The boost potential is updated every *update\_period* during the simulation.

```
[GAMD]
gamd          = yes
boost         = yes
boost_type    = DUAL
thresh_type   = LOWER
sigma0_pot    = 6.0
sigma0_dih    = 6.0
update_period = 500
pot_max       = -20935.8104
pot_min       = -21452.3778
pot_ave       = -21183.9911
pot_dev       = 78.1207
dih_max       = 16.4039
dih_min       = 8.5882
dih_ave       = 11.0343
dih_dev       = 1.0699
```

Example of a GaMD simulation for production. In order to fix the parameters (`pot_max`, `pot_min`, `pot_ave`, `pot_dev`, `dih_max`, `dih_min`, `dih_ave`, `dih_dev`), `update_period` is set to 0.

```
[GAMD]
gamd          = yes
boost         = yes
boost_type    = DUAL
thresh_type   = LOWER
sigma0_pot    = 6.0
sigma0_dih    = 6.0
update_period = 0
pot_max       = -20669.2404
pot_min       = -21452.3778
pot_ave       = -20861.5224
pot_dev       = 48.9241
dih_max       = 23.2783
dih_min       = 8.5882
dih_ave       = 13.3806
dih_dev       = 1.7287
```

Example of a GaREUS simulation. The same GaMD parameters are applied in each replica of REUS. After the simulation, the two-step reweighting procedure using the multistate Bennett acceptance ratio method and the cumulant expansion for the exponential average is required to obtain the unbiased free-energy landscapes.

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 1
exchange_period = 5000
type1          = RESTRAINT
nreplica1      = 4
rest_function1 = 1

[GAMD]
gamd          = yes
boost         = yes
boost_type    = DUAL
thresh_type   = LOWER
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```
sigma0_pot      = 6.0
sigma0_dih      = 6.0
update_period   = 0
pot_max         = -26491.7344
pot_min         = -27447.4316
pot_ave         = -26744.5742
pot_dev         = 52.5674
dih_max         = 135.8921
dih_min         = 91.2309
dih_ave         = 116.8572
dih_dev         = 3.6465

[SELECTION]
group1 = rno:1  and an:CA
group2 = rno:10 and an:CA

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions      = 1
function1       = DISTMASS
constant1       = 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0
reference1      = 5.0 6.0 7.0 8.0
select_index1   = 1 2
```

## QMMM SECTION

### 18.1 Quantum mechanics/Molecular mechanics method (QM/MM)

*QM/MM is available only in ATDYN.*

The QM/MM method, first proposed in seminal papers by Warshel, Levitt, and Karplus [106] [107], is a multi-scale approach, which treats a partial region of interest (QM region) by quantum chemistry, and the surrounding environment (MM region) by force field. The method is valid, particularly when the QM region involves an event that cannot be described by the standard force field; for example, chemical reactions, spectroscopy, etc.

In the QM/MM method, the potential energy of the system is written as,

$$V(\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_m) = V^{\text{QM}}(\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_m) + V_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{QM-MM}}(\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_m) + V^{\text{MM}}(\mathbf{R}_m),$$

where  $\mathbf{R}_a$  and  $\mathbf{R}_m$  denote the positions of atoms in QM and MM regions, respectively.  $V_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{QM-MM}}$  and  $V^{\text{MM}}$  are the Lennard-Jones interactions between QM-MM atoms and the force field for MM atoms, respectively. The QM energy,  $V^{\text{QM}}$ , is written in terms of the electronic energy and the Coulomb interaction between nucleus-nucleus and nucleus-MM atoms,

$$V^{\text{QM}}(\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_m) = E_e(\mathbf{R}_a, \mathbf{R}_m) + \sum_{a>a'} \frac{Z_a Z_{a'}}{r_{aa'}} + \sum_{a,m} \frac{Z_a q_m}{r_{am}},$$

where  $Z_a$  and  $q_m$  are the charge of nucleus and MM atoms, respectively, and  $r_{aa'}$  and  $r_{am}$  denote the nucleus-nucleus and nucleus-MM distances, respectively. The electronic energy is given by solving the Schrödinger equation for electrons,

$$\left[ -\frac{1}{2} \sum_i \nabla_i^2 + \sum_{i>j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}} - \sum_{i,a} \frac{Z_a}{r_{ia}} - \sum_{i,m} \frac{q_m}{r_{im}} \right] |\Psi_e\rangle = E_e |\Psi_e\rangle,$$

where  $i$ ,  $a$ , and  $m$  are indices for electrons, nucleus, and MM atoms, respectively, and  $r_{XY}$  denotes the distance between particle  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**GENESIS** does not have a function to solve the electronic Schrödinger equation but relies on external QM programs, which provide the QM energy, its derivatives, and other information. The interface is currently available for Gaussian, Q-Chem, TeraChem, DFTB+, and QSimulate.

- [Gaussian09/Gaussian16](http://gaussian.com) (<http://gaussian.com>)
- [Q-Chem](http://www.q-chem.com) (<http://www.q-chem.com>)
- [TeraChem](http://www.petachem.com) (<http://www.petachem.com>)
- [DFTB+](https://www.dftbplus.org) (<https://www.dftbplus.org>)
- [QSimulate](https://qsimulate.com/academic) (<https://qsimulate.com/academic>)

GENESIS/QSimulate is interfaced via shared libraries and seamlessly uses the MPI parallelization, thereby facilitating high performance QM/MM-MD simulations [96]. A ready-to-use Singularity image is provided by QSimualte Inc. See [QSimulate](https://qsimulate.com/academic) (<https://qsimulate.com/academic>) for further information.

Other QM programs are invoked via a system call function of Fortran. In this scheme, the input file of a QM calculation is first generated, followed by executing a script to run a QM program and reading the information from QM output files. For more information on the method and implementation, see Ref.[108]. Samples of a QM input file (**qmcnt**) and a script (**qmexe**) are available in our [github](https://github.com/yagikiyoshi/QMMMscripts) (<https://github.com/yagikiyoshi/QMMMscripts>)

In order to run QM/MM calculations, users add the **[QMMM]** section in the control file. Available options are listed in the following.

---

**qmtyp** *DFTB+ / GAUSSIAN / QCHEM / TERACHEM / QSIMULATE*

The QM program to use in QM/MM calculations.

**qmatm\_select\_index** *Integer*

Index of a group of atoms which is treated as QM atoms. Link hydrogen atoms are automatically added based on a bond connectivity (e.g., given by a PSF file). The index must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)).

**qmcnt** *Character*

A template input file of QM calculations.

**qmexe** *Character*

A script file to execute a QM program.

**workdir** *Character*

**Default : qmmm**

The name of a directory where QM input/output files are generated. The replica ID is added after this name, e.g., qmmm.0, qmmm.1, etc.

**basename** *Character*

**Default : N/A**

The basename of input / output files of QM calculations.

**qmsave\_period** *Integer*

**Default : 1**

Frequency to save input / output files for QM calculations.

**savedir** *Character*

**Default : N/A**

If present, QM files are copied from **workdir** to this directory. It is typically the case that QM calculations are carried out within a node, and the whole simulation (such as REMD) accross nodes. Then, it is useful for a better performance to set **workdir** to a local disk of each node with fast access (e.g., /dev/shm), and copy the QM files to **savedir** with a frequency specified by **qmsave\_period**.

**qmmxtrial** *Integer*

**Default : 1**

The maximum number of trial run for QM calculations. When a QM calculation fails, **GENESIS** repeats the calculation until the iteration reaches this number. The SCF threshold is lowered, if the SCF threshold option is present in the QM control file.

**exclude\_charge** *ATOM / GROUP / AMBER*

**Default: GROUP**

This option specifies how to exclude the MM charge in the vicinity of a QM-MM boundary to avoid overpolarization of QM electron density. When the CHARMM force field is used, *ATOM* excludes only the charge of MM link atom, while *GROUP* excludes the charges of all MM atoms that belongs to the same group as a MM atom at the boundary. When the AMBER force field is used, *AMBER* excludes the charge of MM link atom and distributes it to rest of the system evenly.

---

**Note:** Since version 1.6.1, QM/MM supports both CHARMM and AMBER force field for the MM. Please keep in mind that **qmmm\_generator** is available to only CHARMM.

---



---

**Note:** The QM/MM calculation must be carried out in non-PBC. A non-PBC system can be created from MD trajectory (pdb, dcd) using **qmmm\_generator** in the analysis tool. See [the tutorial of QM/MM](https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/tutorials2022/tutorial-15-3) (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/tutorials2022/tutorial-15-3>) for more details.

---

## 18.2 Examples

In the following example, the atoms from # 1 to 14 are selected as QM atoms by **[SELECTION]** section. The QM program is Gaussian. A directory **qmmm\_min** is created, where input and output files for Gaussian (jobXXXX.inp and jobXXXX.log) are saved every 10 steps.

```
[SELECTION]
group1 = atomno:1-14

[QMMM]
qmatm_select_index = 1
qmtyp              = gaussian
qmcent             = gaussian.com
qmexe              = runGau.sh
workdir            = qmmm_min
basename           = job
qmsave_period      = 10
```

The following example is for DFTB+. Because DFTB calculations typically take < 1 sec per one snapshot, I/O to generate the input and read output could be non-negligible. It is recommended to set **workdir** to a fast disk such as /dev/shm. The input and output files of DFTB+ will be copied to qmmm\_min every 100 steps.

```
[QMMM]
qmatm_select_index = 1
qmtyp              = dftb+
qmcnt              = dftb.hsd
qmexe              = runDFTB.sh
workdir            = /dev/shm/qmmm_min
savedir            = qmmm_min
basename           = job
qmsave_period      = 100
```



## VIBRATION SECTION

### 19.1 Normal mode analysis

*Vibration is available only in ATDYN.*

In the [VIBRATION] section, users can specify keywords for molecular vibrational analysis. Vibrational analysis in GENESIS is done for a subsystem, i.e., for a molecule of interest in the system.

In the normal mode analysis, the potential energy surface (PES) is truncated at the second-order Taylor expansion around the equilibrium geometry,

$$V \simeq V_0 + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i,j}^{3N} h_{ij} \xi_i \xi_j,$$

where  $N$  is the number of atoms in the subsystem,  $\xi_i = \sqrt{m_i} x_i$  are the mass-weighted coordinates and  $h_{ij}$  is the Hessian matrix,

$$h_{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{m_i m_j}} \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}.$$

In this approximation, the exact solution to the vibrational Schrödinger equation can be obtained by diagonalization of the Hessian matrix,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{H}\mathbf{C} &= \omega^2 \mathbf{C}, \\ Q_i &= \sum_{j=1}^{3N} C_{ji} \xi_j. \end{aligned}$$

yielding the normal modes ( $\mathbf{Q}$ ) and the harmonic frequencies ( $\omega$ ). The Hessian matrix is calculated by numerical differentiations of the gradient,

$$\frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \simeq \frac{1}{2\delta_i} \left( \frac{\partial V(+\delta_i)}{\partial x_j} - \frac{\partial V(-\delta_i)}{\partial x_j} \right).$$

This step requires  $6N$  number of gradient calculations, which are parallelized over MPI processors.

The information is output to a minfo file, which can be visualized by a molecular vibrational program, **SINDO** (<https://tms.riken.jp/en/research/software/sindo/>).

---

**runmode** *HARM / QFF / GRID*

**Default : HARM**

Specifies the type of calculation. **HARM** invokes the harmonic analysis. **QFF** and **GRID** are options for generating anharmonic potential (see below).

**nreplica** *Integer*

**Default : 1**

The number of MPI processes.

**vibatm\_select\_index** *Integer*

**Default: N/A**

Indices of a group of atoms to specify a target subsystem for vibrational analyses. The indices must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)).

**output\_minfo\_atm** *Integer*

**Default: N/A**

Indices of a group of atoms, which are printed to a minfo file in addition to the target subsystem. This option is useful when one would like to visualize the atoms surrounding the target subsystem. The indices must be defined in **[SELECTION]** (see [Selection section](#)).

**diff\_stepsize** *Real*

**Default : 0.01** (unit: Å)

The size of numerical differentiations when generating the Hessian matrix.

**minfo\_folder** *Character*

**Default : minfo.files**

The name of a directory where intermediate minfo files are stored. If the directory and intermediate files are present, the program restarts from where it ended in the last run.

---

**Note:** The geometry of the subsystem needs to be optimized prior to the vibrational analysis.  $\text{RMSG} < 0.35 \text{ kcal/mol/Å}$  is recommended.

---

## 19.2 Anharmonic vibrational calculations

Anharmonic vibrational calculations take into account the third- and higher-order terms of the PES neglected in the normal mode analysis, thereby yielding a more accurate result. **runmode=QFF** generates a quartic force field (QFF), which is the fourth-order Taylor expansion,

$$V(\mathbf{Q}) = V_0 + \sum_i c_{ii} Q_i^2 + \sum_{i,j,k} c_{ijk} Q_i Q_j Q_k + \sum_{i,j,k,l} c_{ijkl} Q_i Q_j Q_k Q_l.$$

Another mode is **runmode=GRID**, which generates the anharmonic PES over grid points. The grid points are provided by *MakePES* routine of SINDO, and GENESIS calculates the PES at those points. Finally, the anharmonic PES is generated and the vibrational Schrödinger equation is solved by SINDO.

### gridfile Character

**Default : makeQFF.xyz** for QFF and **makeGrid.xyz** for GRID

The name of a file containing the XYZ coordinates of grid points for generating the anharmonic PES. The xyz file is generated by MakePES module of SINDO.

### datafile Character

**Default : makeGrid.dat**

The name of a file containing the energy, dipole moment, etc. at grid points specified by **gridfile**. The file is used by SINDO for generating GRID potentials.

## 19.3 Examples

In the following example, the vibrational analysis is performed for a subsystem (phosphate ion) composed of segment ID = PO4 (group3). 2 MPI processors are used to calculate the gradients at grid points of numerical differentiations. The output is written to a minfo file, where the coordinates of target atoms (group3) and residues (water molecules) 3.0 Å around the target atoms (group4) are printed.

```
[OUTPUT]
minfofile = qmmm_harm.minfo

[VIBRATION]
runmode           = HARM
nreplica          = 2
vibatm_select_index = 3
output_minfo_atm  = 4

[SELECTION]
...
group3 = sid:PO4
group4 = sid:PO4 around_res: 3.0
```

The following example illustrates the generation of QFF,

```
[VIBRATION]
runmode          = QFF
nreplica         = 2
vibatm_select_index = 3
gridfile         = makeQFF.xyz
minfo_folder     = minfo.files
```

The following example illustrates the generation of Grid PES,

```
[VIBRATION]
runmode          = GRID
nreplica         = 2
vibatm_select_index = 3
gridfile         = makeGrid.xyz
datafile         = makeGrid.dat
```

For more details, see [tutorial-15-4](https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/tutorials2022/tutorial-15-4/) (<https://www.r-ccs.riken.jp/labs/cbrt/tutorials2022/tutorial-15-4/>).

## EXPERIMENTS SECTION

### 20.1 Cryo-EM flexible fitting

#### 20.1.1 Theory

Cryo-electron microscopy (Cryo-EM) is one of the most powerful tools to determine three-dimensional structures of biomolecules at near atomic resolution. Flexible fitting has been widely utilized to model the atomic structure from the experimental density map [109]. One of the commonly used methods is the MD-based flexible fitting [110] [111]. In this method, the total potential energy is defined as the summation of a force field  $V_{FF}$  and a biasing potential  $V_{EM}$  that guides the protein structure towards the target density:

$$V_{total} = V_{FF} + V_{EM}$$

In the *c.c.*-based approach [109], one of the commonly used formulas for  $V_{EM}$  is

$$V_{EM} = k(1 - c.c.)$$

where  $k$  is the force constant, and *c.c.* is the cross-correlation coefficient between the experimental and simulated EM density maps, calculated as

$$c.c. = \frac{\sum_{ijk} \rho^{\text{exp}}(i, j, k) \rho^{\text{sim}}(i, j, k)}{\sqrt{\sum_{ijk} \rho^{\text{exp}}(i, j, k)^2 \sum_{ijk} \rho^{\text{sim}}(i, j, k)^2}}$$

where  $i$ ,  $j$ , and  $k$  are voxel indexes in the density map, and  $\rho^{\text{exp}}$  and  $\rho^{\text{sim}}$  are the experimental and simulated EM densities, respectively.

The simulated densities are usually computed using a Gaussian mixture model, where a 3D Gaussian function is applied on the Cartesian coordinates of each target atom (i.e., protein atom), and all contributions are integrated in each voxel of the map. Here, several schemes have been proposed, in which the Gaussian function is weighted with the atomic number [112] or the mass [113], or it is simply applied to non-hydrogen atoms [109]. In **GENESIS**, the last scheme is implemented. The simulated density of each voxel is defined as:

$$\rho^{\text{sim}}(i, j, k) = \sum_{n=1}^N \int \int \int_{V_{ijk}} g_n(x, y, z) dx dy dz$$

where  $V_{ijk}$  is the volume of the voxel,  $N$  is the total number of non-hydrogen atoms in the system, and  $n$  is the index of the atom. The Gaussian function  $g_n(x, y, z)$  is given by

$$g_n(x, y, z) = \exp \left[ -\frac{3}{2\sigma^2} \left\{ (x - x_n)^2 + (y - y_n)^2 + (z - z_n)^2 \right\} \right]$$

where  $(x_n, y_n, z_n)$  are the coordinates of the  $n$ -th atom,  $\sigma$  determines the width of the Gaussian function, and the generated EM density has the resolution of  $2\sigma$  in the map.

### 20.1.2 Control parameters

In GENESIS, the EM biasing force is treated as a “restraint” (see [Restraints section](#)). The force constant of the biasing potential is given in the [RESTRAINTS] section in a similar manner as the other restraint potentials, where “functionN = EM” is specified for the restraint type (see below). The unit of the force constant is kcal/mol. In the cryo-EM flexible fitting, the users add the [EXPERIMENTS] section in the control file, and specify the keywords listed below. Note that the [FITTING] section (see [Fitting section](#)) is not related to the cryo-EM flexible fitting.

The flexible fitting can be combined with various methods such as the replica-exchange umbrella-sampling scheme (REUSfit) [114], all-atom Go-model (MDfit) [115], and GB/SA implicit solvent model. The method is parallelized with the hybrid MPI+OpenMP scheme in both ATDYN and SPDYN, and also accelerated with GPGPU calculation in SPDYN [116].

**emfit** *YES / NO*

**Default : NO**

Turn on or off the cryo-EM flexible fitting.

**emfit\_target** *Character*

**Default : N/A**

The file name of the target EM density map. The available file format is MRC/CCP4 (ver. 2000 or later) or SITUS (<https://situs.biomachina.org/>), which is automatically selected according to the file extension. The file extension should be “.map”, “.mrc”, or “.ccp4” for MRC/CCP4, and “.sit” for SITUS.

**emfit\_sigma** *Real*

**Default : 2.5** (unit : Å)

Resolution parameter of the simulated map. This is usually set to half of the resolution of the target map. For example, if the target map resolution is 5 Å, “emfit\_sigma=2.5” is a reasonable choice.

**emfit\_tolerance** *Real*

**Default : 0.001**

This variable determines the tail length of the Gaussian function. For example, if “emfit\_tolerance=0.001” is specified, the Gaussian function is truncated to zero when it is less than 0.1% of the maximum value. Smaller value requires large computational cost.

**emfit\_zero\_threshold** *Real*

**Default : 0.0**

This variable determines a threshold to set zero-densities in the target EM map. If the density in a voxel of the target map is under a given “emfit\_zero\_threshold”, the density is set to zero.

**emfit\_period** *Integer***Default : 1**

Update frequency of the EM biasing force. In the case of “emfit\_period=1”, the force is updated every step (slow but accurate).

**20.1.3 Usage in SPDYN**

There are some limitations in the cryo-EM flexible fitting with SPDYN. Here, we assume that the user performs the flexible fitting with explicit solvent under periodic boundary conditions (PBC). With PBC, there is a unit cell at the center of the system (red box in Fig. 20.1, left panel), which is surrounded by 26 image cells. In GENESIS, the center of the unit cell is always at the origin  $(X, Y, Z) = (0, 0, 0)$ . Thus, the coordinates of the edge of the unit cell are  $(X, Y, Z) = 0.5 \times (\pm \text{box\_size\_x}, \pm \text{box\_size\_y}, \pm \text{box\_size\_z})$ . Please keep in mind that the “water box position” of the initial structure does NOT always correspond with the “unit cell position”. If the user constructed the initial structure without considering the unit cell position, the center of mass of the system might be largely shifted from the origin like in Fig. 20.1, right panel, which does not represent any problem in a typical MD simulation.




Fig. 20.1: Limitation of the cryo-EM flexible fitting in SPDYN.

However, as shown in Fig. 20.1, left panel, all fitting atoms should satisfy the following condition due to the parallelization algorithms implemented in SPDYN:

$$\begin{aligned} -0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_x} + \text{margin} &< x < 0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_x} - \text{margin} \\ -0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_y} + \text{margin} &< y < 0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_y} - \text{margin} \\ -0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_z} + \text{margin} &< z < 0.5 \times \text{box\_size\_z} - \text{margin} \end{aligned}$$

where  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are the coordinates of each fitting atom. Here, the margin size should be larger than  $0.5 \times \text{pairlistdist}$ . If the fitting atoms are located outside this region, as shown in Fig. 20.1, right panel, a correct flexible fitting calculations cannot be done. In such cases, the users must translate the center of mass of the target protein and density map to the origin by using external tools. For the translation of the density map, the *map2map* tool in SITUS is useful. This kind of limitations does not exist in ATDYN.

## 20.2 Examples

### 20.2.1 Control parameters for the cryo-EM flexible fitting

The following is an example of the cryo-EM flexible fitting using  $k = 10,000$  kcal/mol for the 4.1 Å resolution map. The other sections are common to the conventional MD simulations.

```
[SELECTION]
group1      = all and not hydrogen

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions   = 1
function1    = EM                # apply EM biasing potential
constant1    = 10000             # force constant in Eem = k*(1 - c.c.)
select_index1 = 1                # apply force on protein heavy atoms

[EXPERIMENTS]
emfit        = YES               # perform EM flexible fitting
emfit_target  = emd_8623.sit     # target EM density map
emfit_sigma   = 2.05             # half of the map resolution (4.1 Å)
emfit_tolerance = 0.001          # Tolerance for error (0.1%)
emfit_period  = 1                # emfit force update period
```

The following is an example of REUSfit using 8 replicas, where the force constants 100–800 kcal/mol are assigned to each replica, and exchanged during the simulation (see also [REMD section](#)).

```
[REMD]
dimension     = 1
exchange_period = 1000
type1         = RESTRAINT
nreplica1     = 8
rest_function1 = 1

[SELECTION]
group1      = all and not hydrogen

[RESTRAINTS]
nfunctions   = 1
function1    = EM
constant1    = 100 200 300 400 500 600 700 800
select_index1 = 1

[EXPERIMENTS]
emfit        = YES
emfit_target  = target.sit
emfit_sigma   = 5
emfit_tolerance = 0.001
emfit_period  = 1
```



## 20.2.2 Log messages in the cryo-EM flexible fitting

Here, we show examples of the log message obtained from the flexible fitting in the NPT ensemble. In the case of ATDYN, the cross-correlation-coefficient (c.c.) between the experimental and simulated density maps is displayed in the column “RESTR\_CVS001”, if the EM biasing potential is specified in “function1” in the [RESTRAINTS] section:

|   |              |              |             |               |            |
|---|--------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|------------|
| [STEP5] Perform Molecular Dynamics Simulation |              |              |             |               |            |
| INFO:   | STEP         | TIME         | TOTAL_ENE   | POTENTIAL_ENE | KINETIC_   |
| ↪ENE  |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | RMSG         | BOND         | ANGLE       | UREY-BRADLEY  | ↪          |
| ↪DIHEDRAL                                     |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | IMPROPER     | CMAP         | VDWAALS     | ELECT         | RESTRAINT_ |
| ↪TOTAL  |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | RESTRAINT001 | RESTR_CVS001 | TEMPERATURE | VOLUME        | ↪          |
| ↪BOXX   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | BOXY         | BOXZ         | VIRIAL      | PRESSURE      | ↪          |
| ↪PRESSXX                                      |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | PRESSYY      | PRESSZZ      |             |               |            |
| -----   |              |              |             |               |            |
| ↪-  |              |              |             |               |            |
| INFO:   | 500          | 1.0000       | -93200.5069 | -116131.3374  | 22930.     |
| ↪8305   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | 7.6630       | 884.5022     | 2334.7396   | 304.3716      | 2954.      |
| ↪2380   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | 186.7872     | -168.9763    | 10278.5281  | -133282.7986  | 377.       |
| ↪2708   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | 377.2708     | 0.8114       | 301.5002    | 364999.3065   | 89.        |
| ↪1785   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | 63.9758      | 63.9758      | -15748.8082 | -86.7133      | -90.       |
| ↪5768   |              |              |             |               |            |
|   | -73.8258     | -95.7371     |             |               |            |

In the case of SPDYN, c.c. is displayed in the column “EMCORR”:

|                 |             |           |             |               |          |
|-----------------|-------------|-----------|-------------|---------------|----------|
| INFO:           | STEP        | TIME      | TOTAL_ENE   | POTENTIAL_ENE | KINETIC_ |
| ↪ENE            |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | RMSG        | BOND      | ANGLE       | UREY-BRADLEY  | ↪        |
| ↪DIHEDRAL       |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | IMPROPER    | CMAP      | VDWAALS     | ELECT         | ↪        |
| ↪EMCORR         |             |           |             |               |          |
| RESTRAINT_TOTAL | TEMPERATURE | VOLUME    | BOXX        |               | ↪        |
| ↪BOXY           |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | BOXZ        | VIRIAL    | PRESSURE    | PRESSXX       | ↪        |
| ↪PRESSYY        |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | PRESSZZ     |           |             |               |          |
| -----           |             |           |             |               |          |
| ↪-              |             |           |             |               |          |
| INFO:           | 500         | 1.0000    | -93589.1620 | -116347.3335  | 22758.   |
| ↪1715           |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | 7.5975      | 932.4707  | 2369.3892   | 300.5418      | 2926.    |
| ↪0992           |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | 171.4987    | -141.8994 | 10611.0971  | -133889.5003  | 0.       |
| ↪8135           |             |           |             |               |          |
|                 | 372.9695    | 299.2301  | 364820.2154 | 89.1639       | 63.      |
| ↪9654           |             |           |             |               |          |

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

|       |         |             |          |          |     |
|-------|---------|-------------|----------|----------|-----|
| ↔3734 | 63.9654 | -14423.7954 | 140.6472 | 311.6641 | 19. |
|       | 90.9039 |             |          |          |     |

## ALCHEMY SECTION

### 21.1 Free energy perturbation (FEP)

In the **[Alchemy]** section, the users can specify keywords for the free-energy perturbation (FEP) method, which is one of the alchemical free energy calculations. The FEP method calculates the free-energy difference between two states by gradually changing a part of the system from one state to another. Since the free energy depends only on the initial and final states, any intermediate states can be chosen, regardless of whether they are physically realizable. If the intermediate states are physically unrealizable but computationally realizable, the calculation and thermodynamic process are referred to as the alchemical free-energy calculation and alchemical process, respectively. Using alchemical processes, the FEP method can be applied to various free-energy calculations, such as solvation free energies, binding free energies, and free-energy changes upon protein mutations. In particular, absolute binding free energies can be calculated by gradually vanishing a ligand of interest, while relative binding free energies can be calculated by gradually changing one ligand to another.

GENESIS can perform various alchemical calculations using dual-topology and hybrid-topology approaches, soft-core potentials, and lambda-exchange calculations based on REMD. GPGPU acceleration is also available in FEP simulations. The short-range non-bonded interactions (LJ and PME real part) are calculated by GPU, while the long-range interactions (PME reciprocal part) are calculated by CPU. Currently, **SPDYN** supports only CHARMM and AMBER force fields for FEP simulations. The FEP method is not available in **ATDYN**. This section briefly summarizes the FEP functions in GENESIS and shows some examples.

#### 21.1.1 Theory of FEP

The FEP method calculates the free-energy difference between two states, A and B, using the following equation.

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta F &= F_B - F_A \\ &= -k_B T \ln \frac{\int dx \exp[-\beta U_B(x)]}{\int dx \exp[-\beta U_A(x)]} \\ &= -k_B T \ln \frac{\int dx \exp[-\beta U_A(x) - \beta \Delta U(x)]}{\int dx \exp[-\beta U_A(x)]} \\ &= -k_B T \ln \langle \exp[-\beta \Delta U(x)] \rangle_A ,\end{aligned}$$

where  $F$  and  $U$  are the free energy and potential energy of state A or B,  $\Delta U$  is the difference between  $U_A$  and  $U_B$ , and  $x$  is the configuration of the system. The bracket in the final line represents the ensemble average at state A. This equation means that  $\Delta F$  can be estimated by sampling only equilibrium configurations of the state A. However, if  $\Delta U$  is considerably large, there will be a little energy-distribution

overlap (Left of Fig. 21.1). In this case, configurations at state B are poorly sampled by simulations at the state A, leading to significant statistical errors. To reduce the errors,  $n - 2$  intermediate states are inserted between states A and B to guarantee overlapping energy distributions (Right of Fig. 21.1). The potential energy of the intermediate state  $i$  is defined by  $U(\lambda_i) = (1 - \lambda_i)U_A + \lambda_i U_B$ , where  $\lambda_i$  is the scaling parameter for connecting the initial and final states. By changing  $\lambda_i$  from A to B, states A and B can be connected smoothly.  $\Delta F$  can be estimated by calculating the summation of free-energy changes between adjacent states:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta F &= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \Delta F_i \\ &= -k_B T \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \ln \langle \exp[-\beta(U(\lambda_{i+1}) - U(\lambda_i))] \rangle_{\lambda_i},\end{aligned}$$

where the subscript  $\lambda_i$  represents the ensemble average at state  $i$ . The states of  $i = 0$  and  $n$  correspond to state A and B, respectively.




Fig. 21.1: Insertion of intermediate states. Some intermediate states are inserted between the reference and target states to overlap energy distributions.

### 21.1.2 Modified Hamiltonian

In conventional FEP (cFEP), to smoothly connect two thermodynamic states, the scaling factors of  $\lambda_i$  are introduced to the non-bonded energy as described above. However, when the PME method is used for electrostatic calculations, cFEP requires two reciprocal-space calculations, because  $U_A$  and  $U_B$  must be individually calculated to obtain the total electrostatic energy. This implies that twice FFT calculates must be required every time step compared to normal MD simulations, which largely decreases the computational performance. In particular for large chemical or biological systems, it is computational expensive, because the computational time of the FFT calculation increases as  $O(N \log N)$ , where  $N$  is the number of degrees of freedom in the system.

To overcome the problem in cFEP, instead of interpolation of the end state potential energies, another scaling method is proposed [117][118][119][120]. The partial charge of atom  $\alpha$ ,  $q_\alpha$ , which is involved in the perturbed parts (parts A or B), is scaled by  $1 - \lambda_i$  or  $\lambda_i$ . The interactions between non-perturbed atoms are not changed by this scaling, while the interactions between a perturbed atom and a non-perturbed one are changed as:

$$\begin{aligned}U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-nonpert}}(\lambda_i) &= \frac{(\lambda_i q_\alpha) q_\beta}{\epsilon r_{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_i \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{\epsilon r_{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_i U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-nonpert}},\end{aligned}$$

where  $U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-nonpert}}$  is an interaction energy between atoms  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which are perturbed and non-perturbed atoms, respectively.  $U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-nonpert}}$  without argument represents the non-scaled interaction (i.e., original one). Similarly, the interactions between perturbed atoms are changed as:

$$\begin{aligned} U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-pert}}(\lambda_i) &= \frac{(\lambda_i q_\alpha)(\lambda_i q_\beta)}{\epsilon r_{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_i^2 \frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{\epsilon r_{\alpha\beta}} \\ &= \lambda_i^2 U_{\alpha\beta}^{\text{pert-pert}} \end{aligned}$$

Since each energy term is scaled by 1,  $\lambda_i$ , or  $\lambda_i^2$ , the non-uniform scaling of  $\lambda_i$  is introduced into the Hamiltonian. The partial charge scaling can be considered just as the modification of the force field parameters, which implies that the modified Hamiltonian corresponds to the normal Hamiltonian for the system with the modified partial charges. The modified Hamiltonian can be calculated by applying the normal PME method (i.e., only one reciprocal-space calculation per each time step) to the system with the modified partial charges. The scheme of the modified Hamiltonian is referred to as modified FEP [120].

We note that the alchemical calculation paths used in cFEP and modified FEP are different. In intermediate states, the non-bonded energies of cFEP and modified FEP are different, even if the same lambda value is used. However, modified FEP can reproduce the results for cFEP, because the free-energy change depends only on the end states, at which both methods can correspond to states A or B [120]. The reduction of PME reciprocal-space calculations greatly improves the computational performance, in particular in the CPU+GPU hybrid computation (at most 35% speeding-up) [120]. The modified scaling can be applied to the LJ energy:  $\epsilon$  of atom  $i$  is scaled by  $1 - \lambda_i$  or  $\lambda_i$  [119]. In GENESIS version 2.1 or later, modified scalings for LJ and electrostatic energies are employed.

### 21.1.3 Dual topology approach

One of the most important applications of the FEP method is the calculation of protein-ligand binding affinity, which represents how strong a ligand binds to a protein. Drug discovery aims to find the optimal ligand from a large number of chemical compounds that bind on the target protein. The difference between binding affinities of two ligands, called the relative binding affinity, can be calculated by changing one ligand to another during the simulation. For example, consider the mutation from benzene to phenol (Fig. 21.2 (a)). States A and B represent benzene and phenol, respectively. The atoms of benzene except for a hydrogen atom are common to both ligands, which thus are unnecessary to be perturbed. On the other hand, the H atom of benzene and the OH atoms of phenol are different in their force field parameters and topologies. To minimize perturbation and treat the topological difference, topologies of two ligands are unified such that the atoms with different topologies connect with the common atoms (Fig. 21.2 (b)). This topology is called the dual topology, consisting of the common atoms, those included only in state A (dualA in Fig. 21.2 (b)), and those included only in state B (dualB in Fig. 21.2 (b)) [121][122][123]. The perturbation is applied to only the dualA and dualB parts.

The free-energy change upon the mutation can be calculated by gradually switching the interactions of the dual-topology part from benzene to phenol (Fig. 21.2 (c)). At state A, only the H atom exists in the dual-topology part, whereas the OH atoms do not interact with the other atoms in the system. During the alchemical transformation, the H atom gradually disappears, while the OH atoms gradually appears. At state B, only the OH atoms exist in the dual-topology part and interact with the other atoms. The non-bonded potential energy is defined as the following to smoothly connect state A with state B, by introducing  $\lambda_{LJ}$  and  $\lambda_{elec}$ :




Fig. 21.2: Dual topology approach. (a) Benzene and phenol. Common atoms, H atom of benzene, and OH atoms of phenol are in black, cyan, and green, respectively. (b) Dual topology of benzene and phenol. (c) The alchemical transformation from benzene to phenol.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\text{nonbond}} = & U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-other}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-common}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{common-common}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-other}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-common}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{common-common}} \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-dualA}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{common-dualA}}) + \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A{}^2 U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{dualA-dualA}} \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-dualB}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{common-dualB}}) + \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B{}^2 U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{dualB-dualB}} \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-dualA}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{common-dualA}}) + \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A{}^2 U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-dualA}} \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-dualB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{common-dualB}}) + \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B{}^2 U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualB-dualB}} \\
 & + \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-dualB}}
 \end{aligned}$$

where “common”, “dualA”, “dualB”, and “other” in the superscripts respectively represent the common atoms, the atoms existing only at state A, the atoms existing only at state B, and the other molecules including solvent molecules, proteins, or other ligands. For example,  $U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{common-dualA}}$  represents the LJ interaction between a common atom and a dualA atom. Here the modified Hamiltonian is employed for LJ and electrostatic potentials. The potential energy at  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B = 0$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B = 0$  corresponds to that of state A, while the energy at  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B = 1$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B = 1$  corresponds to that of state B. By gradually changing  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B$ , states A and B can be connected smoothly.

In modified FEP, the cross term,  $U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-dualB}}$ , appears in the electrostatic potential. Since this term becomes infinitely large when the dualA atoms overlap the dualB atoms, the term should be removed from the calculation of the potential. The real-space part (i.e., the short-range part) of the term can be excluded from the calculation, because the part is pairwise additive in the real-space calculation. In contrast, the reciprocal-space part (i.e., long-range part) of the cross term cannot be removed because the PME reciprocal-space calculation includes all pair interactions involving A-B interactions. However, this part of energy always has a small value even if the atoms in dualA and dualB parts overlap, and its gradient does not become infinite [120]. In GENESIS, the real-space part of the cross term is removed using the exclusion list, while the reciprocal-space part is included in the potential energy. The remaining cross term provides minor effect on the potential energy and might be not important in free-energy calculations [120].

In GENESIS, the dual-topology approach is available by specifying **fep\_topology = Dual** in the [ALCHEMY] section. The users should select the atoms of the dual-topology part in the [SELECTION] section and assign their group numbers to **dualA** and **dualB** in the [ALCHEMY] section.  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B$  can be specified by **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, and **lambelB**, respectively. An example is shown below.

```
[ALCHEMY]
fep_topology = Dual
dualA       = 1  # group1 in [SELECTION]
dualB       = 2  # group2 in [SELECTION]
lambljA     = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambljB     = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambelA     = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB     = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1      = ai:1      # atoms in dual A
group2      = ai:2-3    # atoms in dual B
```

In this example, five sets of  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B$  are used to connect state A to state B. In the [SELECTION] section, the H atom of benzene and the OH atoms of phenol are selected by group 1 and 2, respectively. The group IDs are specified as **dualA = 1** and **dualB = 2**.

#### 21.1.4 Hybrid topology approach

In the dual-topology approach, the force field parameters of common atoms are assumed to be the same in both states. However, in general, they should be different from each other. Fig. 21.3 (a) shows that the charge distribution on the aromatic ring of benzene is different from that of phenol. The parameters of the common atoms for the bond, angle, and dihedral terms are also different between the two states. To treat the difference in the force field parameters, one can superimpose the parts of the molecules with the same topology (Fig. 21.3 (b)) [124]. The superimposed part has a single topology, in which the parts corresponding to states A and B are referred to as “singleA” and “singleB”, respectively. During FEP simulations, the single-topology part does not change its topology, but its force field parameters (charge, LJ, and internal bond) are gradually changed from state A to state B (Fig. 21.3 (c)). In contrast, the other part has a dual topology, in which “dualA” and “dualB” correspond to states A and B, respectively. In the dual-topology part, their topology and parameters are changed (Fig. 21.3 (c)).

In the hybrid topology approach, the potential energy is scaled by  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}$ :




Fig. 21.3: Hybrid topology approach. (a) Benzene and phenol. H atom of benzene and OH atoms of phenol are in cyan and green, respectively. The point charges on common atoms are shown in red and magenta, which are determined using Amber Tools. (b) Hybrid topology of benzene and phenol. (c) The alchemical transformation from benzene to phenol.

$$\begin{aligned}
 U_{\text{nonbond}} &= U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-other}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-other}} \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-singleA}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-dualA}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A{}^2 (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{singleA-singleA}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{singleA-dualA}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{dualA-dualA}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-singleB}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{other-dualB}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B{}^2 (U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{singleB-singleB}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{singleB-dualB}} + U_{\text{LJ}}^{\text{dualB-dualB}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-singleA}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-dualA}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A{}^2 (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleA-singleA}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleA-dualA}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-dualA}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-singleB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{other-dualB}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B{}^2 (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleB-singleB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleB-dualB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualB-dualB}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{elec}}^A \lambda_{\text{elec}}^B (U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleA-singleB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{singleA-dualB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-singleB}} + U_{\text{elec}}^{\text{dualA-dualB}}) \\
 \\
 U_{\text{bond}} &= U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{other}} + U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{dualA}} + U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{dualB}} + U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{singleA-dualA}} + U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{singleB-dualB}} \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{bond}}^A (U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{singleA}}) \\
 &+ \lambda_{\text{bond}}^B (U_{\text{bond}}^{\text{singleB}})
 \end{aligned}$$

where “singleA”, “singleB”, “dualA”, and “dualB” in the superscripts respectively represent the atoms corresponding to “singleA”, “singleB”, “dualA”, and “dualB” parts, respectively, and “other” represents the other molecules including solvent molecules, proteins, or other ligands. Here the modified Hamiltonian is employed for LJ and electrostatic potentials. The potential energy at  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^A = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B = 0$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^B = 0$  corresponds to that of state A, while the energy at  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^A = 0$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B = 1$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B = 1$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^B = 1$  corresponds to that of state B. By gradually changing the lambda values, states A and B can be connected smoothly.



Similar to the dual-topology approach, there are some cross terms in the modified potential energy for the hybrid-topology approach. In GENESIS, the real-space part of the cross term is removed using the exclusion list, while the reciprocal-space part is included in the potential energy.

In GENESIS, the hybrid-topology approach is available by specifying **fep\_topology = Hybrid** in the [ALCHEMY] section. The users should select the atoms of the single-topology and dual-topology parts in the [SELECTION] section and assign their group numbers to **singleA**, **singleB**, **dualA**, and **dualB** in the [ALCHEMY] section.  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^A$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B$ ,  $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B$ , and  $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^B$  can be specified by **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, and **lambelB**, respectively. An example is shown below.

```
[ALCHEMY]
fep_topology = Hybrid
singleA      = 1  # group1 in [SELECTION]
singleB      = 2  # group2 in [SELECTION]
dualA        = 3  # group3 in [SELECTION]
dualB        = 4  # group4 in [SELECTION]
lambljA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambljB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambelA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambbondA    = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambbondB    = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1       = ai:1-11  # atoms in single A
group2       = ai:13-23 # atoms in single B
group3       = ai:12    # atoms in dual A
group4       = ai:24-25 # atoms in dual B
```

In this example, five sets of the lambda values are used to connect state A to state B. In the [SELECTION] section, the aromatic ring of benzene, the aromatic ring of phenol, the H atom of benzene, and the OH atoms of phenol are selected by group 1, 2, 3, and 4, respectively. The group IDs are specified as **singleA = 1**, **singleB = 2**, **dualA = 3**, and **dualB = 4**.

The hybrid-topology approach in GENESIS requires single-topology parts. If **singleA** and **singleB** are not specified with **fep\_topology = Hybrid**, GENESIS stops calculations. When the perturbed region includes only dual-topology parts, **fep\_topology = Dual** should be used.

### 21.1.5 Soft core potentials

In regions near the end points of alchemical calculations ( $\lambda_{\text{LJ}} = 0$  or 1), overlaps may occur between perturbed atoms or between perturbed and non-perturbed atoms, resulting in large energy changes. Consequently, the system may become unstable and the simulations may crash, which is called the end point catastrophe. To avoid the catastrophe, a “soft core” is introduced to the LJ potential [125]:

$$U_{\text{LJ}}(r_{ij}, \lambda_{\text{LJ}}) = 4\lambda_{\text{LJ}} \epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{r_{ij}^2 + \alpha_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{LJ}})} \right)^6 - \left( \frac{\sigma^2}{r_{ij}^2 + \alpha_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{LJ}})} \right)^3 \right],$$

where  $\alpha_{\text{sc}}$  is the parameter for the soft-core potential. In the potential,  $r_{ij}^2$  is shifted by  $\alpha_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{LJ}})$ , which weakens the repulsive part in the LJ potential when  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}$  approaches 0 (Fig. 21.4). It is important that the soft-core potential equals to the original LJ potential at the two end points of  $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}$ :

$$U_{\text{LJ}}(r_{ij}, \lambda_{\text{LJ}} = 1) = 4\epsilon \left[ \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^{12} - \left( \frac{\sigma}{r_{ij}} \right)^6 \right],$$

$$U_{\text{LJ}}(r_{ij}, \lambda_{\text{LJ}} = 0) = 0,$$

therefore, the soft-core modification in the LJ potential does not affect the free-energy calculation.  $\alpha_{\text{sc}}$  can be specified by a keyword **sc\_alpha** in the GENESIS control file.




Fig. 21.4: Soft core potential for Lennard-Jones interaction.

In GENESIS, the soft core is also applied to the electrostatic potential [126]:

$$U_{\text{elec}}(r_{ij}, \lambda_{\text{elec}}) = \lambda_{\text{elec}} \frac{q_i q_j \text{erfc}(\alpha \sqrt{r_{ij}^2 + \beta_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{elec}})})}{\epsilon \sqrt{r_{ij}^2 + \beta_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{elec}})}} + \lambda_{\text{elec}} (\text{PME reciprocal and self terms}),$$

where  $\beta_{\text{sc}}$  is the parameter for the electrostatic soft-core potential. In the potential,  $r_{ij}^2$  is also shifted to  $\beta_{\text{sc}}(1 - \lambda_{\text{elec}})$  like the LJ soft-core potential, which softens disruptions due to overlaps of point charges. This soft-core potential is almost the same as used in Amber [126].  $\beta_{\text{sc}}$  can be specified by a keyword **sc\_beta** in the GENESIS control file.

In GENESIS, the soft-core potentials are applied only to dual-topology parts. The non-bonded interactions in the single-topology parts are not modified.

### 21.1.6 FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD

To enhance the sampling efficiency, the FEP simulations at different  $\lambda$  values are coupled using the Hamiltonian replica exchange method [85][86]. This method is called FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD or  $\lambda$ -exchange FEP [90]. Replicas run in parallel and exchange their parameters at fixed intervals during the simulation. The exchanges between adjacent replicas are accepted or rejected according to Metropolis's criterion. In FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD simulations, [REMD] section is also required. **type1 = alchemy** is set to exchange the lambda values. The following is an example of the control file for the FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD simulation.

```
[REMD]
dimension      = 1
exchange_period = 500
type1          = alchemy
nreplica1      = 5

[ALCHEMY]
fep_topology = Hybrid
singleA      = 1 # group1 in [SELECTION]
singleB      = 2 # group2 in [SELECTION]
dualA        = 3 # group3 in [SELECTION]
dualB        = 4 # group4 in [SELECTION]
lamb1jA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lamb1jB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambelA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambbondA    = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambbondB    = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1       = ai:1-11 # atoms in single A
group2       = ai:13-23 # atoms in single B
group3       = ai:12 # atoms in dual A
group4       = ai:24-25 # atoms in dual B
```

## 21.2 Parameters for alchemy section

**fep\_direction** *Bothsides / Forward / Reverse*

**Default: Bothsides**

Direction of calculation of energy difference. When the current state of the simulation or the replica is  $i$ , GENESIS outputs the energy difference between state  $i$  and the adjacent state at a frequency determined by **fepout\_period**. If **fep\_direction = Forward**, the energy difference between states  $i$  and  $i + 1$  is output. If **fep\_direction = Reverse**, the energy difference between states  $i$  and  $i - 1$  is output. If **fep\_direction = Bothsides**, the energy differences between states  $i$  and  $i - 1$  and between states  $i$  and  $i + 1$  are output.

**fepout\_period** *Integer*

**Default: 100**

Period of outputting energy differences. The energy differences are output to the file specified by **fepfile** in the [OUTPUT] section. If **fepout\_period = 0**, the energy differences are not output and no **fepfile** is generated.

**fep\_topology** *Dual / Hybrid*

**Default: Hybrid**

Topology of perturbed region. If **fep\_topology = Dual**, the dual-topology approach is used. If **fep\_topology = Hybrid**, the hybrid-topology approach is used.

**singleA** *Integer or None*

**Default: N/A**

Group index for the single topology region of state A. If **singleA = None**, calculations for the region are skipped. If **fep\_topology = Hybrid**, this parameter must be specified.

**singleB** *Integer or None*

**Default: N/A**

Group index for the single topology region of state B. If **singleB = None**, calculations for the region are skipped. If **fep\_topology = Hybrid**, this parameter must be specified.

**dualA** *Integer or None*

**Default: N/A**

Group index for the dual topology region of state A. If **dualA = None**, calculations for the region are skipped.

**dualB** *Integer or None*

**Default: N/A**

Group index for the dual topology region of state B. If **dualB = None**, calculations for the region are skipped.

**sc\_alpha** *Real*

**Default: 5.0** (dimensionless)

Parameter for the soft-core potential for the Lennard-Jones interaction.

**sc\_beta** *Real*

**Default: 0.5** (dimensionless)

Parameter for the soft-core potential for the electrostatic interaction.

**equilsteps** *Integer*

**Default: 0**

Number of steps of equilibration at each lambda window. If **equilsteps > 0**, equilibration run is performed until the time step reaches **equilsteps**. During the equilibration, energy differences are not outputted. After the equilibration, production run is performed with outputting energy differences until the time step reaches **timesteps + equilsteps**.

**lambljA** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for Lennard-Jones interactions in state A ( $\lambda_{LJ}^A$ ).

**lambljB** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for Lennard-Jones interactions in state B ( $\lambda_{\text{LJ}}^B$ ).

**lambelA** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for electrostatic interactions in state A ( $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^A$ ).

**lambelB** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for electrostatic interactions in state B ( $\lambda_{\text{elec}}^B$ ).

**lambbondA** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for bonded interactions in state A ( $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^A$ ).

**lambbondB** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for bonded interactions in state B ( $\lambda_{\text{bond}}^B$ ).

**lambrest** *Real*

**Default: 1.0**

Scaling parameters for restraint interactions ( $\lambda_{\text{rest}}$ ).

**fep\_md\_type** *Serial / Single / Parallel*

**Default: Serial**

Type of FEP simulation. If **fep\_md\_type** = **Serial**, FEP simulations are performed with changing lambda values specified in **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, etc. For example, if 0.0, 0.5, and 1.0 are specified in **lambljA**, GENESIS first performs the FEP simulation with **lambljA** = **0.0**, subsequently performs the FEP simulation with **lambljA** = **0.5**, and finally performs the FEP simulation with **lambljA** = **1.0**. If **fep\_md\_type** = **Single**, a FEP simulation is performed with the lambda window specified in **ref\_lambid**. If **fep\_md\_type** = **Parallel**, each lambda window is simulated in parallel. In this case, **[REMD]** section must be specified.

**ref\_lambid** *Integer*

**Default: 0**

Reference window id for a single FEP MD simulation. If **fep\_md\_type** = **Single**, **ref\_lambid** must be specified.

## 21.3 Examples

Example of a calculation of the solvation free energy of a ligand. The solvation free energy corresponds to the free-energy change upon the transfer of the ligand from vacuum to solvent. In state A (= in solvent) the ligand fully interacts with solvent molecules, whereas in state B (= in vacuum) those interactions vanishes. To perform such calculation, the dual topology is employed, and **dualA** is set to the group ID of the selected ligand, while **dualB** is set to **NONE**. **dualB = NONE** means that there is no ligand in the system at state B. **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, and **lambelB** should be zero at state B.

```
[ALCHEMY]
fep_direction = Bothsides
fep_topology  = Dual
singleA       = NONE
singleB       = NONE
dualA         = 1
dualB         = NONE
fepout_period = 500
equilsteps    = 0
sc_alpha      = 5.0
sc_beta       = 0.5
lambljA       = 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 0.750 0.500 0.250 0.000
lambljB       = 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
lambelA       = 1.000 0.750 0.500 0.250 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
lambelB       = 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
lambbondA     = 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000
lambbondB     = 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000
lambrest      = 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000 1.000

[SELECTION]
group1 = segid:LIG
```

Example of an alchemical transformation between two ligands using serial FEP simulations. When the [REMD] section is not specified and more than one lambda values are specified, GENESIS performs serial calculations by changing lambda values. If **fep\_direction** is **Bothsides**, **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, **lambelB**, **lambbondA**, and **lambbondB** are first set to the leftmost values, which are “1.00”, “0.00”, “1.00”, “0.00”, “1.00”, and “0.00”, respectively, in the below example. After the **equilsteps + nsteps**-steps FEP simulation is performed with the set of the lambda values, the lambda values are changed to the second values from the left. In this way, GENESIS performs FEP simulations, changing lambda values. When the FEP simulation with the rightmost values of lambda finishes, GENESIS stops the calculation.

```
[ALCHEMY]
fep_direction = Bothsides
fep_topology  = Hybrid
singleA       = 1 # group1 in [SELECTION]
singleB       = 2 # group2 in [SELECTION]
dualA         = 3 # group3 in [SELECTION]
dualB         = 4 # group4 in [SELECTION]
fepout_period = 500
equilsteps    = 0
sc_alpha      = 5.0
sc_beta       = 5.0
lambljA       = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambljB       = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

lambelA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambbondA    = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambbondB    = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1       = ai:1-11    # atoms in single A
group2       = ai:13-23   # atoms in single B
group3       = ai:12      # atoms in dual A
group4       = ai:24-25   # atoms in dual B

```

Example of an alchemical transformation between two ligands at a set of lambda values. If the user wants to perform a FEP simulation with a specified set of lambda values, set **fep\_md\_type** to **Single** and assign the ID of the set of lambda values to **ref\_lambid**. In the following example, **ref\_lambid** is set to 3, which means that the third column of the lambda values: **lambljA = 0.5**, **lambljB = 0.5**, **lambelA = 0.5**, **lambelB = 0.5**, **lambbondA = 0.5**, and **lambbondB = 0.5**. If **fep\_direction = Bothsides**, the energy differences between “**ref\_lambid**”-th and “**ref\_lambid -1**”-th columns and between “**ref\_lambid**”-th and “**ref\_lambid +1**”-th columns are outputted into the fepout file. By using these function, the user can independently perform FEP simulations with different lambda values in parallel.

```

[ALCHEMY]
fep_direction    = Bothsides
fep_topology     = Hybrid
fep_md_type      = Single
ref_lambid       = 3
singleA          = 1    # group1 in [SELECTION]
singleB          = 2    # group2 in [SELECTION]
dualA            = 3    # group3 in [SELECTION]
dualB            = 4    # group4 in [SELECTION]
fepout_period    = 500
equilsteps       = 0
sc_alpha         = 5.0
sc_beta          = 5.0
lambljA          = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambljB          = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambelA          = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB          = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambbondA        = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambbondB        = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1           = ai:1-11    # atoms in single A
group2           = ai:13-23   # atoms in single B
group3           = ai:12      # atoms in dual A
group4           = ai:24-25   # atoms in dual B

```

Example of an alchemical transformation between two ligands using a parallel FEP simulation. When the [REMD] section is specified, GENESIS performs the FEP/ $\lambda$ -REMD simulation. Each lambda value in **lambljA**, **lambljB**, **lambelA**, **lambelB**, **lambbondA**, and **lambbondB** is assigned to each replica, and the FEP simulation in each replica is performed in parallel. The lambda values are exchanged at fixed intervals specified by **exchange\_period** during the simulation.

```
[REMD]
```

(continues on next page)

(continued from previous page)

```

dimension      = 1
exchange_period = 1000
type1          = alchemy
nreplica1      = 5

[ALCHEMY]
fep_direction  = Bothsides
fep_topology   = Hybrid
singleA        = 1  # group1 in [SELECTION]
singleB        = 2  # group2 in [SELECTION]
dualA          = 3  # group3 in [SELECTION]
dualB          = 4  # group4 in [SELECTION]
fepout_period  = 500
equilsteps     = 0
sc_alpha       = 5.0
sc_beta        = 5.0
lambljA        = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambljB        = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambelA        = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambelB        = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00
lambbondA      = 1.00 0.75 0.50 0.25 0.00
lambbondB      = 0.00 0.25 0.50 0.75 1.00

[SELECTION]
group1          = ai:1-11  # atoms in single A
group2          = ai:13-23 # atoms in single B
group3          = ai:12   # atoms in dual A
group4          = ai:24-25 # atoms in dual B

```



## TROUBLE SHOOTING

The followings are representative error messages that the users frequently encounter during the simulations. We describe possible reasons for each error message, and provide suggestions to solve the problem.

### **Compute\_Shake> SHAKE algorithm failed to converge**

This message indicates that the constraint of rigid bonds using the SHAKE algorithm (see [Constraints section](#)) failed with error. In most cases, SHAKE errors originate from insufficient equilibration, bad initial structures, and/or inappropriate input parameters. We recommend the users to check the following points:

- Reconsider the equilibration scheme. More moderate equilibration might be needed. For example, heating the system from 0 K, using a shorter timestep (e.g., 1.0 fs), and/or performing long energy minimization could be a possible solution.
- Check the initial structure very carefully. One of the frequent mistakes in the initial structure modeling is “ring penetration” of covalent bonds, where one covalent bond is accidentally inserted into an aromatic ring. Check and solve such erroneous structures first, and then try the simulation again.
- Some force field parameters are missing or wrong, which can easily cause unstable simulations.

### **Check\_Atom\_Coord> Some atoms have large clashes**

This message indicates that there is an atom pair whose distance is zero or close to zero. The indices of those atoms and the distance are displayed in a warning message: “WARNING: too short distance:”. This situation is not allowed, especially in **SPDYN**, since it can cause a numerical error in the lookup table method. Check the initial structure first. Even if you cannot see such atomic clashes, there may be a clash between the atoms in the unit cell and image cells in the case of the periodic boundary condition. One of the automatic solutions is to specify “contact\_check = YES” in the control file (see [Energy section](#)). However, this cannot work well, if the distance is exactly zero. In such cases, the problem should be solved by the users themselves. For example, the users may have to slightly move the clashing atoms manually, or specify larger or smaller box size, or rebuild the initial structure more carefully.

### **Setup\_Processor\_Number> Cannot define domains and cells. Smaller MPI processors, or shorter pairlistdist, or larger boxsize should be used**

This message indicates that the total number of MPI processors used in your calculation is not appropriate for your system. The users had better understand relations between the system size and number of MPI processors. In **SPDYN**, the system is divided into several

domains for parallel computation, where the number of domains must be equal to the number of MPI processors (see *Available Programs*). In most cases, this message tells you that the system could not be divided into the specified number of domains. Although there are mainly three solutions to this problem, the first one is the most recommended way:

- Use smaller number of MPI processors. If it can work, the previous number was too large to handle the system.
- Use shorter pairlistdist. This treatment can make a domain size smaller, allowing to use a larger number of MPI processors. However, this is not recommended, if you are already using a recommended parameter set for switchdist, cutoffdist, and pairlistdist (e.g., 10, 12, and 13.5 Å in the CHARMM force field)
- Build a larger initial structure by adding solvent molecules in the system, which may allow the users to divide the system into the desired number of domains.

**Update\_Boundary\_Pbc> too small boxsize/pairdist. larger boxsize or shorter pairdist should be used.**

This message indicates that your system is too small to handle in the periodic boundary condition. In **ATDYN**, cell-linked list method is used to make non-bonded pairlists, where the cell size is determined to be close to and larger than the pairlist distance given in the control file. In addition, the total number of cells in x, y, and z dimensions must be at least three. **SPDYN** has a similar lower limitation in the available box size. Therefore, in order to solve this problem, the users may have to set a shorter pairlistdist, or build a larger system by adding much solvent molecules.

**Compute\_Energy\_Experimental\_Restraint\_Emfit> Gaussian kernel is extending outside the map box**

This message indicates that the simulated densities were generated outside of the target density map. This error can frequently happen, when then atoms to be fitted are located near the edge of the target density map.

- Create a larger density map by adding a sufficient margin to the map, which can be easily accomplished with the “voledit” tool in SITUS (<https://situs.biomachina.org/>).
- Examine a normal MD simulation by turning off the EM biasing potential (emfit = NO). If the simulation is still unstable, there is likely an issue in the molecular mechanics calculation rather than the biasing potential calculation. In such cases, please check the initial structure carefully. There might be large clashes between some atoms, which can cause explosion of the target molecule, and push some atoms out of the density map. The problems to be solved are almost the same as those in the SHAKE errors (see above).

**Compute\_Energy\_Restraints\_Pos> Positional restraint energy is too big**

This message indicates that some atoms to be restrained are significantly deviated from the reference position, indicating that the restraint is not properly applied to such atoms. This situation is not allowed in **SPDYN**.

- Use a larger force constant to keep their position near the reference.
- Turn off the positional restraint for such atoms if it is not essential.

## 23.1 Install the requirements in Linux

In the first sub-section, we explain how to install the requirements using the package manager “apt” in Ubuntu/Debian. If you want to install them from the source codes, or if you want to use other Linux systems like CentOS, please see the second sub-section.

### 23.1.1 For Ubuntu/Debian users

#### GNU compilers and build tools

First, we install compilers and build tools.

```
$ sudo apt update
$ sudo apt install build-essential
$ sudo apt install gfortran autoconf automake
```

#### OpenMPI

Then, we install OpenMPI. Note that the development version (XXX-dev) should be installed.

```
$ sudo apt install openmpi-bin libopenmpi-dev

$ which mpirun mpif90 mpicc
/usr/bin/mpirun
/usr/bin/mpif90
/usr/bin/mpicc
```

#### LAPACK/BLAS libraries

Finally, we install LAPACK/BLAS libraries. Again, development version (XXX-dev) is installed.

```
$ sudo apt install liblapack-dev

$ ls /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/liblapack.*
$ ls /usr/lib/x86_64-linux-gnu/libblas.*
```

### 23.1.2 For CentOS/RedHat users

Here, we explain how to install OpenMPI and LAPACK/BLAS libraries from the source codes. We assume that the users already installed GNU compilers. The following schemes are commonly applicable to typical Linux systems including CentOS and Red Hat.

#### OpenMPI

The source code of OpenMPI is available in <https://www.open-mpi.org/>. The following commands install OpenMPI 3.1.5 in the user's local directory "\$HOME/Software/mpi" as an example. Here, we use GNU compilers (gcc, g++, and gfortran).

```
$ cd $HOME
$ mkdir Software
$ cd Software

$ mkdir build
$ cd build

$ wget https://download.open-mpi.org/release/open-mpi/v3.1/openmpi-3.1.5.
  ↪tar.gz

$ tar -xvf openmpi-3.1.5.tar.gz
$ cd openmpi-3.1.5

$ ./configure --prefix=$HOME/Software/mpi CC=gcc CXX=g++ F77=gfortran_
  ↪FC=gfortran

$ make all
$ make install
```

The following information is added in "~/.bash\_profile" (or "~/.bashrc").

```
MPIROOT=$HOME/Software/mpi
export PATH=$MPIROOT/bin:$PATH
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$MPIROOT/lib:$LD_LIBRARY_PATH
export MANPATH=$MPIROOT/share/man:$MANPATH
```

Launch another terminal window or reload "~/.bash\_profile" (or "~/.bashrc"):

```
$ source ~/.bash_profile
```

The OpenMPI tools should be installed in "\$HOME/Software/mpi/bin".

```
$ which mpirun mpif90 mpicc
~/Software/mpi/bin/mpirun
~/Software/mpi/bin/mpif90
~/Software/mpi/bin/mpicc
```

If you want to uninstall OpenMPI, just remove the directory "mpi" in "Software".

## LAPACK/BLAS libraries

The source code of LAPACK/BLAS is available in <http://www.netlib.org/lapack/>. The following commands install LAPACK 3.10.1 in the user's local directory "\$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1" as an example. The BLAS library is also installed. We use GNU compilers (gcc and gfortran).

```
$ cd $HOME/Software
$ wget https://github.com/Reference-LAPACK/lapack/archive/refs/tags/v3.10.1.tar.gz
$ tar -xvf lapack-3.10.1.tar.gz
$ cd lapack-3.10.1

$ cp make.inc.example make.inc
$ make blaslib
$ make lapacklib

$ ls lib*
liblapack.a  librefblas.a

$ ln -s librefblas.a ./libblas.a
```

The following information is added in "~/.bash\_profile" (or "~/.bashrc").

```
export LAPACK_PATH=$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1
```

Launch another terminal window or reload "~/.bash\_profile" (or "~/.bashrc"):

```
$ source ~/.bash_profile
```

If you want to uninstall LAPACK/BLAS, just remove the directory "lapack-3.10.1" in "Software".

## 23.2 Install the requirements in Mac

We recommend the Mac users to utilize “Xcode” for the installation of GENESIS, and also to install “OpenMPI” from the source code to avoid a “clang” problem (see below).

### 23.2.1 Install general tools

#### Xcode and Homebrew

“Xcode” is available in the Mac App Store (<https://developer.apple.com/xcode/>), and it is free of charge. After the installation of Xcode, all tasks described below will be done on “Terminal”. The “Terminal app” is in the “Utilities” folder in Applications. Please launch the Terminal. This terminal is almost same with that in Linux.

We recommend you to further install “Homebrew”, which enables easy installation of various tools such as compilers. If you have already installed “MacPorts”, you do not need to install “Homebrew” to avoid a conflict between “Homebrew” and “MacPorts”. In the Homebrew website (<https://brew.sh/>), you can find a long command like “`/usr/bin/ruby -e "$(curl -fsSL https://..."`. To install homebrew, execute that command in the Terminal prompt.

#### GNU compilers and build tools

First, we install “gcc”, “autoconf”, “automake”, and other tools via homebrew:

```
$ brew install gcc
$ brew install autoconf
$ brew install automake
$ brew install wget
```

To confirm the installation of “gcc”, let us type the following commands:

```
$ which gcc
/usr/bin/gcc

$ gcc --version
...
Apple LLVM version 10.0.1 (clang-1001.0.46.4)
```

These messages tell us that “gcc” is installed in the “/usr/bin” directory. However, this gcc is not a “real” GNU compiler, and it is linked to another compiler “clang”. If you use this gcc for the installation of OpenMPI, it can cause a trouble in compiling **GENESIS** with a certain option. Therefore, you have to use a “real” GNU compiler, which is actually installed in “/usr/local/bin”. For example, if you have installed gcc ver. 9, you can find it as “gcc-9” in “/usr/local/bin”.

```
$ ls /usr/local/bin/gcc*
/usr/local/bin/gcc-9      /usr/local/bin/gcc-ar-9      ...

$ gcc-9 --version
gcc-9 (Homebrew GCC 9.2.0) 9.2.0
```

## 23.2.2 Install libraries

### OpenMPI

We then install “OpenMPI”. We specify “real” GNU compilers explicitly in the configure command. The following commands install OpenMPI in the user’s local directory “\$HOME/Software/mpi”.

```
$ cd $HOME
$ mkdir Software
$ cd Software
$ mkdir build
$ cd build

$ wget https://download.open-mpi.org/release/open-mpi/v3.1/openmpi-3.1.5.
  ↪tar.gz

$ tar -xvf openmpi-3.1.5.tar.gz
$ cd openmpi-3.1.5

$ ./configure --prefix=$HOME/Software/mpi CC=gcc-9 CXX=g++-9 F77=gfortran-
  ↪9 FC=gfortran-9

$ make all
$ make install
```

The following information is added in “~/.bash\_profile” (or “~/.bashrc”).

```
MPIROOT=$HOME/Software/mpi
export PATH=$MPIROOT/bin:$PATH
export LD_LIBRARY_PATH=$MPIROOT/lib:$LD_LIBRARY_PATH
export MANPATH=$MPIROOT/share/man:$MANPATH
```

Launch another terminal window or reload “~/.bash\_profile” (or “~/.bashrc”):

```
$ source ~/.bash_profile
```

The OpenMPI tools should be installed in “\$HOME/Software/mpi/bin”.

```
$ which mpirun mpif90 mpicc
/Users/[username]/Software/mpi/bin/mpirun
/Users/[username]/Software/mpi/bin/mpif90
/Users/[username]/Software/mpi/bin/mpicc
```

Make sure that “mpicc” and “mpif90” are linked to “gcc-9” and “gfortran-9”, respectively.

```
$ mpicc --version
gcc-9 (Homebrew GCC 9.2.0) 9.2.0

$ mpif90 --version
GNU Fortran (Homebrew GCC 9.2.0) 9.2.0
```

If you want to uninstall OpenMPI, just remove the directory “mpi” in “Software”.

## LAPACK/BLAS libraries

Finally, we install LAPACK and BLAS libraries. Again, “real” GNU compilers are used for the install.

```
$ cd $HOME/Software
$ wget https://github.com/Reference-LAPACK/lapack/archive/refs/tags/v3.10.
  ↪1.tar.gz
$ tar -xvf v3.10.1.tar.gz
$ cd lapack-3.10.1

$ cp make.inc.example make.inc
```

In the “make.inc” file, there are three lines to be modified:

```
# CC is the C compiler, normally invoked with options CFLAGS.
#
CC      = gcc-9
...

# should not compile LAPACK with flags such as -ffpe-trap=overflow.
#
FORTRAN = gfortran-9
...

# load options for your machine.
#
LOADER  = gfortran-9
...
```

After the modification, we install BLAS and LAPACK libraries:

```
$ make blaslib
$ make lapacklib

$ ls lib*
liblapack.a  librefblas.a

$ ln -s librefblas.a ./libblas.a
```

The following information is added in “~/.bash\_profile” (or “~/.bashrc”).

```
export LAPACK_PATH=$HOME/Software/lapack-3.10.1
```

Launch another terminal window or reload “~/.bash\_profile” (or “~/.bashrc”):

```
$ source ~/.bash_profile
```

If you want to uninstall LAPACK/BLAS, just remove the directory “lapack-3.10.1” in “Software”.



## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] D.A. Case, I.Y. Ben-Shalom, S.R. Brozell, D.S. Cerutti, T.E. Cheatham III, V.W.D. Cruzeiro, T.A. Darden, R.E. Duke, D. Ghoreishi, M.K. Gilson, H. Gohlke, A.W. Goetz, D. Greene, R. Harris, N. Homeyer, S. Izadi, A. Kovalenko, T. Kurtzman, T.S. Lee, S. LeGrand, P. Li, C. Lin, J. Liu, T. Luchko, R. Luo, D.J. Mermelstein, K.M. Merz, Y. Miao, G. Monard, C. Nguyen, H. Nguyen, I. Omelyan, A. Onufriev, F. Pan, R. Qi, D.R. Roe, A. Roitberg, C. Sagui, S. Schott-Verdugo, J. Shen, C.L. Simmerling, J. Smith, R. Salomon-Ferrer, J. Swails, R.C. Walker, J. Wang, H. Wei, R.M. Wolf, X. Wu, L. Xiao, D.M. York, and P.A. Kollman. Amber18. University of California, San Francisco, 2018.
- [2] B. R. Brooks, C. L. Brooks, A. D. Mackerell, L. Nilsson, R. J. Petrella, B. Roux, Y. Won, G. Archontis, C. Bartels, S. Boresch, A. Caflisch, L. Caves, Q. Cui, A. R. Dinner, M. Feig, S. Fischer, J. Gao, M. Hodoscek, W. Im, K. Kuczera, T. Lazaridis, J. Ma, V. Ovchinnikov, E. Paci, R. W. Pastor, C. B. Post, J. Z. Pu, M. Schaefer, B. Tidor, R. M. Venable, H. L. Woodcock, X. Wu, W. Yang, D. M. York, and M. Karplus. CHARMM: The biomolecular simulation program. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 30:1545–1614, 2009. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21287>, doi:10.1002/jcc.21287 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.21287>).
- [3] S. Pronk, S. Páll, R. Schulz, P. Larsson, P. Bjelkmar, R. Apostolov, M. R. Shirts, J. C. Smith, P. M. Kasson, D. van der Spoel, B. Hess, and E. Lindahl. GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit. *Bioinformatics*, 29:845–854, 2013.
- [4] K. J. Bowers, E. Chow, H. Xu, R. O. Dror, M. P. Eastwood, B. A. Gregersen, J. L. Klepeis, I. Kolossvary, M. A. Moraes, F. D. Sacerdoti, J. K. Salmon, Y. Shan, and D. E. Shaw. Scalable algorithms for molecular dynamics simulations on commodity clusters. In *SC 2006 Conference, Proceedings of the ACM/IEEE*, 11–17. IEEE, 2006.
- [5] J. C. Phillips, R. Braun, W. Wang, J. Gumbart, E. Tajkhorshid, E. Villa, C. Chipot, R. D. Skeel, L. Kalé, and K. Schulten. Scalable molecular dynamics with NAMD. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26:1781–1802, 2005. URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20289>, doi:10.1002/jcc.20289 (<https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20289>).
- [6] D. A. Case, T. E. Cheatham, T. Darden, H. Gohlke, R. Luo, K. M. Merz, A. Onufriev, C. Simmerling, B. Wang, and R. J. Woods. The Amber biomolecular simulation programs. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 26:1668–1688, 2005.
- [7] A. D. MacKerell, D. Bashford, M. Bellott, R. L. Dunbrack, J. D. Evanseck, M. J. Field, S. Fischer, J. Gao, H. Guo, S. Ha, D. Joseph-McCarthy, L. Kuchnir, K. Kuczera, F. T. K. Lau, C. Mattos, S. Michnick, T. Ngo, D. T. Nguyen, B. Prodhom, W. E. Reiher, B. Roux, M. Schlenkrich, J. C. Smith, R. Stote, J. Straub, M. Watanabe, J. Wiorkiewicz-Kuczera, D. Yin, and M. Karplus. All-atom empirical potential for molecular modeling and dynamics studies of proteins. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 102:3586–3616, 1998.

- [8] A. D. MacKerell, M. Feig, and C. L. Brooks. Improved treatment of the protein backbone in empirical force fields. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 126:698–699, 2004.
- [9] W. L. Jorgensen, D. S. Maxwell, and J. Tirado-Rives. Development and Testing of the OPLS All-Atom Force Field on Conformational Energetics and Properties of Organic Liquids. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 118:11225–11236, 1996.
- [10] C. Oostenbrink, A. Villa, A. E. Mark, and W. F. Van Gunsteren. A biomolecular force field based on the free enthalpy of hydration and solvation: The GROMOS force-field parameter sets 53A5 and 53A6. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 25:1656–1676, 2004.
- [11] J. Jung, T. Mori, and Y. Sugita. Efficient lookup table using a linear function of inverse distance squared. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 34:2412–2420, 2013.
- [12] J. Jung, T. Mori, and Y. Sugita. Midpoint cell method for hybrid (MPI+OpenMP) parallelization of molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 35:1064–1072, 2014.
- [13] J. Huang, S. Rauscher, G. Nawrocki, T. Ran, M. Feig, B. L. de Groot, H. Grubmüller, and A. D. MacKerell Jr. CHARMM36m: an improved force field for folded and intrinsically disordered proteins. *Nat. Methods*, 14:71–73, 2017.
- [14] W. Humphrey, A. Dalke, and K. Schulten. VMD: Visual molecular dynamics. *J. Mol. Graph.*, 14:33–38, 1996.
- [15] S. Jo, T. Kim, V. G. Iyer, and W. Im. CHARMM GUI: A web based graphical user interface for CHARMM. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 29:1859–1865, 2008.
- [16] W. D. Cornell, P. Cieplak, C. I. Bayly, I. R. Gould, K. M. Merz, D. M. Ferguson, D. C. Spellmeyer, T. Fox, J. W. Caldwell, and P. A. Kollman. A Second Generation Force Field for the Simulation of Proteins, Nucleic Acids, and Organic Molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 117:5179–5197, 1995.
- [17] H. Taketomi, Y. Ueda, and N. Go. Studies on protein folding, unfolding and fluctuations by computer simulation. *nt. J. Peptide Proteins Res.*, 7:445–459, 1975.
- [18] S.J. Marrink, H.J. Risselada, S. Yefimov, D.P. Tieleman, and A.H. de Vries. The MARTINI force-field: coarse grained model for biomolecular simulations. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 111:7812–7824, 2007.
- [19] P. C. Whitford, J. K. Noel, S. Gosavi, A. Schug, K. Y. Sanbonmatsu, and J. N. Onuchic. An all-atom structure-based potential for proteins: Bridging minimal models with all-atom empirical forcefields. *Proteins: Structure, Function, and Bioinformatics*, 75:430–441, 2009.
- [20] J. K. Noel, P. C. Whitford, K. Y. Sanbonmatsu, and J. N. Onuchic. SMOG@ctbp: simplified deployment of structure based models in GROMACS. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 38:W657–W661, 2010.
- [21] J. K. Noel, M. Levi, M. Raghunathan, H. Lammert, R. L. Hayes, J. N. Onuchic, and P. C. Whitford. SMOG 2: A Versatile Software Package for Generating Structure Based Models. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 12:e1004794, 2016.
- [22] J. Karanicolas and C. L. Brooks, III. The origins of asymmetry in the folding transition states of protein L and protein G. *Protein Sci.*, 11:2351–2361, 2002.
- [23] J. Karanicolas and C. L. Brooks III. Improved Go-like models demonstrate the robustness of protein folding mechanisms towards non-native interactions. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 334:309–325, 2003.
- [24] M. Feig, J. Karanicolas, and C. L. III Brooks. MMTSB Tool Set: enhanced sampling and multiscale modeling methods for applications in structural biology. *J. Mol. Graph. Model.*, 22:377–395, 2004.
- [25] CHARMM. <http://www.charmm.org/>.

- [26] AMBER. <http://ambermd.org/>.
- [27] Gromacs. <http://www.gromacs.org/>.
- [28] J. B. Klauda, R. M. Venable, J. A. Freites, J. W. O'Connor, D. J. Tobias, C. Mondragon-Ramirez, I. Vorobyov, and R. W. Pastor. Update of the charmm all-atom additive force field for lipids: validation on six lipid types. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 114:7830–7843, 2010.
- [29] R. B. Best, X. Zhu, J. Shim, P. E. M. Lopes, J. Mittal, M. Feig, and A. D. MacKerell. Optimization of the additive CHARMM all-atom protein force field targeting improved sampling of the backbone  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and side-Chain  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  dihedral angles. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.*, 8:3257–3273, 2012.
- [30] J. Huang and A. D. MacKerell. CHARMM36 all-atom additive protein force field: Validation based on comparison to NMR data. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 34:2135–2145, 2013.
- [31] L. Monticelli, S.K. Kandasamy, X. Periole, R.G. Larson, D.P. Tieleman, and S.J. Marrink. The MARTINI coarse grained forcefield: extension to proteins. *J. Chem. Theo. Comput.*, 4:819–834, 2008.
- [32] C. Clementi, H. Nymeyer, and J. Onuchic. Topological and energetic factors: what determines the structural details of the transition state ensemble and “en-route” intermediates for protein folding? an investigation for small globular proteins. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 298:937–953, 2000.
- [33] C. Tian, K. Kasavajhala, K. A. A. Belfon, L. Raguette, L. Raguette, H. Huang, A. N. Migués, J. Bickel, Y. Wang, J. Pincay, Q. Wu, and C. Simmerling. ff19SB: Amino-Acid-Specific Protein Backbone Parameters Trained again Quantum Mechanics Energy Surfaces in Solution. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 16:528–552, 2020.
- [34] L. Verlet. Computer Experiments on Classical Fluids .I. Thermodynamical Properties of Lennard-Jones Molecules. *Phys. Rev.*, 159:98–103, 1967.
- [35] P. J. Steinbach and B. R. Brooks. New Spherical-Cutoff Methods for Long-Range Forces in Macromolecular Simulation. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 15:667–683, 1994.
- [36] A. Onufriev, D. Bashford, and D. A. Case. Exploring protein native states and large scale conformational changes with a modified generalized born model. *Proteins*, 55:383–394, 2004.
- [37] J. Weiser, P. S. Shenkin, and W. C. Still. Approximate atomic surfaces from linear combinations of pairwise overlaps (LCPO). *J. Comput. Chem.*, 20:217–230, 1999.
- [38] T. Lazaridis and M. Karplus. Effective energy function for proteins in solution. *Proteins*, 35:133–152, 1999.
- [39] T. Lazaridis. Effective energy function for proteins in lipid membranes. *Proteins*, 52:176–192, 2003.
- [40] T. Mori and Y. Sugita. Implicit Micelle Model for Membrane Proteins Using Superellipsoid Approximation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 16:711–724, 2020.
- [41] T. Darden, D. York, and L. Pedersen. Particle mesh Ewald: An  $N\log(N)$  method for Ewald sums in large systems. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 98:10089–10092, 1993.
- [42] U. Essmann, L. Perera, M. L. Berkowitz, T. Darden, H. Lee, and L. G. Pedersen. A smooth particle mesh Ewald method. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 103:8577–8593, 1995.
- [43] J. Jung, C. Kobayashi, T. Imamura, and Y. Sugita. Parallel implementation of 3D FFT with volumetric decomposition schemes for efficient molecular dynamics simulations. *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, 200:57–65, 2016.

- [44] D. Takahashi. FFTE: A Fast Fourier Transform Package. <http://www.ffte.jp/>.
- [45] L. Nilsson. Efficient Table Lookup Without Inverse Square Roots for Calculation of Pair Wise Atomic Interactions in Classical Simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 30:1490–1498, 2009.
- [46] T. Mori, N. Miyashita, W. Im, M. Feig, and Y. Sugita. Molecular dynamics simulations of biological membranes and membrane proteins using enhanced conformational sampling algorithms. *BBA-Biomembranes*, 1858:1635–1651, 2016.
- [47] W. C. Still, A. Tempczyk, R. C. Hawley, and T. Hendrickson. Semianalytical treatment of solvation for molecular mechanics and dynamics. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 112:6127–6129, 1990.
- [48] D. Eisenberg and A. D. McLachlan. Solvation energy in protein folding and binding. *Nature*, 319:199–203, 1986.
- [49] M. Schaefer and C. Froemmel. A Precise Analytical Method for Calculating the Electrostatic Energy of Macromolecules in Aqueous Solution. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 216:1045–1066, 1990.
- [50] T. Lazaridis. Structural Determinants of Transmembrane beta-Barrels. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 1:716–722, 2005.
- [51] C. Tan, J. Jung, C. Kobayashi, D. Ugarte La Torre, S. Takada, and Y. Sugita. Implementation of residue-level coarse-grained models in GENESIS for large-scale molecular dynamics simulations. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 18(4):e1009578, 2022.
- [52] W. Li, W. Wang, and S. Takada. Energy landscape views for interplays among folding, binding, and allostery of calmodulin domains. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 111(29):10550–10555, 2014.
- [53] T. Terakawa and S. Takada. Multiscale Ensemble Modeling of Intrinsically Disordered Proteins: p53 N-Terminal Domain. *Biophys. J.*, 101(6):1450–1458, 2011.
- [54] G. S. Freeman, D. M. Hinckley, and J. J. de Pablo. A coarse-grain three-site-per-nucleotide model for DNA with explicit ions. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 135(16):165104, 2011.
- [55] D. M. Hinckley, G. S. Freeman, J. K. Whitmer, and J. J. de Pablo. An experimentally-informed coarse-grained 3-site-per-nucleotide model of DNA: Structure, thermodynamics, and dynamics of hybridization. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 139(14):144903, 2013.
- [56] G. S. Freeman, D. M. Hinckley, J. P. Lequieu, J. K. Whitmer, and J. J. de Pablo. Coarse-grained modeling of DNA curvature. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 141(16):165103, 2014.
- [57] C. Tan and S. Takada. Dynamic and Structural Modeling of the Specificity in Protein–DNA Interactions Guided by Binding Assay and Structure Data. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 14(7):3877–3889, 2018.
- [58] T. Niina, G. B. Brandani, C. Tan, and S. Takada. Sequence-dependent nucleosome sliding in rotation-coupled and uncoupled modes revealed by molecular simulations. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 13(12):e1005880, 2017.
- [59] G. B. Brandani, T. Niina, C. Tan, and S. Takada. DNA sliding in nucleosomes via twist defect propagation revealed by molecular simulations. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 46(6):2788–2801, 2018.
- [60] G. L. Dignon, W. Zheng, Y. C. Kim, R. B. Best, and J. Mittal. Sequence determinants of protein phase behavior from a coarse-grained model. *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 14(1):e1005941, 2018.
- [61] N. Hori and S. Takada. Coarse-Grained Structure-Based Model for RNA-Protein Complexes Developed by Fluctuation Matching. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 8(9):3384–3394, 2012.
- [62] J. Jung, K. Kasahara, C. Kobayashi, H. Oshima, T. Mori, and Y. Sugita. Optimized Hydrogen Mass Repartitioning Scheme Combined with Accurate Temperature/Pressure Evaluations

- for Thermodynamic and Kinetic Properties of Biological Systems. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 17:5312–5321, 2021.
- [63] J. Schlitter, M. Engels, and P. Kruger. Targeted molecular dynamics: a new approach for searching pathways of conformational transitions. *J. Mol. Graph.*, 12:84–89, 1994.
  - [64] T. Mori, G. Terashi, D. Matsuoka, D. Kihara, and Y. Sugita. Efficient Flexible Fitting Refinement with Automatic Error Fixing for De Novo Structure Modeling from Cryo-EM Density Maps. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 61:3516–3528, 2021.
  - [65] J. P. Ryckaert, G. Ciccotti, and H. J. C. Berendsen. Numerical-Integration of Cartesian Equations of Motion of a System with Constraints - Molecular-Dynamics of N-Alkanes. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 23:327–341, 1977.
  - [66] H. C. Andersen. Rattle - a Velocity Version of the Shake Algorithm for Molecular-Dynamics Calculations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 52:24–34, 1983.
  - [67] S. Miyamoto and P. A. Kollman. Settle - an Analytical Version of the Shake and Rattle Algorithm for Rigid Water Models. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 13:952–962, 1992.
  - [68] H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. Vangunsteren, A. Dinola, and J. R. Haak. Molecular-Dynamics with Coupling to an External Bath. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 81:3684–3690, 1984.
  - [69] E. Braun, S. M. Moosavi, and S. Smit. Anomalous Effects of Velocity Rescaling Algorithms: The Flying Ice Cube Effect Revisited. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 14:5262–5272, 2018.
  - [70] G. Bussi, D. Donadio, and M. Parrinello. Canonical sampling through velocity rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 126:014101, 2007.
  - [71] G. J. Martyna, M. L. Klein, and M. Tuckerman. Nose-Hoover Chains - the Canonical Ensemble Via Continuous Dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 97:2635–2643, 1992.
  - [72] G. J. Martyna, M. E. Tuckerman, D. J. Tobias, and D. J. Klein. Explicit reversible integrators for extended systems dynamics. *Mol. Phys.*, 87:1117, 1996.
  - [73] S. A. Adelman and J. D. Doll. Generalized Langevin Equation Approach for Atom-Solid-Surface Scattering - General Formulation for Classical Scattering Off Harmonic Solids. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 64:2375–2388, 1976.
  - [74] D. Quigley and M. I. J. Probert. Langevin dynamics in constant pressure extended systems. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 120:11432–11441, 2004.
  - [75] Y. Zhang, S. E. Feller, B. R. Brooks, and Pastor R. W. Computer simulation of liquid/liquid interfaces. I. Theory and application to octane/water. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 103:10252–10266, 1995.
  - [76] J. Jung and Y. Sugita. Group-based Evaluation of Temperature and Pressure for Molecular Dynamics Simulation with a Large Time Step. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 153:234115, 2020.
  - [77] G. Bussi, T. Zykova-Timan, and M. Parrinello. Isothermal-isobaric molecular dynamics using stochastic velocity rescaling. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 130:074101, 2009.
  - [78] C. Kandt, W. L. Ash, and D. P. Tieleman. Setting up and running molecular dynamics simulations of membrane proteins. *Method*, 41:475–488, 2007.
  - [79] Y. Sugita and Y. Okamoto. Replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for protein folding. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 314:141–151, 1999.
  - [80] A. Mitsutake, Y. Sugita, and Y. Okamoto. Generalized-ensemble algorithms for molecular simulations of biopolymers. *Biopolymers*, 60:96–123, 2001.

- [81] Y. Mori and Y. Okamoto. Generalized-ensemble algorithms for the isobaric-isothermal ensemble. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 79:074003, 2010.
- [82] Y. Mori and Y. Okamoto. Replica-exchange molecular dynamics simulations for various constant temperature algorithms. *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, 79:074001, 2010.
- [83] T. Okabe, M. Kawata, Y. Okamoto, and M. Mikami. Replica-exchange Monte Carlo method for the isobaric-isothermal ensemble. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 335:435–439, 2001.
- [84] T. Mori, J. Jung, and Y. Sugita. Surface-tension replica-exchange molecular dynamics method for enhanced sampling of biological membrane systems. *J. Chem. Theory. Comput.*, 9:5629–5640, 2013.
- [85] Y. Sugita, A. Kitao, and Y. Okamoto. Multidimensional replica-exchange method for free-energy calculations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 113:6042–6051, 2000.
- [86] H. Fukunishi, O. Watanabe, and S. Takada. On the Hamiltonian replica exchange method for efficient sampling of biomolecular systems: Application to protein structure prediction. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 116:9058–9067, 2002.
- [87] T. Terakawa, T. Kameda, and S. Takada. On Easy Implementation of a Variant of the Replica Exchange with Solute Tempering in GROMACS. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 32:1228–1234, 2011.
- [88] M. Kamiya and Y. Sugita. Flexible selection of the solute region in replica exchange with solute tempering: Application to protein-folding simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 149:072304, 2018.
- [89] P. Liu, B. Kim, R. A. Friesner, and B. J. Berne. Replica exchange with solute tempering: A method for sampling biological systems in explicit water. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 102:13749–13754, 2005.
- [90] W. Jiang, M. Hodoseck, and B. Roux. Computation of Absolute Hydration and Binding Free Energy with Free Energy Perturbation Distributed Replica-Exchange Molecular Dynamics. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 5:2583–2588, 2009.
- [91] S. Re, H. Oshima, K. Kasahara, M. Kamiya, and Y. Sugita. Encounter complexes and hidden poses of kinase-inhibitor binding on the free-energy landscape. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 116:18404–18409, 2019.
- [92] L. Maragliano, A. Fischer, E. Vanden-Eijnden, and G. Ciccotti. String method in collective variables: minimum free energy paths and isocommittor surfaces. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 125:24106, 2006.
- [93] L. Maragliano and E. Vanden-Eijnden. On-the-fly string method for minimum free energy paths calculation. *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 446:182–190, 2007.
- [94] A. C Pan, D. Sezer, and B. Roux. Finding transition pathways using the string method with swarms of trajectories. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 112:3432–3440, 2008.
- [95] Y. Matsunaga, Y. Komuro, C. Kobayashi, J. Jung, T. Mori, and Y. Sugita. Dimensionality of Collective Variables for Describing Conformational Changes of a Multi-Domain Protein. *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 7:1446–1451, 2016.
- [96] K. Yagi, S. Ito, and Y. Sugita. Exploring the Minimum-Energy Pathways and Free-Energy Profiles of Enzymatic Reactions with QM/MM Calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 125:4701–4713, 2021.
- [97] W. E, W. Ren, and E. Vanden-Eijnden. Simplified and improved string method for computing the minimum energy paths in barrier-crossing events. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 126:164103, 2007.
- [98] D. Sheppard, R. Terrell, and G. Henkelman. Optimization methods for finding minimum energy paths. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 128:134106, 2008.

- [99] Y. Miao, V. A. Feher, and J. A. McCammon. Gaussian Accelerated Molecular Dynamics: Unconstrained Enhanced Sampling and Free Energy Calculation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 11:3584–3595, 2015.
- [100] Y. T. Pang, Y. Miao, Y. Wang, and J. A. McCammon. Gaussian Accelerated Molecular Dynamics in NAMD. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 13:9–19, 2017.
- [101] D. Hamelberg, J. Mongan, and J. A. McCammon. Accelerated Molecular Dynamics: A Promising and Efficient Simulation Method for Biomolecules. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 120:11919–11929, 2004.
- [102] D. Hamelberg, C. A. F. de Oliveira, and J. A. McCammon. Sampling of Slow Diffusive Conformational Transitions with Accelerated Molecular Dynamics. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 127:155102, 2007.
- [103] T. Shen and D. Hamelberg. A Statistical Analysis of the Precision of Reweighting-Based Simulations. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 129:034103, 2008.
- [104] Y. Miao, W. Sinko, L. Pierce, D. Bucher, R. C. Walker, and J. A. McCammon. Improved Reweighting of Accelerated Molecular Dynamics Simulations for Free Energy Calculation. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 10:2677–2689, 2014.
- [105] H. Oshima, S. Re, and Y. Sugita. Replica-Exchange Umbrella Sampling Combined with Gaussian Accelerated Molecular Dynamics for Free-Energy Calculation of Biomolecules. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 15:5199–5208, 2019.
- [106] A. Warshel and M. Karplus. Calculation of Ground and Excited State Potential Surfaces of Conjugated Molecules. I. Formulation and Parametrization. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 94:5612–5625, 1972.
- [107] A. Warshel and M. Levitt. Theoretical studies of enzymic reactions: Dielectric, electrostatic and steric stabilization of the carbonium ion in the reaction of lysozyme. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 103:227–249, 1976.
- [108] K. Yagi, K. Yamada, C. Kobayashi, and Y. Sugita. Anharmonic Vibrational Analysis of Biomolecules and Solvated Molecules Using Hybrid QM/MM Computations. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 15:1924–1938, 2019.
- [109] F. Tama, O. Miyashita, and C. L. Brooks. Flexible multi scale fitting of atomic structures into low resolution electron density maps with elastic network normal mode analysis. *J. Mol. Biol.*, 337:985–999, 2004.
- [110] M. Orzechowski and F. Tama. Flexible fitting of high resolution X ray structures into cryoelectron microscopy maps using biased molecular dynamics simulations. *Biophys. J.*, 95:5692–5705, 2008.
- [111] L. G. Trabuco, E. Villa, K. Mitra, J. Frank, and K. Schulten. Flexible fitting of atomic structures into electron microscopy maps using molecular dynamics. *Structure*, 16:673–683, 2008.
- [112] M. Topf, K. Lasker, B. Webb, H. Wolfson, W. Chiu, and A. Sali. Protein structure fitting and refinement guided by cryo EM density. *Structure*, 16:295–307, 2008.
- [113] H. Ishida and A. Matsumoto. Free energy landscape of reverse tRNA translocation through the ribosome analyzed by electron microscopy density maps and molecular dynamics simulations. *PLoS one*, 9:e101951, 2014.
- [114] O. Miyashita, C. Kobayashi, T. Mori, Y. Sugita, and F. Tama. Flexible fitting to cryo-EM density map using ensemble molecular dynamics simulations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 38:1447–1461, 2017.
- [115] P. C. Whitford, A. Ahmed, Y. Yu, H. Hennelly, S. P., F. Tama, Spahn, C. M., J. N. Onuchic, and K. Y. Sanbonmatsu. Excited states of ribosome translocation revealed through integrative molecular modeling. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 108:18943–18948, 2011.

- [116] T. Mori, M. Kulik, O. Miyashita, J. Jung, F. Tama, and Y. Sugita. Acceleration of cryo-EM flexible fitting for large biomolecular systems by efficient space partitioning. *Structure*, 27:161–174.e3, 2019.
- [117] W. L. Jorgensen and C. Ravimohan. Monte Carlo Simulation of Differences in Free Energies of Hydration. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 83:3050–3054, 1985.
- [118] M. Mezei and D. L. Beveridge. Computer Simulation of Chemical and Biomolecular Systems. *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 482:1–307, 1986.
- [119] T. J. Giese and D. M. York. A GPU-Accelerated Parameter Interpolation Thermodynamic Integration Free Energy Method. *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 14:1564–1582, 2018.
- [120] H. Oshima and Y. Sugita. Modified Hamiltonian in FEP Calculations for Reducing the Computational Cost of Electrostatic Interactions. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 62:2846–2856, 2022.
- [121] J. Gao, K. Kuczera, B. Tidor, and M. Karplus. Hidden thermodynamics of mutant proteins: A molecular dynamics analysis. *Science*, 244:1069–1072, 1989.
- [122] D. A. Pearlman. A comparison of alternative approaches to free energy calculations. *J. Phys. Chem.*, 98:1487–1493, 1994.
- [123] P. H. Axelsen and D. Li. Improved convergence in dual-topology free energy calculations through use of harmonic restraints. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 19:1278–1283, 1998.
- [124] W. Jiang, C. Chipot, and B. Roux. Computing relative binding affinity of ligands to receptor: An effective hybrid single-dual-topology free-energy perturbation approach in NAMD. *J. Chem. Inf. Model.*, 59:3794–3802, 2019.
- [125] M. Zacharias, T. P. Straatsma, and J. A. McCammon. Separation-shifted scaling, a new scaling method for Lennard-Jones interactions in thermodynamic integration. *J. Chem. Phys.*, 100:9025–9031, 1994.
- [126] T. Steinbrecher, I. Joung, and D. A. Case. Soft-core potentials in thermodynamic integration: Comparing one- and two-step transformations. *J. Comput. Chem.*, 32:3253–3263, 2011.